

Town of Hilton Head Island Board of Zoning Appeals Regular Meeting Monday, June 25, 2018 – 2:30 p.m. Benjamin M. Racusin Council Chambers AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance
- 3. Roll Call
- 4. Freedom of Information Act Compliance

Public notification of the Board of Zoning Appeals meeting has been published, posted and mailed in compliance with the Freedom of Information Act and the requirements of the Town of Hilton Head Island Land Management Ordinance.

- 5. Swearing in Ceremony for New Board of Zoning Appeals Member Patsy Brison
- 6. Welcome and Introduction to Board Procedures
- 7. Approval of Agenda
- **8. Approval of the Minutes** Meeting of March 26, 2018
- 9. Unfinished Business
- 10. New Business
 - **a.** <u>APL-942-2018</u> Request for Appeal from Claudia Kennedy. The appellant is appealing staff's determination, dated March 27, 2018, which states that the use being conducted at 2, 3, 7, 11, 15 and 17 Trail Beach Manor is Animal Services and Animal Services uses are not allowed in the MV (Mitchelville) zoning district.
 - b. Election of Officers for the July 1, 2018 June 30, 2019 Term
- 11. Board Business
- 12. Staff Report
 - a. Waiver Report
- 13. Adjournment

Please note that a quorum of Town Council may result if four (4) or more Town Council members attend this meeting.

TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND

Board of Zoning Appeals Minutes of the March 26, 2018 2:30 p.m. Regular Meeting Benjamin M. Racusin Council Chambers

Board Members Present: Chairman David Fingerhut, Vice Chairman Jerry Cutrer, Charles

Walczak, Robert Johnson, John White, Lisa Laudermilch

Board Members Absent: None

Council Members Present: David Ames, Kim Likins, Mayor Bennett

Town Staff Present: Shawn Colin, Deputy Director of Community Development; Nicole Dixon, Development Review Administrator; Brian Hulbert, Staff Attorney; Teri Lewis, LMO Official; Taylor Ladd, Senior Planner; Teresa Haley, Senior Administrative Assistant

1. Call to Order

2. Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag

3. Roll Call

4. Freedom of Information Act Compliance

Public notification of the Board of Zoning Appeals meeting has been published, posted and mailed in compliance with the Freedom of Information Act and the requirements of the Town of Hilton Head Island Land Management Ordinance.

5. Welcome and Introduction to Board Procedures

Chairman Fingerhut welcomed the public and introduced the Board's procedures for conducting the business meeting.

6. Approval of Agenda

Chairman Fingerhut asked for a motion to approve the agenda. Mr. Walczak moved to approve. Mr. White seconded. The motion passed with a vote of 5-0-0.

7. Approval of the Minutes – Meeting of January 22, 2018

Chairman Fingerhut asked for a motion to approve the minutes of the January 22, 2018 meeting. Vice Chairman Cutrer moved to approve. Ms. Laudermilch seconded. The motion passed with a vote of 5-0-0.

8. Unfinished Business – None

9. New Business

<u>APL-00439-2018</u> – Request for Appeal from Tamara Becker and Ronda Carper on behalf of the Bradley Circle Community. The appellants are appealing staff's determination, dated February 8, 2018, which states that the structures proposed for 28 Bradley Circle and 3 Whelk Street are vested to a height of 75' above the base flood elevation (BFE).

For a full description of the above-referenced appeal hearing, see the certified transcript attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and made a part of the record hereof.

10. Board Business – None

11. Staff Report

a) Waiver Report – The Waiver Report was included in the Board's packet. Ms. Ladd gave an update regarding the April meeting.

12. Adjournment

Mr. Johnson moved to adjourn. Ms. Laudermilch seconded. The meeting was adjourned at 4:48 p.m.

Submitted by: Teresa Haley, Secretary

Approved:

David Fingerhut, Chairman

EXHIBIT A

1	HILTON HEAD ISLAND
2	THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF BEAUFORT
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND
11	BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING March 26, 2018
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	/
21	·
22	The the following transcript was
23	transcribed from audio file provided by The Town
24	of Hilton Head, South Carolina by Charles T.
25	Nussbaum.



т		
2	INDEX	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	
8	Chairman David Fingerhut	
9	Vice Chairman Jerry Cutrer Charles Walczak	
LO	Robert Johnson John White	
11	Lisa Laudermilch	
L2	TOWN STAFF PRESENT:	
L3	Theresa Haley Nicole Dixon	
L 4	Brian Hulbert, Esquire Teri Lewis	
15	Taylor Ladd Shawn Colin	
L6		
L7		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		PAGE
25	CERTIFICATE	129



- 1 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: I'm going to
- 2 call the meeting to order. Welcome to
- 3 the Board of Zoning Appeals. We're
- 4 going to start our meeting with the
- 5 Pledge of Allegiance.
- 6 (Whereupon, Pledge of Allegiance
- 7 was recited.)
- 8 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you.
- 9 Teresa, will you please call the role?
- 10 MS. HALEY: Mr. Walczak?
- 11 MR. WALCZAK: Present.
- 12 MS. HALEY: Mr. Fingerhut?
- 13 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Present.
- 14 MS. HALEY: Mr. Cutrer?
- 15 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Present.
- 16 MS. HALEY: Mr. White?
- 17 MR. WHITE: Present.
- 18 MS. HALEY: Ms. Laudermilch?
- 19 MS. LAUDERMILCH: Present.
- 20 MS. HALEY: Mr. Johnson is
- 21 expected.
- 22 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you.
- 23 Are we in compliance with the Freedom
- 24 of Information Law?
- 25 MS. HALEY: Yes, sir, we are.



- 1 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you.
- Welcome everybody, again, to the Board
- 3 of Zoning Appeals. Special welcome to
- 4 Councilman Ames. Thank you for coming.
- 5 Today we have one matter on our
- 6 agenda. It is an appeal. In an
- 7 appeal, most importantly, there's no
- 8 public comment. We will hear first
- 9 from the appellant, who will have 20
- 10 minutes to present their arguments.
- 11 After that, will have -- we'll hear
- 12 from the Town, will have 20 minutes to
- 13 give their arguments. After that,
- 14 there's five minutes rebuttal, which
- 15 can be adjusted or extended, as needed.
- 16 After that, the Board will discuss the
- 17 appeal that we've heard. A motion will
- 18 be made, hopefully, and we'll have a
- 19 decision.
- 20 May I have a motion for approval
- 21 of the agenda?
- MR. WALCZAK: So moved.
- 23 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Second?
- 24 MR. WHITE: Second.
- 25 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: All in favor?



1	(All Aye.)
2	CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Can I have a
3	motion for approval of the minutes from
4	our January 22nd meeting?
5	VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Move for
6	approval as written.
7	CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Second.
8	MS. LAUDERMILCH: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: All in favor?
10	(All Aye.)
11	CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: There's no
12	unfinished business. Anything before
13	new business? Mr. Cutrer?
14	VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Chairman, I
15	move that the Board of Zoning Appeals
16	go into executive session for a few
17	moments to obtain legal advice from
18	town counsel on certain issues relating
19	to the matter before us today.
20	CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: We have a
21	motion for executive session. Is there
22	a second?
23	MR. White: I'll second.
24	CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: All in favor,



25

please say aye.

- 1 (All Aye.)
- 2 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: We'll step
- 3 into executive session for a brief
- 4 adjournment and be back.
- 5 (Brief recess for executive
- 6 session.)
- 7 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you.
- 8 We're back in session. No action was
- 9 taken during executive session.
- Next item on our agenda is new
- 11 business, Appeal No. 00439-2018. The
- 12 appeal from Tamara Becker and Ronda --
- 13 excuse me -- Carper.
- 14 Appellants, please come up.
- 15 Please state your name for the record,
- 16 note your appearance, and sign in if
- 17 there is a sheet there.
- 18 MS. BECKER: There's not a sheet
- 19 here, but, hello, I'm Tamara Becker.
- 20 MS. CARPER: And I'm Ronda Carper.
- 21 MS. BECKER: And if you're ready,
- 22 we'll get started.
- 23 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: We are, thank
- 24 you.
- 25 MS. BECKER: Thank you. So



- 1 Ronda -- we have a -- can you hear me
- 2 if I stand over here and not in front
- 3 of -- because that's really tall and I
- 4 need to see my papers. Is that -- or
- 5 should I move?
- 6 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Maybe move by
- 7 the microphone. I can hear you, but
- 8 everybody in the room a not be able to.
- 9 MS. BECKER: All right. So I'll
- 10 just bring my little TV dinner tray and
- 11 we'll move over here.
- 12 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you.
- 13 MS. BECKER: You're welcome. So
- 14 Ronda Carper and I are here today
- 15 appealing an official decision of
- 16 Ms. Lewis and I don't know if Mr.
- 17 Laughlin is here, but one of the things
- 18 we'd like is for him to identify his --
- 19 who his clients are. He's not here.
- 20 This is -- do we know who
- 21 Mr. Laughlin's actual clients are?
- 22 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: This is your
- 23 appeal.
- MS. BECKER: Yes.
- 25 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: And you



- 1 really can't pose questions to the
- 2 panel.
- 3 MS. BECKER: Yeah, okay.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: And, you
- 5 know, so we're not questioning
- 6 witnesses, so I would ask that you just
- 7 present your arguments and legal issues
- 8 and what you'd like us to look at,
- 9 please.
- 10 MS. BECKER: Okay. So we believe
- 11 that the Official has made an erroneous
- 12 decision as stated in official letter.
- 13 Ms. Lewis and the Town of Hilton Head
- 14 had no clarity as to the proper legal
- 15 height restriction for the mentioned
- 16 properties of 28 Bradley Circle and 3
- 17 Whelk Street, formerly known as Bradley
- 18 Circle lots 1 and 2.
- 19 Also, by the way, also known as
- 20 lot 1, yet Ms. Lewis chose to write a
- 21 letter in response to Mr. Laughlin's
- 22 letter on behalf of his clients, that
- 23 based on the erroneous reading of one
- 24 attachment and the variance package
- 25 presented to the BZA on March 28, 2016



- 1 for two variances, neither of which had
- 2 to do with height.
- 3 Giving the client, the developer,
- 4 and the former owners, whoever it is at
- 5 this point, a vested right to build to
- 6 a height that was already reduced
- 7 through an ordinance amendment. In
- 8 fact, during a pending ordinance
- 9 period. That ordinance came about
- 10 because of an outcry of the residents
- 11 on Bradley Circle and folks who had
- 12 seen or heard of a house, a 75-foot
- 13 building, single family home.
- 14 A towering mini hotel disguised as
- 15 a single family home was affecting the
- 16 neighborhood, what it looked like. And
- 17 so, for public safety reasons, for the
- 18 public health and general welfare, the
- 19 Town of Hilton Head -- and I thank them
- 20 all -- listened to us carefully and
- 21 they put through an ordinance -- and
- 22 we'll get to that in a second -- to
- 23 reduce the height from 75 feet to a
- 24 maximum of 45 feet.
- 25 Actually, went a little bit



- 1 further and through the help of the
- 2 Council and Mayor and the Community
- 3 Development Office, we were able to
- 4 return Bradley Circle to an RM-8 zoning
- 5 district instead of the Resort
- 6 Development District that was
- 7 mistakenly included in the 2014 LMO
- 8 change.
- 9 It's interesting that I recently
- 10 read that -- in all of that I've read,
- 11 that the general population has to know
- 12 as much as our government, and that's a
- 13 real tall order, but regardless of
- 14 that, let me let you know some things
- 15 about myself. I'm a wife, I'm a
- 16 mother, a grandmother, a daughter of
- 17 the American Revolution, a proud Texan
- 18 who has made Hilton Head Island her
- 19 permanent home with my husband and
- 20 family.
- 21 My degrees are in psychology and
- 22 counseling, with an emphasis in
- 23 addictions and criminal behavior. And
- 24 I've taught first grade through college
- 25 level masters courses, but I'm not a



- 1 lawyer, so if I say anything that may
- 2 not be exactly worded like your -- a
- 3 lawyer would typically say, I apologize
- 4 and I ask for your forgiveness and
- 5 please accept who I am as I stand
- 6 before you.
- 7 So we found that there's a
- 8 substantial and a sufficient -- there's
- 9 substantial and sufficient south care
- 10 law -- South Carolina law that needs
- 11 to -- that we need to address regarding
- 12 the issues at 28 Bradley Circle, 3
- 13 Whelk Street. And those of you who
- 14 have followed the saga know that that's
- 15 why we're here. Mr. Laughlin, in his
- 16 letter -- Mr. Laughlin wrote in his
- 17 letter to Ms. Lewis and said that when
- 18 she wrote to Radu Chindris, who is the
- 19 developer on August 23rd, telling him
- 20 that he needed to have a decision by a
- 21 court or some determination made
- 22 because there's a settlement agreement,
- 23 was right.
- 24 She was right to do that. If an
- 25 interpretation -- if there is a known



- 1 restrictive covenant, as there was in
- 2 this case, Ms. Lewis made the right
- 3 decision in asking for that
- 4 interpretation. It doesn't impact
- 5 whether or not a building permit
- 6 ultimately gets given, but part of the
- 7 requirements by law is that she needs
- 8 to ask for there to be a specific
- 9 release between the parties who have
- 10 come to a settlement agreement and
- 11 restrictive covenants.
- 12 The settlement agreement that I
- 13 mentioned makes no mention of height
- 14 whatsoever. Mr. Laughlin, in this
- 15 letter, goes on and he says that when
- 16 the variances were approved, Bradley
- 17 Circle was a resident -- Resort
- 18 Development District; however, the Town
- 19 of Hilton Head rezoned the big --
- 20 Bradley Circle neighborhood back to
- 21 RM-8 in October 2017 and nothing on
- 22 Bradley Circle -- 28 Bradley Circle or
- 23 2 Whelk Street had been done.
- 24 There was no financial
- 25 expenditure. No permit. Only an at --



- 1 contemplated use, and in the late
- 2 Francis properties versus City of
- 3 Charleston, there is no right height
- 4 in -- there's no right to height so the
- 5 South Carolina case law in Daniels
- 6 versus City of Gooseneck and South
- 7 Carolina State Act 6-29-1560. So
- 8 moreover, the maximum height of all
- 9 single family homes in Hilton Head was
- 10 changed and that was by ordinance.
- 11 I'm going to skip some stuff
- 12 because I know I don't have a lot of
- 13 time and I may have to go back to it.
- 14 Mr. Laughlin, on page 3 of his letter,
- 15 he says that the delays that have
- 16 occurred have cost his clients --
- 17 has -- they've suffered and have caused
- 18 them substantial economic loss. I just
- 19 want to point out, because this is
- 20 important, that there has been no
- 21 economic loss. We all know it's
- 22 important for his clients to try and
- 23 find and demonstrate some sort of
- 24 economic investment in the properties
- 25 in order to bolster their claim, vested



- 1 rights.
- 2 As we know historically, there are
- 3 three ways to claim vested rights and
- 4 most have to do with having invested
- 5 money in reliance of the government act
- 6 and there are varying degrees of
- 7 reliance to the concept, but here,
- 8 there has been none. In fact, on the
- 9 BZA hearing, was March 28th of 2016,
- 10 and after that hearing, there was a
- 11 lawsuit that was filed with the Circuit
- 12 Court by a neighbor, DST. They are the
- 13 owners of 3 Urchin Circle and they
- 14 challenge the BZA decision at that
- 15 point on a number of grounds.
- 16 Settlement -- they went into
- 17 settlement, it was dismissed, and they
- 18 went into settlement, so April 27,
- 19 2017, they were in that process. It
- 20 was all ultimately signed in July of
- 21 2017 and then Mr. Chindris purchased
- 22 the property on May 6th -- 10th, 2016.
- 23 He had the lot surveyed April 17th of
- 24 2017 and he demoed the house on May 21,
- 25 2017 without a permit.



- 1 Transcon then -- Mr. Chindris,
- 2 Transcon, his business, they owned the
- 3 house. Then on July 17, 2014 -- 2017,
- 4 he sold to Monte Development for -- one
- 5 of the lots for \$600,000 without having
- 6 done a thing. And then on July 14th,
- 7 he sold -- or it's June 14th, he sold
- 8 to Bradley Beach Partners, LLC for
- 9 \$600,000 without having done a thing.
- 10 So you can see that there --
- 11 Mr. Laughlin's Conjecture, that there
- 12 was a substantial loss and that they
- 13 were losing money because of these
- 14 delays is not accurate. He's not
- 15 losing money and no money has been
- 16 invested. Mr. Chindris purchased
- 17 property for \$535,000 and that by the
- 18 time we get to July 14, 2017, he's
- 19 already made \$665,000 on the property
- 20 without lifting a finger.
- 21 It also brings me to a point --
- 22 and I'm going to be jumping around a
- 23 little bit because I'm really concerned
- 24 I'm going to run out of time. I didn't
- 25 know there was a time limit. So I'm



- 1 going to jump around a little bit and
- 2 hopefully get to everything and I'm
- 3 going to go back and answer as many
- 4 questions for you as I can because I
- 5 have a book of information that I've
- 6 read. There is multiple laws and
- 7 regulations that we need to be focused
- 8 on here.
- 9 For instance, in the -- and it's
- 10 written in the staff report and I'll
- 11 get to it. On background, the
- 12 applicant, Dr. Craig, Dr. Frederick
- 13 Craig and Ms. Shirley Dorsey, purchased
- 14 this proper -- or Dr. Craig actually
- 15 purchased it in 1998. Interestingly,
- 16 and oddly, we should play the lotto.
- 17 It's six -- so he paid \$665,000 for the
- 18 property. If you'd like -- he paid
- 19 \$665,000 for the property, that
- 20 property is right here -- and I don't
- 21 know why you can't see it. Maybe
- 22 someone can do this for me.
- 23 And that property was lot 1 and
- 24 lot 1-A, and when the map comes up,
- 25 you'll see that what we're talking



- 1 about as Bradley Circle, 18 Bradley
- 2 Circle, 3 Whelk Street, lot 1 and 2,
- 3 was actually lot 1. And that was
- 4 street side of the marsh. Lot 1-A is
- 5 on the ocean side. So there were two
- 6 lots, but one was on the marsh side and
- 7 one was on the ocean side. And that's
- 8 the configuration when in 1998 when
- 9 they bought -- it's upside down but
- 10 that's okay. I can change it, right?
- 11 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Yes.
- 12 MS. BECKER: That better for you?
- 13 Should be. When they purchased the --
- 14 when Dr. Craig purchased the property,
- 15 there was one house on it on lot 1 up
- 16 at front. In 2003, as noted in
- 17 Ms. Dixon's report -- and I have the
- 18 records for you, it's an interesting
- 19 situation. Dr. Craig and Ms. Dorsey
- 20 re-platted it and made -- created the
- 21 subdivision of five lots.
- 22 They took lot -- and we're going
- 23 to talk about just this portion up here
- 24 because that's the part of the property
- 25 that we're -- is lot 1 and lot 2,



- 1 that's a matter of interest at this
- 2 point. And when they developed the --
- 3 when they created, themselves, this
- 4 subdivision, they created five lots,
- 5 and of the five lots, three were flag
- 6 lots that exist -- that house that you
- 7 see that was existing from the day that
- 8 miss -- Dr. Craig bought it.
- 9 So when the B -- when the BZA got
- 10 this application, there was something
- 11 that was omitted that was very
- 12 important, right, because you all know
- 13 that you cannot grant a variance for
- 14 hardship that's of your own making.
- 15 This is Dr. Craig's property.
- 16 Dr. Craig was the applicant when they
- 17 came before you on March 28th of 2016.
- 18 This was his design, he and Ms.
- 19 Shirley, that their -- Dorsey, this was
- 20 their design.
- 21 Five lots, putting that home
- 22 straddling lot 1 and lot 2 that was --
- 23 that were the subject of the variances.
- 24 So they created the situation.
- 25 Moreover, when you hear some of the



- 1 discussions, and I'm sure you've all
- 2 read the packet, they talked about flag
- 3 lots or homes one behind the other, not
- 4 being common in the area. Well, they
- 5 created three of them themselves.
- 6 So they want relief and that
- 7 variance application. That variance
- 8 application should never have been
- 9 brought before this Board. There was a
- 10 material omission and with an
- 11 immaterial omission, there is no
- 12 variance, it has to be stricken. This
- 13 was of their own -- this hardship that
- 14 they asked for relief from was of their
- 15 own making.
- 16 So that's important, right? So
- 17 that's really important because it
- 18 gives Council the opportunity -- it
- 19 gives this Board the opportunity to
- 20 rescind those variances from the --
- 21 right out the gate. So that's a little
- 22 background on the property. There's a
- 23 lot more that I could go into but I
- 24 think I might be short on time, but I
- 25 can answer questions. But I want to



- 1 make sure that you understand that this
- 2 entire create -- situation was created
- 3 by the applicants themselves.
- 4 Okay. Good. So we've got that.
- 5 I've got so much and I'm just -- you
- 6 know, I could probably -- this is like
- 7 teaching a class that I've never taught
- 8 before and only having a month to
- 9 prepare in a field that I'm not
- 10 familiar with so bear with me, please.
- 11 So Ms. Lewis writes a letter and
- 12 she states that there is a diagram, a
- 13 document in the letter in the variance
- 14 packet that she uses to make a decision
- 15 of that -- the height for these two
- 16 properties is vested for 75 feet and --
- 17 I don't know if you have that -- or I
- 18 have one. Ms. Lewis, could you -- am I
- 19 allowed to ask questions or no? Am I
- 20 not?
- 21 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: You can ask
- 22 Ms. Lewis for --
- 23 MS. BECKER: I can ask Ms. Lewis
- 24 questions?
- 25 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: -- pieces --



- 1 if you need pieces of paper, things
- 2 that are in the --
- 3 MS. BECKER: Okay. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: In your
- 5 appeal, she certainly --
- 6 MS. BECKER: Perfect.
- 7 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: -- be happy
- 8 to, if she has it.
- 9 MS. BECKER: That will help. I
- 10 don't know if you have it, I have one.
- 11 Do you have the -- okay. And I should
- 12 also say, while we're waiting for her,
- 13 that over the course of the last few
- 14 years, in fact, from the time of 2014
- when I bought my property and started
- 16 building, I worked with all these
- 17 folks.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Ms. Lewis.
- MS. BECKER: And they're good
- 20 people. Is this the one that you used?
- 21 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you.
- MS. BECKER: You used Attachment
- 23 H, because when we discussed this, I
- 24 believe you had said that you used
- 25 Attachment G? There we go. So this is



- 1 the attachment that Ms. Lewis uses when
- 2 she's looking for clarity. How to come
- 3 to -- how to come to an assessment of
- 4 whether or not there is a vested right
- 5 to 75 feet.
- 6 So in this -- in a variance
- 7 application, all right -- and we're
- 8 going to just start from the beginning.
- 9 I hope I don't run out of time. We're
- 10 just going to start from the beginning.
- 11 In a variance application -- and you
- 12 know where variance application, we can
- 13 find them -- we can find information
- 14 from the information on the website,
- 15 the Hilton Head Town website, Appendix
- 16 D-19 of the LMO, the variance
- 17 application itself. Variance
- 18 procedures, 16-2-102, and before the
- 19 Board of Zoning Appeals because you
- 20 guys know about -- about variances.
- 21 An application for variance under
- 22 16-105 explains what a variance
- 23 application is. The completed forms
- 24 and -- that are necessary and the
- 25 supporting documents, fees, et cetera.



- 1 In 102, which is the reviews --
- 2 standard review procedures in
- 3 102(1)(a), these procedures shall apply
- 4 to all applications unless expressly
- 5 exempted, and I don't believe there
- 6 were any exemptions here.
- 7 So under 16-2-103, there's some
- 8 procedures, and under those procedures,
- 9 you're welcome to have a preconference.
- $10 \quad 102 -- 16-2-102(3)(b)$, the pre --
- 11 application conference is not required,
- 12 but it's recommended and it's
- 13 encouraged. One of the things that you
- 14 need to bring to that preconference
- 15 when you come to me is you need to
- 16 bring a conceptual site plan.
- 17 And their pre-application process,
- 18 the goal there is to meet with staff
- 19 is -- is someone who's designing
- 20 something -- to meet with staff and
- 21 have staff go over some of the problems
- 22 that might be there, make some
- 23 suggestions, look to see how the LMO is
- 24 going to work for them, and to find out
- 25 what they can do. And then staff is



- 1 kind enough that they write a report
- 2 for you and they give that to you.
- 3 Is that me?
- 4 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: 20 minutes.
- 5 It's okay, just try to be mindful of
- 6 the time and perhaps wind down.
- 7 MS. BECKER: So let me -- so let
- 8 me -- here's what I'm going to tell
- 9 you, that in my book, I have law after
- 10 law and rule after rule. I'm going to
- 11 give you the summary, and if I have to
- 12 go back and pull out the laws, I want
- 13 you to know that everything I'm about
- 14 to say is not because I made it up,
- 15 it's not because it's what I wish it
- 16 were, it's not because I would hope
- 17 that you will believe me, it's because
- 18 every single solitary thing I say is
- 19 going to be based on a law that is
- 20 either South Carolina law or Hilton
- 21 Head law or it's a law, because vested
- 22 rights is such a broad area of land
- 23 use, that I've read from Washington DC
- 24 to Maine to Florida and everywhere in
- 25 between.



1 Here are some facts, okay? 2 Without pulling the laws out so I can 3 go through, here are some facts. 4 don't have a site plan, okay? A site plan, according to the definitions in 5 16-1 -- 16-10-101 of our law 6 7 requires -- requires that you have a -a detailed engineering plan to scale 8 9 and that scale is repeated -- in all 10 those places where I told you you can 11 find out about variances, that scale is 12 repeatedly mentioned to be 1 inch to 30 13 feet, so that's one thing that you need -- that's a definition by law. 14 15 And if you go to our Code, you'll see that some of the things that are in 16 17 there are some great stuff. There are 18 specific words that are highlighted and 19 they're defined and then the Official 20 has the opportunity under 21 interpretations, and it's all by code, 22 okay, so I'm going to skip through the code so that I can focus on how 23 24 I've given you the information, but I



25

can refer to it if you want specifics.

1	So under interpretations, there
2	are definitions and then you have
3	certain words that have been defined in
4	the Code. Then there are the
5	Official has the opportunity, too, if
6	the words aren't there, everything else
7	is to be common every day use. And if
8	it's not common every day use, she also
9	can refer to a number of different a
10	planner's guide, a number of different
11	books that I'm sure are specific to
12	land use, but you can also use things
13	as simple as the Oxford dictionary or
14	the Miriam Webster dictionary to find
15	your definitions and codes.
16	So site plan is defined as a
17	detailed engineering planned to scale
18	that reasonably shows what you are
19	what you're building, what you're
20	doing. So we look for a site plan that
21	has a scale of 1 inch to 30 feet with
22	detailed engineering. This is the
23	picture that Ms. Lewis used to make the
24	decision that was 75 feet.
25	First of all, it's not to scale.



- 1 There's a few things I want to point --
- 2 it has -- and it didn't -- and
- 3 moreover -- okay. Let's just jump
- 4 around. Moreover, in the state code,
- 5 you also have to have a site specific
- 6 development plan. So what they throw
- 7 in there has to be site specific.
- 8 So you have to make sure that that
- 9 plan that you're presenting for your
- 10 variance is for that particular
- 11 property. Let's just look at this one.
- 12 And my husband was going to present
- 13 this and I'm going to take his spot and
- 14 I'm going to do it. I don't see a
- 15 detail engineering plan and the
- 16 engineering plan has specifics, it has
- 17 data, it tells you exactly what it is
- 18 that you want to build and how you're
- 19 going to build it.
- 20 Furthermore, I don't see a sale of
- 21 1 inch to 30 feet. In fact, the scale
- 22 that it refers to here is 316 inches
- 23 equals 1 foot. And by any manipulation
- 24 of measure with -- if you look at this
- 25 plan, it does not reflect the property



- 1 of 28 Bradley Circle or 3 Whelk Street.
- 2 In fact, if you measured it out from
- 3 the property line to property line,
- 4 which, by the way, property line that
- 5 you will see on -- it's my right so it
- 6 must your left, that's not their
- 7 property line, that's Whelk Street.
- 8 So the actual property line is 8
- 9 feet -- or 15 feet closer to the
- 10 buildings. But be that as it may, I'm
- 11 going to list a whole bunch of problems
- 12 for you. It doesn't have -- that's not
- 13 an engineer -- detailed engineering
- 14 plan because I'm sure Mr. Walczak can
- 15 tell us. There's no specifications on
- 16 there. There's no data on there.
- 17 There's no information that's going to
- 18 quide you in building.
- 19 It is not to scale. It is also --
- 20 and if we pulled it over a little bit,
- 21 you might be able to see it a little
- 22 bit more clearly. Had lots of copies
- 23 but I'm not going to pull them out, but
- 24 you can see over here, it says that
- 25 this particular drawing is for 22



- 1 Bradley Circle. It's dated July
- 2 15th -- 16th, 2015. It is not a site
- 3 specific development plan. It doesn't
- 4 exist. So this is one document --
- 5 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: May I ask you
- 6 a question?
- 7 MS. BECKER: Sure. Please.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Okay. First,
- 9 you must be mindful of the time because
- 10 we're well over --
- 11 MS. BECKER: I am.
- 12 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: -- 20
- 13 minutes, but I have a question.
- 14 MS. BECKER: Yes, sir.
- 15 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: I have a
- 16 question.
- 17 MS. BECKER: Yes, sir.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Is it your
- 19 argument then that because there was no
- 20 site specific plan, that there is no
- 21 vested right? Is that what you're
- 22 saying?
- 23 MS. BECKER: I'm going to say that
- 24 we have a number of things. We have --
- 25 there was material --



- CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Well, let me 1 2 just --3 MS. BECKER: Yes, sir. 4 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: -- stop you just for my question. 5 6 MS. BECKER: Yes, sir. 7 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Is that --8 are you arguing that, because if --9 MS. BECKER: Yes, sir. 10 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: -- you're 11 not, that's fine, too, but I'm --MS. BECKER: Yes, sir, I am. 12 13 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: -- torn. 14 MS. BECKER: Yes, sir. 15 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: So that's --MS. BECKER: That is one of our 16 17 arguments. 18 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Okay. So 19 that's why. 20 MS. BECKER: That's why I'm going 21 there. 22 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: I would ask

CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: -- for the

that you quickly sum up --

MS. BECKER: Well.

23

24

25

- 1 others, you're --
- 2 MS. BECKER: Okay.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: -- going to
- 4 have to because --
- 5 MS. BECKER: Here's the -- here's
- 6 the real important part. So we don't
- 7 have -- in fact, in the -- in the
- 8 narrative in the staff report and in
- 9 the -- in the narrative and in the
- 10 staff report, in the transcripts, never
- 11 ever is this be piece of prop -- this
- 12 picture -- this is said to be something
- 13 that is shown as something that cannot
- 14 be built.
- This is not what he's looking to
- 16 build. It doesn't fit the criteria of
- 17 a site plan. That first picture that
- 18 Ms. Lewis showed us, that particular
- 19 picture, however, does say that it is a
- 20 proposed home for Bradley Circle. It
- 21 might -- but it doesn't show a height
- 22 either. Nowhere on any document,
- 23 nowhere in the discussion, nowhere in
- 24 the narrative, nowhere in the
- 25 transcript will you find any reference



- 1 to height at all. Okay.
- 2 All you hear is -- and
- 3 furthermore, there are photos that
- 4 they've provided. There are a number
- 5 of photos that were provided. I hope
- 6 you have all seen these. There is one
- 7 photo that was provided that is
- 8 anything above 45 feet, meanwhile,
- 9 throughout the dialog, there is
- 10 constant reference to we want to be in
- 11 harmony with the neighborhood, we want
- 12 to be like the other homes in the
- 13 neighborhood, and of our 15 homes, we
- 14 don't have one home that is above 45
- 15 feet.
- 16 So there is no thing -- if he
- 17 wants to be in harmony, he is below 45
- 18 feet. He doesn't present anything in
- 19 his variance package that proves
- 20 otherwise. Meanwhile, also another
- 21 that's awfully important is that we
- 22 were under a pending ordinance at that
- 23 particular time.
- 24 The town, from as early as October
- 25 28th, I believe it was, 2015 through



- 1 the LMO Committee, which is a
- 2 subcommittee of the Planning Commission
- 3 and Ms. Lewis sits on it along with a
- 4 number of other people in the room,
- 5 brought forward the 2016 LMO amendments
- 6 first set. In that first set, with an
- 7 amendment to lower the height of the
- 8 single family homes in resort
- 9 development areas such as Bradley
- 10 Circle, due to the outcry, whatever the
- 11 reason was, so much I could say, but
- 12 the fact of the matter is, we were
- 13 under a pending ordinance because it
- 14 was brought forward as early as 2018.
- What was happening for months
- 16 ahead of time, repeatedly in the
- 17 documents, it says that Ms. Dixon was
- 18 speaking in that preconference with Mr.
- 19 Radu Chindris who was there with a site
- 20 plan and she should have been telling
- 21 him, hey, we've got height things going
- 22 on. It was well known and established
- when you get to March 16th, we've gone
- 24 already through a number of meetings
- 25 and public hearings and have taken



- 1 several votes, always unanimously
- 2 voting those ord -- those amendments
- 3 that amendment package of which
- 4 changing the height to 45 feet was part
- 5 of.
- 6 There was a constant unanimous
- 7 vote and no change. On March 16th,
- 8 2016, well before this meeting and
- 9 during the whole time that the staff
- 10 was having conversations with
- 11 Mr. Chindris, everyone knew that the
- 12 height was on its way down. On March
- 13 16th, the Planning Commission, in a
- 14 vote of 7-0-0, recommended it to Town
- 15 Council, and there is the Sherman
- 16 versus -- really, I mean, there is case
- 17 law after case law and if I can't talk
- 18 about the case law, then that's a -- a
- 19 little bit of a problem for my case.
- 20 But we have case after case where
- 21 it would be utterly ridiculous if there
- 22 was a pending ordinance --
- 23 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Ms. Becker?
- MS. BECKER: Do you know the law?
- 25 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: It is in your



1 submission. 2 MS. BECKER: It is. 3 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: We saw that. 4 MS. BECKER: There's more. 5 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: I think --6 MS. BECKER: I have probably 20 7 cases that --8 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Perhaps so. 9 MS. BECKER -- say the exact same 10 thing. 11 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Perhaps so, 12 but I think at this point, I'd like to 13 open it to questions from the panel, if 14 they have questions for you. 15 run out of time about 10 minutes ago. MS. BECKER: I know. I know. 16 Ι 17 do apologize for that, but --18 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: That's okay. 19 MS. BECKER -- this is a big case 20 and one of the things that I need to 21 emphasize to you is that there is law 22 after law after law, there is ordinance 23 after ordinance after ordinance. 24 have misrepresentations. We have omissions. We don't have a variance 25



- 1 because we don't have a site plan. We
- 2 also have a situation where it doesn't
- 3 make a difference because we're under a
- 4 pending ordinance at that point because
- 5 the Town has resolved to change the
- 6 height and that's a well known Supreme
- 7 Court case and it has been upheld and
- 8 upheld and restated many times over.
- 9 So it doesn't have 75 feet.
- 10 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you.
- 11 Please stay there for a moment. Please
- 12 stay there for a moment. You'll still
- 13 be able to --
- MS. BECKER: I'm so sorry --
- 15 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: That's okay.
- 16 MS. BECKER: I just have so much
- 17 to tell you.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: You'll still
- 19 be able to tell us more when you answer
- 20 the questions we're about to ask.
- 21 MS. BECKER: I would love to do
- 22 that.
- 23 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Any questions
- 24 from the panel?
- 25 MR. JOHNSON: Actually to Teri, is



- 1 there an accepted and approved site
- 2 plan?
- 3 MS. LEWIS: Teri Lewis, LMO
- 4 Official, for the record. Yes, as part
- 5 of the variance that was submitted for
- 6 28 Bradley Circle, there was a site
- 7 plan that was submitted. This isn't
- 8 it, but there was a site plan that
- 9 showed the layout of the lots that was
- 10 submitted for the variance. It showed
- 11 where they wanted the -- what the
- 12 variance was for was for a variance to
- 13 setbacks, buffers, and setback angles.
- 14 This document was included to show
- 15 the effect of setback angles, but there
- 16 was a site plan that was submitted to
- 17 show the effect of the setbacks and the
- 18 buffers, the encroachments on the
- 19 setbacks and the buffers.
- 20 MR. JOHNSON: Teri, I'm -- I'm
- 21 sorry. Was there any discussion
- 22 regarding height?
- MS. LEWIS: There was no
- 24 discussion regarding height during that
- 25 because they weren't requesting a



- variance for height, they were
- 2 requesting a variance for setback
- 3 angles, setbacks, and buffers.
- 4 MR. JOHNSON: And when did you
- 5 receive that?
- 6 MS. LEWIS: That was in, I
- 7 believe, 20 -- 2016, was when that
- 8 variance went forward.
- 9 MR. JOHNSON: And that's when you
- 10 received the site plan?
- 11 MS. LEWIS: Yes. It was part of
- 12 the variance -- the variance submittal.
- 13 MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
- MS. LAUDERMILCH: My question's
- 15 somewhat related, but in that
- 16 discussion hearing for the variance,
- 17 there was specific reference made to
- 18 the fact that a flat reconfiguration
- 19 would be required because of the
- 20 positioning of the house -- houses and
- 21 the lots and that nothing could move
- 22 forward in a development perspective
- 23 until that plat was approved by the
- 24 Town. Was that received and approved?
- 25 MS. LEWIS: Yes. I believe that



- 1 we've received that and stamped that.
- 2 Yes.
- 3 MS. LAUDERMILCH: And what format
- 4 is that in? Is that an engineering
- 5 drawing, no?
- 6 MS. LEWIS: It's a plat with -- we
- 7 have a specific list in the LMO of
- 8 requirements that plats have to meet,
- 9 and so it had all of those elements.
- 10 It was to scale. It had the surveyor's
- 11 information on there. It was stamped
- 12 and sealed by a registered surveyor.
- 13 MS. LAUDERMILCH: Okay. So that
- 14 contained all of the required elements?
- MS. LEWIS: Yes.
- 16 MS. LAUDERMILCH: Okay. Thank
- 17 you.
- 18 MS. LEWIS: You're welcome.
- 19 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Any questions
- 20 for Ms. Becker? Any questions for
- 21 Ms. Becker?
- MS. BECKER: May I follow up on
- 23 the site plan?
- 24 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: One moment.
- 25 MS. BECKER: Yes, sir.



- 1 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Just --
- VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Chairman, I
- 3 have a question or two questions,
- 4 actually.
- 5 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Please.
- 6 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Could we go
- 7 back to the Exhibit G that you just had
- 8 up on the screen?
- 9 MS. BECKER: Is that right for
- 10 you?
- 11 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Yeah. I'm
- 12 sorry. I had -- I can't read that and
- 13 I didn't turn around quickly enough.
- 14 MS. BECKER: That's fine.
- 15 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: But you, I
- 16 believe, made some reference to the
- 17 fact that this actually references a
- 18 different address, could you point that
- 19 out to me on that?
- 20 MS. BECKER: Absolutely. Over
- 21 here along the side, you can see there,
- 22 it says lot 22, Bradley Circle.
- VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay. It's
- 24 written vertically?
- 25 MS. BECKER: Yes, sir.



- 1 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I don't
- 2 read vertical very well, thank you,
- 3 but --
- 4 MS. BECKER: That's okay. And
- 5 listen, in terms of site plan and the
- 6 documents that were provided, and I
- 7 have a whole variance packet.
- 8 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Now, you
- 9 answered my question. Now I've got
- 10 another one.
- 11 MS. BECKER: Oh, okay.
- 12 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: And I want
- 13 you to speak to me in bullet points.
- MS. BECKER: Yes, sir.
- 15 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Bullet
- 16 points and not elaboration.
- 17 MS. BECKER: Okay.
- 18 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay?
- 19 MS. BECKER: I will do my very
- 20 best.
- 21 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Your
- 22 contention is that there are no vested
- 23 rights in this property relative to
- 24 height because there was no site plan
- 25 because of the pending ordinance, were



- 1 there any other bullet points that is
- 2 the basis for your argument that there
- 3 aren't vested rights?
- 4 MS. BECKER: Right to our
- 5 conclusion, how does that work out?
- 6 Yes.
- 7 MR. JOHNSON: Just a brief note, I
- 8 think we need the -- this needs to be
- 9 called exterior elevation or -- not a
- 10 site plan. Some -- that needs to be
- 11 corrected.
- 12 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Not done
- 13 with my question yet.
- 14 MR. JOHNSON: I'm sorry. We call
- 15 this a site plan, it's not a site plan.
- 16 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: No, I
- 17 didn't say.
- 18 MS. BECKER: Correct. Thank you.
- 19 There is another picture (inaudible)
- 20 Bradley Circle elevation, but it's a
- 21 crayon drawing and it also has no
- 22 height. It's not a detailed
- 23 engineering plan and the only reference
- 24 on there in terms of dimension is
- 25 across the bottom and I think it's some



- 1 type of coordinate.
- 2 To answer your question with
- 3 regard to -- so we talked about the
- 4 hardship, the fact that the applicants
- 5 themselves created their own hardship
- 6 and therefore, they should -- and that
- 7 is a well known and repeated fact that
- 8 an applicant cannot create their own
- 9 hardship and get a variance. And it
- 10 actually happened twice.
- 11 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay.
- 12 That's your point. What's the next
- 13 one?
- 14 MS. BECKER: Okay. That there is
- 15 no site plan. That there was nowhere,
- 16 any discussion that -- regard to
- 17 height, that there was no evidence at
- 18 all for the officials to rely on and
- 19 that the burden of proof rests with the
- 20 applicant, and there's nothing here to
- 21 prove that there is anything.
- 22 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay. No
- 23 reference to height. What's next? I
- 24 don't mean to push with --
- 25 MS. BECKER: Yes, sir. And that



- 1 we have a pending ordinance.
- 2 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay. All
- 3 right.
- 4 MS. BECKER: Yes, sir.
- 5 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: So your
- 6 four main points are no sight plan,
- 7 pending ordinance, self-imposed
- 8 hardship, and no reference to height?
- 9 MS. BECKER: Yes, sir.
- 10 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay.
- 11 Thank you very much.
- MS. BECKER: You're welcome. And,
- 13 by the way, thank you for your -- both
- 14 you and doctor -- Mr. Fingerhut had
- 15 great questions the day of the hearing.
- 16 Unfortunately, you didn't get great
- 17 answers.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Any other
- 19 questions for Ms. Becker?
- 20 MS. BECKER: Thank you.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you
- 22 very much. After Ms. Lewis goes,
- 23 you'll have some rebuttal time.
- 24 MS. BECKER: Thank you.
- 25 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Ms. Lewis?



1	MS. LEWIS: Good afternoon. So
2	what I'd like to go through with you is
3	just a brief discussion of how I got to
4	the determination that I got to, then
5	I'd be happy to answer any questions
6	that the Board may have. Again, this
7	is an appeal of a determination that I
8	made related to the maximum allowable
9	height at 28 Bradley Circle and Whelk.
10	So as Ms. Becker went through, a
11	variance was sought and approved by the
12	BZA for 28 Bradley Circle, so and
13	it's really two properties, 28 Bradley
14	Circle and 3 Whelk Street. As part of
15	that variance, the applicant submitted
16	elevation drawings that indicated that
17	the height of the two structure two
18	structures would be more than 45 feet
19	above the base flood elevation, and
20	you'll see as I go through, and as you
21	saw already from what Ms. Becker
22	presented, there is actually no height
23	listed on those elevations.
24	So the variance was granted on
25	March 28, 2016, and as I stated



- 1 earlier, with just setbacks, buffers,
- 2 and setback angles. The elevation
- 3 drawings were submitted to illustrate
- 4 those setback angles that they were
- 5 asking for a variance from. The only
- 6 other place that you see -- the two
- 7 places where you see, again, not a
- 8 specific reference to a height, but
- 9 what you see is that they showed four
- 10 stories over parking in those
- 11 elevations and also the staff report
- 12 stated that they proposed to build four
- 13 stories over parking.
- 14 And at the time the variance was
- 15 submitted, the allowable height in the
- 16 Resort Development Zone -- zoning
- 17 district, which is what this was zoned
- 18 at the time, was 75 feet above base
- 19 flood. So there been some changes
- 20 since then, Ms. Becker alluded to.
- 21 So one -- the first change to LMO
- 22 that the Town sought was to change the
- 23 height requirement, to modify the
- 24 height requirement for residential
- 25 structures in the Resort Development



- 1 Zoning District and so that was brought
- 2 to 45 feet from 75 feet. And then
- 3 later -- that was done in 2016, and
- 4 then in 2017, this area, with the
- 5 exception of three parcels, was rezoned
- 6 from Resort Development to RM-8. RM-8
- 7 is what had been to prior to the LMO
- 8 rewrite in 2014.
- 9 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: And RM-8
- 10 has a maximum --
- 11 MS. LEWIS: 45 feet.
- 12 MR. WALCZAK: If I can interject
- 13 just a moment. So what -- and what --
- 14 do you have a timeframe or date as to
- when the building permit was issued?
- 16 MS. LEWIS: Yes. Well, the
- 17 building permits for 28 Bradley Circle,
- 18 we've just issued the piling permits
- 19 for those last week.
- 20 MR. WALCZAK: So the building
- 21 permits were issued after the height
- 22 change?
- MS. LEWIS: Right, but what I'm
- 24 going to show you through my
- 25 presentation is why staff believes that



- 1 this -- the height was vested in that
- 2 area. So, again, staff acknowledges
- 3 that the elevation drawings did not
- 4 specify height, but, again, as you saw
- 5 in those drawings, did indicate that
- 6 the structure would be four stories
- 7 over parking.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: May I ask a
- 9 quick question about that? I'm sorry.
- 10 I hate to interrupt but I didn't want
- 11 to forget. Four stories over parking,
- 12 that's not defined anywhere, right, in
- 13 the LMO or anything?
- MS. LEWIS: It's not. The LMO,
- 15 years ago, listed a height and a story
- 16 requirement, and then after several
- 17 architects came to us and said that
- 18 that was kind of hurting their
- 19 flexible -- their ability to be
- 20 flexible, we took out the story
- 21 requirement and just have a straight
- 22 height. So if you can get, you know,
- 23 six stories and 75 feet, four stories,
- 24 whatever you can get in that maximum
- 25 allowable height requirement.



- 1 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Right, but
- 2 four stories over parking in and of
- 3 itself then means nothing, right, it
- 4 doesn't have any legal meaning, right?
- 5 MS. LEWIS: Not necessarily, but
- 6 I'm going to show you what I did to try
- 7 to determine what the maximum height,
- 8 you know, probably should have been,
- 9 what they were thinking when they
- 10 submitted these elevation drawings and
- 11 the setback angle.
- 12 MR. WHITE: Teri, I have a
- 13 question. In order to get a permit,
- 14 you have to have a site plan?
- 15 MS. LEWIS: So what type of permit
- 16 are you discussing? A variance or a
- 17 building permit?
- 18 MR. WHITE: A building permit.
- 19 MS. LEWIS: Building permit, yes,
- 20 you would submit a site plan.
- 21 MR. WHITE: And is there a
- 22 definition of what a site plan is,
- 23 isn't?
- MS. LEWIS: We do. We have a
- 25 definition of the site plan in the Land



- 1 Management Ordinance, the LMO.
- 2 MR. WHITE: When did you get that
- 3 site plan?
- 4 MS. LEWIS: In August 2017, is
- 5 when they originally submitted the
- 6 building permits for 28 Bradley Circle
- 7 and 3 Whelk Street.
- 8 MR. WHITE: August. So in August
- 9 of '17, you had the site plan, the
- 10 material for the site plan, and when
- 11 did they change the ruling to -- back
- 12 down to 45 feet?
- 13 MS. LEWIS: In May of 2016.
- 14 MR. WHITE: Thank you.
- 15 MS. LEWIS: Okay. So this is just
- 16 showing you what we put up earlier,
- 17 what was labeled as Attachment H. And
- 18 then, again, I used two recently
- 19 approved structures to determine the
- 20 proposed height that would have been
- 21 indicated by the elevation drawings.
- 22 I'm going to show those to you.
- 23 So the first is for 4 Terra Bella
- 24 Trace. This was approved in -- on
- 25 January 4, 2017. The completed height



- 1 is 45 feet above base flood. It's
- 2 three stories over parking. This is
- 3 for 24 Bradley Circle. This was
- 4 approved on August 24, 2016. The
- 5 completed height is 52 feet and one and
- 6 a half inches above base flood
- 7 elevation and it's four stories over
- 8 parking.
- 9 So based on the approved elevation
- 10 drawings of the recently constructed
- 11 houses in the area, staff believes that
- 12 52 feet one and a half inches was
- 13 vested as part of the variance approval
- 14 for 28 Bradley and 3 Whelk. And I
- 15 realize that that's different than my
- 16 original determination that stated 75
- 17 feet.
- 18 In doing additional research and
- 19 looking at it and realizing that there
- 20 was no height listed on there,
- 21 realizing that they did show four
- 22 stories over parking, and, again,
- 23 comparing that to two recently
- 24 constructed homes in that area, that's
- 25 where I came up with the 52 feet one



- 1 and a half inches that would be vested
- 2 instead of the 75 feet.
- 3 MR. WALCZAK: What was permitted
- 4 at that time, 75; correct?
- 5 MS. LEWIS: Well, permitted at
- 6 what time?
- 7 MR. WALCZAK: At the time of that
- 8 building, those houses were just -- you
- 9 said in 2016?
- 10 MS. LEWIS: So, in 2000 -- so when
- 11 the first one that I showed you 4 Terra
- 12 Bella Trace, that one was approved
- 13 at -- the height was 45 feet.
- 14 MR. WALCZAK: Right.
- 15 MS. LEWIS: So the one prior to
- 16 that -- or the one after 24 Bradley
- 17 Circle, there are three homes there,
- 18 20, 22, and 24 Bradley Circle, those
- 19 were applied for when the -- for the --
- 20 they went through the process when the
- 21 area was still zoned Resort Development
- 22 and the maximum height was 75 feet.
- MR. WALCZAK: Was 75, but they
- 24 only built to 52 feet or 51 or whatever
- 25 it was.



- 1 MS. LEWIS: Right.
- 2 MR. WALCZAK: So why would you say
- 3 that's vested, why not say 75 is
- 4 vested? I don't get it.
- 5 MS. LEWIS: Because I'm basing it
- 6 on knowing that the -- that those --
- 7 the elevation drawings do not say 75
- 8 feet. So I'm comparing it to the --
- 9 you know, something in the area that's
- 10 most recently completed and so that's
- 11 where I came up with the 52 and one and
- 12 a half inches.
- MR. WALCZAK: The LMO said 75,
- 14 right?
- 15 MS. LEWIS: The LMO said 75 was
- 16 what was allowed for Resort
- 17 Development. And, again, we went
- 18 through two changes. So what -- what
- 19 I'm suggesting, what I'm -- what I have
- 20 determined -- not what I'm suggesting.
- 21 What I have determined is that based on
- 22 both the South Carolina State Code and
- 23 the LMO, and I included both of these
- 24 in the packet of information that went
- 25 to the Board of Zoning Appeals, that a



- 1 vested right is established upon the
- 2 approval of a site specific development
- 3 plan.
- 4 That comes straight, again, from
- 5 the State Code. And when you look at
- 6 that language, the State Code defines
- 7 site specific development plan. It
- 8 means a development plan submitted to a
- 9 local governing body by a landowner
- 10 describing with reasonable certainty,
- 11 the types and density or intensity of
- 12 uses for a specific property or
- 13 properties. The plan may be in the
- 14 form of, but is not limited to, the
- 15 following plans or approvals: Planned
- 16 unit development, subdivision plat,
- 17 preliminary or general development
- 18 plat, variance, conditional use or
- 19 special use permit plan, conditions or
- 20 a special use district zoning plan, or
- 21 other land use approval designations as
- 22 are used by county or municipality.
- 23 MR. WALCZAK: Okay. So what was
- 24 the approval date of that site plan?
- 25 It says it has to be approved and if I



- 1 approve -- I presume approved by?
- 2 MS. LEWIS: As approved by the
- 3 Board of Zoning Appeals as part of the
- 4 variance for this property.
- 5 MR. WALCZAK: Oh, it was?
- 6 MS. LEWIS: That was granted in
- 7 March of 2016.
- 8 MS. LAUDERMILCH: What was the
- 9 site plan referred to in the August
- 10 2017 timeframe?
- 11 MS. LEWIS: That's -- as part of
- 12 the development for that property, they
- 13 have to submit a site plan as part of
- 14 their building permit, and so they
- 15 submitted a -- as part of their
- 16 building permit application.
- 17 MS. LAUDERMILCH: But which site
- 18 plan is the site plan -- I mean,
- 19 that -- that's going to impact this
- 20 decision?
- 21 MS. LEWIS: Sure. The site plan
- 22 that I'm referring to is what was
- 23 approved as part of the variance
- 24 package that was submitted to the BZA
- 25 in March -- and approved by the BZA in



- 1 March 2016.
- 2 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Okay. But is
- 3 it your statement then that that site
- 4 plan lacked the requisite specificity
- 5 to the extent that you had to fill in
- 6 the dimensions? I mean--
- 7 MS. LEWIS: It's not say -- it
- 8 does not state the height requirement
- 9 on there, yes.
- 10 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: When you were
- 11 figuring out -- when you were figuring
- 12 out your position on this, in other
- 13 words, because the information wasn't
- 14 in the site plan, you filled it in, we
- 15 you --
- 16 MS. LEWIS: Yes.
- 17 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Okay. So at
- 18 the time the Board issued it's
- 19 variance, and if whether or not it
- 20 created a vested right would depend, in
- 21 essence, what it was vested because
- 22 what were we vesting at the time?
- MS. LEWIS: Well, I think as part
- 24 of the information that was submitted
- 25 to the BZA, you received elevation



- 1 drawings to indicate the setback angles
- 2 and those elevation drawings show four
- 3 stories over parking.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Right. Which
- 5 has no legal meaning, so what were --
- 6 we -- I'm just trying -- is it your
- 7 position that the Board affirmatively
- 8 approved 75 feet or that that's what
- 9 the drawings meant?
- 10 MS. LEWIS: I believe that the
- 11 Board vested four stories over parking
- 12 as part of that variance.
- 13 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Can we see
- 14 the site plan?
- 15 MS. LEWIS: For the variance?
- 16 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: The one
- 17 that we're referring to right now, yes.
- 18 The thing is, zoning boards don't
- 19 approve site plans or building permits.
- 20 Yes. That's what I think, which is a
- 21 whole different ball game. Is that --
- 22 MS. LEWIS: And it should be in
- 23 what you received. I included the
- 24 variance documents.
- 25 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay. It



- 1 is, you're right.
- MS. LEWIS: As part of the packet.
- 3 MR. WALCZAK: Somewhere in the two
- 4 minute --
- 5 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: It's
- 6 Attachment F.
- 7 MR. JOHNSON: Does this have a
- 8 surveyor stamp on it, this particular
- 9 one? I think you had mentioned the
- 10 building permit one does.
- 11 MS. LEWIS: This one does not.
- 12 MR. JOHNSON: And are there -- are
- 13 there accurate dimension lines on this?
- 14 MS. LEWIS: I believe that there
- 15 are. Somebody has to be specific when
- 16 they're applying for a variance from
- 17 setbacks and buffers, they have to be
- 18 specific because they can't -- they
- 19 don't want to get it wrong and then
- 20 have to come back later, you know, and
- 21 have asked for 8 feet when they really
- 22 needed 9 feet.
- MR. WALCZAK: Yeah, I can see now.
- 24 Studying this and based on what you
- 25 said, I can see this as a schematic



- 1 site plan for the purpose of granting
- 2 variances. It is not a site plan as
- 3 interpreted, as I can tell, by state
- 4 law. State laws is saying, hey, you
- 5 know, an engineered site plan is what
- 6 I'm taking to mean that -- for that to
- 7 apply.
- 8 MS. LEWIS: But the state law
- 9 doesn't define -- for the purposes of
- 10 vested rights, the site -- the state
- 11 law does not say an engineering plan.
- 12 MR. WALCZAK: It doesn't say a --
- MS. LEWIS: It says --
- 14 MR. WALCZAK: Schematic plan
- 15 for --
- 16 MS. LEWIS: It says a development
- 17 plan submitted to a local governing
- 18 body by a landowner describing, with
- 19 reasonable certainty, the types and
- 20 density or intensity of uses for a
- 21 specific property or properties.
- 22 MR. WALCZAK: That's the
- 23 definition of -- on the -- for the
- 24 State?
- 25 MS. LEWIS: Under the vesting and



- 1 under the vested rights section, yes.
- 2 MR. JOHNSON: Ms. Lewis, in the
- 3 LMO, I believe you said there is an
- 4 articulation of things that have to be
- 5 included in the application for site
- 6 development plan?
- 7 MS. LEWIS: Yes. I think what I
- 8 was talking about, I was referring to
- 9 Ms. Laudermilch about the plat
- 10 stamping, so -- but, yes, there are
- 11 certain things that have to be
- 12 submitted for site development plan.
- 13 But again, the site development plan
- 14 that was submitted, the site plan that
- 15 was submitted with the building permit,
- 16 was submitted, you know, again, as part
- 17 of the building permit to build a
- 18 structure on the site, it wasn't a
- 19 development plan review.
- 20 MR. JOHNSON: Could you refer us
- 21 to that section of the LMO which lists
- 22 the items that have to be included in a
- 23 site development plan application?
- 24 MS. LEWIS: So what the BZA needs
- 25 to remember, though, is they don't --



- 1 they did not have to go through our
- 2 development plan review process for
- 3 this. They're not -- they weren't
- 4 getting multifamily approved, they
- 5 weren't getting a commercial
- 6 development approved, so the site plan
- 7 that they submitted was simply so that
- 8 we could verify that the house was in
- 9 the right location on the property per
- 10 what was submitted and approved as part
- 11 of the variance application.
- 12 And so that's what staff reviewed
- 13 when the variance -- when the building
- 14 plan came in, the building permit came
- in, and the site plan came in, Nicole
- 16 Dixon, since she was the one that
- 17 handled the variance review, the site
- 18 plan that was submitted with that
- 19 permit, to ensure that what was
- 20 requested for the variance was met.
- 21 MR. WHITE: Initially, you said
- 22 that you received a site plan in 2016.
- MS. LEWIS: Well, I think there's
- 24 several different site plans that we're
- 25 thinking about.



- 1 MR. WHITE: That's my question.
- 2 MS. LEWIS: Yeah.
- 3 MR. WHITE: So you received a site
- 4 plan in 2016?
- 5 MS. LEWIS: As part of the
- 6 variance package.
- 7 MR. WHITE: Right. And that's
- 8 been -- that's the premise of your
- 9 vesting issue? You received another
- 10 one along with a permit in 2017, yes?
- 11 MS. LEWIS: Yes.
- MR. WHITE: So why didn't you
- 13 determine that the vesting started in
- 14 2017?
- MS. LEWIS: Because the way the
- 16 vested rights language in the State
- 17 Code reads is that the vesting can
- 18 start once --
- 19 MR. WHITE: Can or should?
- 20 MS. LEWIS: So it says, in
- 21 6-29-1540, a vested right established
- 22 by this article and in accordance with
- 23 the standards and procedures in the
- 24 land development ordinances or
- 25 regulations adopted pursuant to this



- 1 chapter, subject to the following
- 2 conditions and limitations.
- 3 It talks about a site specific
- 4 development plan or phased development
- 5 plan for which a variance, regulation,
- 6 or special exception is necessary does
- 7 not confer a vested right until the
- 8 variance, regulation, or special
- 9 exception is obtained. So, again, they
- 10 obtained the variance that this
- 11 vested -- vested right was associated
- 12 with.
- 13 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I'd like to
- 14 continue my line of questioning. On
- 15 March 28, 2016, the Board of Zoning
- 16 Appeals granted a variance and that
- 17 variance related to, I believe, setback
- 18 and some angles.
- 19 MS. LEWIS: Setbacks, buffers, and
- 20 setback angles; correct.
- 21 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: And
- 22 apparently without, perhaps, all of us
- 23 realizing what we were doing, we
- 24 approved a site development plan by
- 25 that action.



- 1 MS. BECKER: Excuse me.
- 2 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Now -- I'd
- 3 like the opportunity to finish my
- 4 guestion. So what I'd like to know is
- 5 if we were approving a site development
- 6 plan on March 28, 2016 by the granting
- 7 of a variance, what were the
- 8 requirements to be included in that
- 9 plan at that time and were they met.
- 10 MS. LEWIS: What would have had to
- 11 have been submitted at that time was
- 12 what's required for a variance
- 13 application. And those requirements
- 14 are found in Appendix D of the LMO.
- 15 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Right.
- 16 MS. LEWIS: So it says --
- 17 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: What page
- 18 are you on? I'm looking.
- 19 MS. LEWIS: D-24.
- 20 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: D-24.
- 21 MS. LEWIS: The site plan at a
- 22 scale -- among other things, a site
- 23 plan at a scale of one inch equals 30
- 24 feet accurately showing the variances
- 25 requested, and then it talks about if



- 1 they're asking for a variance from
- 2 wetland buffer standards.
- 3 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Item 3 is a
- 4 notified certification written and
- 5 approved by the -- of the development
- 6 site owner.
- 7 MS. LEWIS: Right. A narrative,
- 8 proposed notice. Yes, they're required
- 9 to submit -- for a variance, they're
- 10 required to submit an application, the
- 11 site plan, an owner's consent, unless
- 12 they're the owner of the property, a
- 13 narrative both dealing -- both
- 14 detailing the variance that they want
- 15 and how they meet the criteria -- those
- 16 four criteria that you have to meet for
- 17 a variance -- any other documentation
- 18 that they want to submit and then a
- 19 copy of the mailed notice that they
- 20 have to send to people within 350 feet.
- 21 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: All right.
- 22 So you've articulated the things that
- 23 had to be submitted?
- 24 MS. LEWIS: That's correct.
- 25 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: And is it



- 1 your position that all of those were,
- 2 in fact, in place on March 28, 2016
- 3 when the BZA considered the variance?
- 4 MS. LEWIS: Yes. That wouldn't
- 5 have --
- 6 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: That's all
- 7 I'm asking.
- 8 MS. LEWIS: Okay. Yes.
- 9 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: All right.
- 10 Now, one other question and I'll
- 11 concede to somebody else. You read a
- 12 statement that vested right is
- 13 established upon the approval of a site
- 14 development plan and another part of
- 15 the vested right concept is that the
- 16 governing body has to be taking action
- 17 or proposing to take action to change
- 18 something.
- 19 So what I need to ask you as LMO
- 20 Official, what is your contention of
- 21 when the period of vested rights
- 22 begins? Was the announcement or the
- 23 action by the LMO Committee enough to
- 24 trigger vested rights or was it the
- 25 hearing and public hearing and



- 1 recommendation by the Planning
- 2 Commission, which took place on March
- 3 16, 2016 or was it the first reading of
- 4 the LMO ordinance changes by the Town
- 5 Council?
- 6 So we have LMO Committee, we have
- 7 Planning Commission, and we have Town
- 8 Council action, which of those three
- 9 events starts the period at which one
- 10 could claim a vested right?
- 11 MS. LEWIS: Well, I don't think in
- 12 that case, I don't believe that we're
- 13 talking about vested rights. In that
- 14 case and those instances that you read,
- 15 we're talking about pending ordinance.
- 16 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I'm sorry.
- 17 I'm sorry. Pending ordinance.
- 18 MS. LEWIS: Okay. I just want to
- 19 make sure we're talking about the same.
- 20 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I stand
- 21 corrected. Let me rephrase that.
- 22 MS. LEWIS: Okay.
- 23 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Which of
- 24 those three events triggers the pending
- 25 ordinance consideration, in your



- 1 opinion?
- 2 MS. LEWIS: So none for the change
- 3 where the height went from 75 feet to
- 4 45 feet in the RD Zoning District,
- 5 because Town Council did not invoke
- 6 pending ordinance at that time. When
- 7 the properties were being rezoned from
- 8 RD to RM-8, Town Council invoked
- 9 pending ordinance doctrine and stated
- 10 that any new plans that came in had to
- 11 meet the -- what was being proposed,
- 12 what would have been allowed in the
- 13 RM-8 Zoning District.
- 14 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: That was
- 15 subsequent to the May --
- 16 MS. LEWIS: Yes.
- 17 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: -- 3rd, I
- 18 believe it is, 2016 first reading. So
- 19 that's when pending ordinance
- 20 triggered?
- 21 MS. LEWIS: Yes. Pending
- 22 ordinance was not invoked for the first
- 23 change.
- 24 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay. If
- 25 there was no pending ordinance until



- 1 sometime after May of 2016, then how in
- 2 March of 2016 when the BZA took action
- 3 to grant the variance could pending
- 4 ordinance be applied to grant of -- or
- 5 to create a vested right?
- 6 MS. LEWIS: The pending ordinance
- 7 wasn't applied to create the vested
- 8 right. Staff's position is that the
- 9 vested right was created by the
- 10 approval of the variance for this
- 11 property and everything that was
- 12 included in that variance package.
- 13 Staff does not believe that
- 14 pending ordinance came into play at all
- 15 wit this, that -- that that -- staff
- 16 doesn't -- doesn't have the position
- 17 that the pending ordinance has anything
- 18 to do with the vested rights.
- 19 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay. All
- 20 right. Thank you. She clarified it
- 21 for my satisfaction.
- 22 MR. HULBERT: Okay. I just want
- 23 to make sure everybody understands that
- 24 pending ordinance is a doctrine of law
- 25 that is invoked by the government. Joe



- 1 Citizen can't invoke pending ordinance,
- 2 it's got to be the Council that invokes
- 3 that pending ordinance. That's why I
- 4 said the Town's position is between the
- 5 first read and second read, if it's
- 6 specifically invoked, and that's the
- 7 only time it can occur.
- 8 So just because someone says
- 9 there's pending ordinance, doesn't mean
- 10 that's true. And what Teri said is
- 11 actually correct, when they change the
- 12 density there between the first and
- 13 second reading right during the motion
- 14 approving the ordinance for the first
- 15 reading, we specifically invoked
- 16 pending ordinance and said that the
- 17 Town was not to grant any permits in
- 18 violation of the ordinance that was
- 19 pending at that time, and that's what
- 20 invoked it.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Okay. So
- 22 what was the date of that pending
- 23 ordinance?
- 24 MR. HULBERT: That --
- 25 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: The date?



- 1 MR. HULBERT: Well, that was for a
- 2 different issue, that wasn't for the
- 3 height, and I can't tell you when it
- 4 was. It was last year some time when
- 5 they changed the density. I couldn't
- 6 give you the date exactly.
- 7 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: I think she
- 8 said it was May '16?
- 9 MS. LEWIS: That's for the height.
- 10 MR. HULBERT: But there was no
- 11 pending ordinance --
- MS. LEWIS: For the height.
- 13 MR. HULBERT -- invoked for the
- 14 height change is what we want to make
- 15 clear.
- 16 MR. JOHNSON: All right. When did
- 17 the height change?
- 18 MR. HULBERT: The height change
- 19 occurred in 2017, I believe, right?
- 20 MS. LEWIS: No. The height
- 21 changed -- the height changed is the
- 22 May 2016 change.
- 23 MR. HULBERT: Okay. But during
- 24 that time, just because staff drafted
- 25 an ordinance and took it to the LMO



- 1 Committee and then to the Planning
- 2 Commission and then to the Town
- 3 Council, doesn't mean that pending
- 4 ordinance doctrine was invoked. There
- 5 was an ordinance pending, but it went
- 6 through the process, but the Town
- 7 Council never invoked pending ordinance
- 8 doctrine that meant that we want that
- 9 ordinance to apply to any permits or
- 10 any development during that period.
- 11 Everybody understand the
- 12 difference?
- 13 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Absolutely.
- 14 Ms. Lewis, one question. Is it your
- 15 position that the granting of the
- 16 variance is what made the -- made this
- 17 a site specific development plan at the
- 18 time we granted the variance?
- 19 MS. LEWIS: Yes. Staff's position
- 20 is that the granting of the variance
- 21 established vested rights for the site
- 22 specific development plan, which
- 23 included those various documents that
- 24 were included as part of the BZA
- 25 package, and part of that is because if



- 1 you look at it, it says, describes with
- 2 reasonable certainty, the types and
- 3 density or intensity of uses.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Right. But
- 5 it's because you indicated earlier that
- 6 it lacks certain dimensions, like
- 7 height, and so that required some
- 8 interpretation on your part even later.
- 9 So when I -- again, I just want to --
- 10 so you're saying it's the variance
- 11 itself, the granting of the variance
- 12 itself is what in essence made this,
- 13 qualify this as a site specific
- 14 development plan? I'm just trying --
- 15 frankly, trying to pin you down.
- 16 What makes it a site specific
- 17 development plan because you indicated
- 18 that it didn't really qualify because
- 19 it was missing things, but it was in
- 20 our granting of the variance or is it
- 21 something else that made it that?
- 22 MS. LEWIS: Well, I don't -- I
- 23 don't believe that I said that I didn't
- 24 think that it qualified. I definitely
- 25 said that it didn't state that it was



- 1 for 75 feet, but I believe by showing
- 2 the -- by showing the building, showing
- 3 the building elevations at four story
- 4 over parking, talking about the four
- 5 story over parking in the staff report,
- 6 that that met -- part of what this
- 7 requires is described with reasonable
- 8 certainty, the types and density or
- 9 intensity of uses for specific property
- 10 or properties.
- 11 So, again, while it didn't say 75
- 12 feet, and staff did have to make some
- 13 interpretation as to what that height
- 14 would be, it definitely showed four
- 15 stories over parking versus three
- 16 stories over parking.
- 17 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Okay. So
- 18 you're saying -- so, again, your
- 19 interpretation, you felt this had
- 20 reasonable -- enough reasonable
- 21 certainty to be considered a site
- 22 specific plan?
- MS. LEWIS: Yes.
- 24 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: So, all
- 25 right. So then when, I guess, we'll --



- 1 yes, and then when we -- when this
- 2 comes back to us, when we discuss it, I
- 3 guess then sitting in your shoes, that
- 4 it's our reasonable certainty.
- 5 MS. LEWIS: Absolutely.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Right. Okay.
- 7 That's what --
- 8 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: One more
- 9 question, if I might Mr. Chairman.
- 10 Teri, in your letter to
- 11 Mr. Laughlin dated February 8, 2018,
- 12 which is the basis of all of this.
- 13 MS. LEWIS: Yes.
- 14 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Toward the
- 15 end you write, since the site plan
- 16 associated with variance is vested with
- 17 a maximum height of 75 feet above base
- 18 flood elevation, and I believe your
- 19 testimony today is that it's now 52
- 20 feet one and a half inches?
- 21 MS. LEWIS: Yes. And that's why I
- 22 said at the beginning of my
- 23 presentation, that that was an error on
- 24 my part, that it should not have.
- 25 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: All right.



- 1 So we should scratch out 75 feet and
- 2 write 52?
- 3 MS. LEWIS: And one and a half
- 4 inches.
- 5 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: And has
- 6 that been communicated in writing to
- 7 the parties or?
- 8 MS. LEWIS: It has not.
- 9 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay. No
- 10 further questions.
- 11 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Any other
- 12 questions for Ms. Lewis?
- 13 MR. JOHNSON: The variance
- 14 request, do they not have to describe
- 15 verbally what they're requesting in a
- 16 written format?
- 17 MS. LEWIS: They do. They submit
- 18 a narrative. The applicant will submit
- 19 a narrative. They describe the
- 20 variances that they would -- that
- 21 they're hoping to obtain from the Board
- 22 of Zoning Appeals and then there --
- 23 they also go through the four criteria
- 24 and state why they believe that they
- 25 meet those.



- 1 MR. JOHNSON: And in that
- 2 descriptive outlay for the variance, do
- 3 they refer to the architecture, do they
- 4 refer to the height of the building?
- 5 MS. LEWIS: They never refer to
- 6 the height. I've reviewed that several
- 7 times, reviewed both our staff report
- 8 and reviewed the information that was
- 9 submitted by the applicant to see if
- 10 there was any mention of height. The
- 11 only thing that we have are we have the
- 12 elevation drawings and then we also
- 13 have a reference in the staff report to
- 14 four stories over parking.
- 15 MR. JOHNSON: But they never said
- 16 the variance we're coming in for is for
- 17 a height variance?
- 18 MS. LEWIS: No. They -- they were
- 19 not -- they did not apply for a
- 20 variance from height. And, again, if
- 21 they were not asking for a variance
- 22 from the setback angles, they probably
- 23 wouldn't have even submitted the
- 24 elevation drawings because those
- 25 wouldn't have been pertinent to the



- 1 variance.
- 2 But because they were asking for a
- 3 variance to the setback angles, they
- 4 needed to show that to show why if they
- 5 didn't get a variance for the setback
- 6 angles, it would change, you know, the
- 7 height and dimensions of the structure
- 8 that they were proposing to build.
- 9 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.
- 10 MS. LEWIS: You're welcome.
- 11 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I have one
- 12 more, please. Why wouldn't they ask
- 13 for a variance for the height if it was
- 14 already permitted in the LMO?
- 15 MS. LEWIS: They didn't ask for a
- 16 variance for the height.
- 17 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Right. But
- 18 then the permitted height -- let me
- 19 understand. Again, I think I asked
- 20 this already, but it was 75 feet at
- 21 that time?
- 22 MS. LEWIS: Yes. At the time that
- 23 they applied for their variance, the
- 24 property was zoned Resort Development
- 25 and the maximum allowable height was



- 1 75 feet.
- VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: And then it
- 3 changed to 45 feet.
- 4 MS. LEWIS: It did, after they
- 5 have obtained the variance.
- 6 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: After they
- 7 obtained the variance for side yard
- 8 setbacks and things?
- 9 MS. LEWIS: For setbacks, buffers,
- 10 and setback angles.
- 11 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Right. It
- 12 changed in May of 2016?
- 13 MS. LEWIS: That's correct.
- 14 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: So after
- 15 2016, if you wanted to develop anything
- 16 in there, you'd have to follow the 45
- 17 foot rule?
- 18 MS. LEWIS: Absolutely. If you
- 19 weren't -- if you weren't already
- 20 vested.
- 21 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: And to
- 22 me -- it would seem to me -- Well,
- 23 that's why I asked before, when was the
- 24 building permit or when was an
- 25 application made for a building permit



- 1 for this development?
- 2 MS. LEWIS: In August of 2017.
- 3 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: A year and
- 4 a half later?
- 5 MS. LEWIS: Yes.
- 6 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay.
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Any other
- 9 questions for Ms. Lewis? Thank you,
- 10 Ms. Lewis.
- MS. LEWIS: You're welcome.
- 12 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Ms. Becker,
- 13 you have some brief --
- 14 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Can I pose
- 15 a question?
- 16 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: One moment.
- 17 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I'd like to
- 18 pose a question to our legal counsel.
- 19 The appellant's here raised four
- 20 issues. No site plan, pending
- 21 ordinance, self-imposed hardship, and
- 22 no reference to height. I'd like to
- 23 address the third one. I believe the
- 24 argument was that in the original
- 25 variance application which this Board



- 1 considered on March 28, 2016, that
- 2 there was, in effect, a self-imposed
- 3 hardship and so to some degree the --
- 4 she would argue that the decision by
- 5 the BZA was inappropriate, but that was
- 6 information we didn't have.
- 7 MR. HULBERT: I would submit to
- 8 you that issue is --
- 9 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Let me
- 10 finish. Subsequent to the BZA's
- 11 action, some of the parties filed a
- 12 lawsuit to have the BZA decision
- 13 overturned. Subsequent to that, that
- 14 lawsuit was dismissed or withdrawn. So
- 15 my question to you as our counsel is:
- 16 Is that an argument that should be
- 17 considered at all by this Board?
- 18 MR. HULBERT: That might -- I
- 19 would submit to you that that's not an
- 20 issue before the BZA. You had your
- 21 appeal with the issues laid out in the
- 22 appeal and the time for that appeal was
- 23 back immediately after the variance
- 24 during the time period, during that,
- 25 and it wasn't appealed at that time.



- 1 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay. So 2 in my list of arguments here, I should
- 3 write not applicable next to that one?
- 4 MR. HULBERT: I would say that --
- 5 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Thank you.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you.
- 7 Ms. Becker?
- 8 MS. BECKER: Thank you. So --
- 9 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Just please
- 10 be mindful of the time. It's a five
- 11 minute.
- 12 MS. BECKER: I've got a bunch of
- 13 things I need to clear up, and with all
- 14 due respect, Sherman versus Reeves, in
- 15 terms of a legal pending zoning
- 16 ordinance, does not require -- the
- 17 pending ordinance doctrine does not
- 18 require Town to invoke it, it exists.
- 19 And if you read the law, the
- 20 Supreme Court law, and I believe I left
- 21 you with one particular case and it was
- 22 very similar. Their cases are of case
- 23 after case, a very similar situation,
- 24 but an ordinance is legally pending
- 25 when the governing body has resolved to



- 1 consider a particular scheme of
- 2 rezoning, that's when it's legally
- 3 pending and has advertised to the
- 4 public its intention to hold the public
- 5 hearing on rezoning.
- 6 And, in fact, in a number of
- 7 cases, AJ Aberman versus -- I know I'm
- 8 going to waste my time with all that
- 9 stuff, but I'm going to tell you that
- 10 as part of that decision, which was --
- 11 is also consistent with another South
- 12 Carolina State District Court, Covenant
- 13 Media versus the City of North
- 14 Charleston, South Carolina, they all
- 15 refer back to a statement that it's
- 16 clear -- is clear.
- 17 Similar conditions throughout all
- 18 of these cases that I have before me,
- 19 and they all refer back to this
- 20 particular statement. I'm going to
- 21 read it because it's important because
- 22 we just got information that's
- 23 incorrect and the reason I need time is
- 24 because I have a lot of stuff I need to
- 25 correct. It would be utterly



- 1 illogical -- the Supreme Court refers
- 2 to in their cases from Illinois.
- 3 The Supreme Court of South
- 4 Carolina, it would be utterly illogical
- 5 to hold, that after a zoning commission
- 6 had prepared a comprehensive zoning
- 7 ordinance or an amended -- amendment
- 8 thereto which was on file and open to
- 9 the public inspection and then upon
- 10 which public hearings had been held,
- 11 which is all true in this case and we
- 12 have the time line to demonstrate that
- 13 and it's in -- I have it.
- 14 Which public hearings have been
- 15 held and while the ordinance was under
- 16 consideration, any person could, by
- 17 merely filling an application, compel
- 18 the municipality to issue a permit --
- 19 and since we are a variance and a
- 20 variance essentially gives you a
- 21 permanent, right? Because you're going
- 22 to approve it and it's going to get
- 23 vested and you're going to get a
- 24 permit.
- 25 A permit which would allow him to



- 1 establish a use which he either knew or
- 2 could have known would be forbidden by
- 3 the proposed ordinance, and by so
- 4 doing, nullify the entire work of the
- 5 municipality and endeavoring to carry
- 6 out the purpose for which the zoning
- 7 law was enacted. In the case before
- 8 us, it must be assumed that the
- 9 ordinance prohibited the use of the
- 10 premises in which -- so it goes on to
- 11 talk about -- but the fact of the
- 12 matter is, it's illogical that if a
- 13 Planning Commission has voted to
- 14 recommend to the Council, it has, in
- 15 fact, already started, it's pending.
- 16 There's case after case where it
- 17 says it can't be merely conjecture. It
- 18 can't be something that's referred
- 19 around and we're talking about it, but
- 20 it has to be -- having taken votes and
- 21 has to be referred to -- in fact, it's
- 22 not even necessarily required that it's
- 23 referred to the Council at that point,
- 24 but votes have been taken and that
- 25 public hearings have been taken. As we



- 1 all know, every hearing here in Hilton
- 2 Head that's a public commission or
- 3 committee, is a public hearing.
- 4 But moreover, it's in writing and
- 5 you have the documentation before you.
- 6 I submitted it with the application,
- 7 that there was a Planning Commission
- 8 and on March 16th, there was a public
- 9 hearing with the 2016 amendments,
- 10 including the height change was
- 11 changed. So Mr. -- it was not 75 feet
- 12 at that time because we were under a
- 13 pending ordinance and it was 45 feet.
- 14 This hearing for the BZA was not
- 15 until March 28th, a full 12 days later.
- 16 So that clarifies that and if you want
- 17 the references, I've got plenty of them
- 18 for you. So Ms. Lewis did a really
- 19 good job trying to explain to you how
- 20 she came up with something. We have to
- 21 deal with the law, so instead of
- 22 standing here and coming up with how
- 23 she interpreted it and conjecture,
- 24 there's plenty of law, there's
- 25 statutes.



1	I read to you how there are a
2	number of places where you can find
3	what's required for a variance, and one
4	of the things that's required for a
5	variance package that you receive so
6	that you as the governing body can make
7	a decision, one of the things that is
8	required is a site plan. And by the
9	LMO rules, the site plan requires that
10	you have an engineered, detailed
11	engineering plan to scale.
12	Nothing that you have seen is an
13	engineering plan to scale. The best
14	you can come up with, right The best
15	you're going to come up with, maybe, is
16	this, and it's not an engineering plan
17	as we've already pointed out, and that
18	says 49 to 49 feet is all he's got.
19	And when you measure it that same
20	measure, if you want to try to come up
21	with an interpretation, you can come up
22	with this interpretation.
23	The same three and a half inches
24	across, thereabouts, 49 feet is the
25	game that you goe here. So at hegt if



- 1 we want to give a vested height to the
- 2 applicant, we have to rely on what
- 3 shows an actual dimension, even though
- 4 it's not an engineered plan. It's 49
- 5 feet across and it's the same thing
- 6 out.
- 7 So if he wants to be vested for 49
- 8 feet, I'm fine with that. Our entire
- 9 neighborhood is fine with that. He's
- 10 49 feet, not from BFE, but from the
- 11 ground, and that was what he proposed,
- 12 Bradley Circle elevation proposed.
- 13 It's labeled correctly, it's dated
- 14 somewhat within the timeframe that we
- 15 would have been dealing with, and that
- 16 is the only dimension.
- 17 And you can have four stories over
- 18 garage. He says throughout his
- 19 narrative and all of these things that
- 20 you guys correctly asked, that he
- 21 wanted to be in harmony with the
- 22 neighborhood. His photo showed homes
- 23 that he wanted to be consistent with.
- 24 All of those homes are 45 feet, so by
- 25 his words, by his actions in terms of



- 1 drawing this, by a pending ordinance,
- 2 by the fact that it was a
- 3 self-inflicted hardship that got us to
- 4 where we are, where we never should be,
- 5 he does not have a vested right.
- 6 And I appreciate you reminding me,
- 7 so much in my mind, and I appreciate
- 8 it. I probably have forgotten 75
- 9 percent of what I needed to say to you,
- 10 but, in fact, there is a settlement
- 11 agreement and a settlement agreement
- 12 was taken by DST to the Circuit Court
- 13 and dismissed. But from that, it
- 14 wasn't dismissed and forgotten, a
- 15 settlement agreement and restrictive
- 16 covenants were put into place and
- 17 recorded as a public document. There's
- 18 plenty of things that this staff could
- 19 have depended on that are public
- 20 documents, legally written codes of law
- 21 in that settlement agreement, right?
- 22 Couple of things, Number two says
- 23 that there is a limited use and that he
- 24 can only rent these buildings, the two
- 25 buildings can -- two homes can be



- 1 built, and he can only rent them in a
- 2 Resort Development Zone, so that's
- 3 interesting in and of itself. How are
- 4 we going to get out of that one, right?
- 5 Can't get out of that one. And on
- 6 number five, it's a restrictive -- it's
- 7 construction restrictions.
- 8 The word itself tells you what
- 9 number five is. That's where she -- it
- 10 talks about the applicable LMO and I
- 11 have a definition of applicable and
- 12 applicable means the existing law, and
- 13 that's by South Carolina State case
- 14 law. So number five says that you're
- 15 restricted as to your height, you're
- 16 restricted.
- 17 Restricted only means one thing,
- 18 the settlement agreement was to the
- 19 benefit of DST and the adjacent
- 20 properties. It was something that the
- 21 defendants were giving to the
- 22 plaintiff, to DST, to the adjacent
- 23 properties, to restrict their use of
- 24 that property as much as possible. And
- 25 under number five, under the applicable



- 1 law, it says that it's a restrictive
- 2 structure that can be built.
- 3 So restrictive means it's limited.
- 4 It's to -- it's changed, you have to
- 5 stay with underneath -- and also, in
- 6 South Carolina, in Hilton Head Island,
- 7 you have to stay with it. If there's a
- 8 controversy, it's the most restrictive
- 9 use of the language. The most
- 10 restrictive use of the language is to
- 11 be used. So I don't --
- 12 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Ms. Becker?
- 13 MS. BECKER: I know.
- 14 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: I need --
- 15 MS. BECKER: I know.
- 16 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: We're five
- 17 minutes past that so I need to you sum
- 18 up.
- 19 MS. BECKER: And you guys asked
- 20 her a lot of questions and I need to
- 21 ask you to please ask me those
- 22 questions because I could have answered
- 23 every one of them. So if you could
- 24 please -- let me stop talking. Ask me
- 25 as many questions as you can, please.



- 1 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Are there any
- 2 questions for Ms. Becker?
- 3 You've answered all of our
- 4 questions.
- 5 MS. BECKER: I have so much more I
- 6 could tell you and I so wish I could.
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you.
- 9 That closes the argument section
- 10 of this appeal. Discussion?
- 11 Mr. White?
- 12 MR. WHITE: Mr. Chairman, I
- 13 thought your raised an interesting
- 14 point, that the premise of our March
- 15 2016 approval turned into question.
- 16 That being if, in fact, there was a
- 17 self-inflicted hardship for the -- the
- 18 essence of the variance. What I'm
- 19 hearing from Counsel is it doesn't
- 20 matter because we can't go back.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Correct.
- 22 That's my understanding as well. That
- 23 is -- that's happened, it hasn't been
- 24 altered. It's actually been litigated,
- 25 then settled, and that is -- the



- 1 variance, it stands.
- 2 MR. WHITE: Okay.
- 3 MS. BECKER: I know that it's
- 4 closed and I'm going to ask for
- 5 forgiveness for just one moment,
- 6 please. There actually is case law.
- 7 You can reverse it.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you.
- 9 Thank you for your comment. So
- 10 that's -- we've heard advice from our
- 11 Counsel on that.
- 12 Any other comment from anybody?
- 13 MS. LAUDERMILCH: I'd like, if we
- 14 can still get it, clarification or
- 15 information on what the date of when
- 16 the Town Council meeting would have
- 17 been published, you know, prior to the
- 18 meeting, but the agenda and the
- 19 publication of the meeting putting the
- 20 public on record that this height issue
- 21 was going to be considered, which would
- 22 have occurred prior to the April 2016
- 23 decision. Do you know where I'm going?
- 24 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: The decision
- 25 was in March 2016.



- 1 MS. LAUDERMILCH: Right. But
- 2 there was a council meeting, if I'm
- 3 correct, in April. It changed the
- 4 height.
- 5 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: That was May.
- 6 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: May -- May
- 7 3rd, 2016.
- 8 MS. LAUDERMILCH: So I'm asking
- 9 what the publication date for that
- 10 meeting was. That's what I'm asking.
- 11 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Would you
- 12 know?
- 13 MR. HULBERT: That required two
- 14 readings. There was two dates. The
- 15 first date is probably the one that has
- 16 it.
- 17 MS. LAUDERMILCH: This first one
- 18 is what I'm -- yeah, looking for.
- 19 MR. HULBERT: I wrote down May
- 20 2016.
- 21 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I think
- 22 it's May 3rd, 2016. I can't read.
- 23 MS. LAUDERMILCH: But was that a
- 24 meeting date or a publication date?
- 25 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Meeting



- 1 date.
- 2 MS. LAUDERMILCH: See, I'm
- 3 asking --
- 4 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Publication
- 5 would be two weeks before.
- 6 MS. LAUDERMILCH: Two weeks.
- 7 Okay.
- 8 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Either May
- 9 3rd or May 8th. I can't read my
- 10 writing.
- 11 MR. HULBERT: We have all these
- 12 dates floating around. It seems to me
- 13 it's important to nail them down so we
- 14 can see exactly what happened when so
- 15 that we can determine whether or not
- 16 the --
- 17 MS. BECKER: I have the official
- 18 document from the Town if you want to
- 19 read it.
- 20 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Ms. Lewis?
- 21 MS. LEWIS: I believe the first
- 22 reading -- as Brian -- as Mr. Hulbert
- 23 said, there are two readings required
- 24 to adopt a change to the LMO. First
- 25 reading, I believe, was on May 3rd.



- 1 Second reading was on May 17th.
- 2 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: May?
- 3 MS. LEWIS: 17th.
- 4 MS. LAUDERMILCH: I was asking for
- 5 the publication date for the May 3rd
- 6 meeting. Well, again, I mean, it
- 7 sounds like subject to interpretation
- 8 again, but that Sherman Reeves does
- 9 speak to when the public is put on
- 10 notice that there is a change being
- 11 considered, so that's why now I'm just
- 12 asking, what was the publication date?
- 13 MR. HULBERT: Two weeks prior.
- 14 MS. LAUDERMILCH: Or whenever the
- 15 public was put on notice, that's my
- 16 question.
- 17 MR. HULBERT: That would have
- 18 occurred at the Planning Commission.
- 19 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: March 6,
- 20 2016.
- 21 MR. HULBERT: So that was prior to
- 22 this Board's granting of the variance.
- 23 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: We met
- 24 March 28th.
- 25 MR. HULBERT: So therefore, it was



- 1 already in place, that 75 was gone by
- 2 the wayside.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: It was
- 4 proposed.
- 5 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Was
- 6 considered.
- 7 MR. HULBERT: Well, yes. So, in
- 8 essence, then this Board did not
- 9 make -- didn't vest that height because
- 10 at that point in time, at the time of
- 11 the meeting, was 45 feet.
- 12 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Well, it
- 13 wasn't, it was -- when this Board met
- on March 28, 2016, the height
- 15 restriction in RD Zoning was 75 feet.
- 16 It was 75 feet, okay? On March 16,
- 17 eight -- or 12 days before the BZA met,
- 18 the Planning Commission had held a
- 19 public hearing and made a -- by 7-0
- 20 vote, voted to recommend to the Town
- 21 Council, approval of certain changes to
- 22 the LMO that included lowering the
- 23 height.
- 24 So on March 28, 2016 when the BZA
- 25 met and made -- and made a decision on



- 1 the variance, the height restriction
- 2 was 75 feet, but the Town was
- 3 considering a change. It didn't make
- 4 that change until May 17th at the
- 5 second reading of the ordinance.
- 6 MR. HULBERT: As I understand the
- 7 argument here, it's -- first went into
- 8 public domain, let's call it.
- 9 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Well, the
- 10 Supreme Court case says an ordinance is
- 11 legally pending when the governing body
- 12 has resolved to consider a particular
- 13 scheme of rezoning and as advertised to
- 14 the public its intent. The LMO
- 15 Committee is not the governing body.
- 16 The Planning Commission is not the
- 17 governing body. The Town Council is
- 18 the governing body, and our Counsel has
- 19 advised us that there wasn't any
- 20 pending ordinance consideration until
- 21 the time that Town Council acted, I
- 22 believe.
- 23 MR. HULBERT: That didn't even
- 24 vote yet.
- 25 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: But is it



- 1 necessary.
- 2 MR. HULBERT: We always
- 3 affirmatively invoke any ordinance --
- 4 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: See that's --
- 5 I appreciate the answer, but that's not
- 6 exactly what Jerry's asking, he's
- 7 saying is it legally required, not that
- 8 this Town Council would normally do it,
- 9 what's legally required in the South
- 10 Carolina law and --
- 11 MR. HULBERT: The Town believes it
- 12 is legally required.
- 13 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Right. But
- 14 from the case that we're discussing, it
- 15 doesn't at least appear obvious to us
- 16 that that is the case.
- 17 MS. BECKER: It doesn't say it
- 18 anywhere in --
- 19 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Please do not
- 20 do that.
- 21 MR. HULBERT: Keep in mind that
- 22 that's a 1972 or whatever --
- 23 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: '79.
- MR. HULBERT: '79 case, that the
- 25 law has changed and now public hearings



- 1 can occur either Council or Planning
- 2 Commission and the Town has elected to
- 3 let public hearings occur, in most
- 4 cases, at the Planning Commission.
- 5 Town Council also determines when a law
- 6 takes effect. They will say when they
- 7 adopt the ordinance, when it takes
- 8 effect.
- 9 It may be upon adoption. It may
- 10 be at a future date, like our plastic
- 11 bag ban we just adopted, that takes
- 12 effect eight months later, and with
- 13 conditions that if the other bodies
- 14 adopt the ordinance, all right. So
- 15 Town Council determined it took effect
- 16 on the date it was adopted, when they
- 17 passed that ordinance. They didn't
- 18 invoke or intend to invoke.
- 19 There is no evidence that they
- 20 intended to invoke the pending
- 21 ordinance doctrine in that case. That
- 22 was never conveyed to staff or any
- 23 committee. We weren't directed not to
- 24 accept or approve any permits or
- 25 applications during that time, contrary



- 1 to that ordinance.
- Now, when it came to the density
- 3 issue for that neighborhood, they did
- 4 intentionally invoke the pending
- 5 ordinance doctrine between the first
- 6 reading and the second reading.
- 7 MR. WALCZAK: So you're saying the
- 8 pending ordinance doctrine must be
- 9 invoked by the Town?
- 10 MR. HULBERT: That's our
- 11 interpretation, our understanding of
- 12 the law. By determination of Town
- 13 Council telling us that they want the
- 14 Town not to prove any permits country
- 15 to that. Because, again, the
- 16 government determines whether or not to
- 17 grant a permit or not. We can grant
- 18 one while under the existing laws or
- 19 not.
- 20 The Town chose to make an
- 21 interpretation in that case and you
- 22 have to determine -- Terri's place as
- 23 far as did that give specific notice as
- 24 to the height requirements in there or
- 25 just the setbacks, that's what it



- 1 really boils down to. Did you have
- 2 enough notice there that it applied,
- 3 and if that's not relevant because
- 4 everybody presumed that the 75 foot was
- 5 there so they didn't ask for a variance
- 6 for an ordinance that was already in
- 7 effect.
- 8 And remember, they applied for
- 9 that variance, what 30 or 45 days
- 10 before that, before any public notice
- 11 went out as far as height discussion.
- 12 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Brian, are
- 13 you explaining or advocating?
- 14 MR. HULBERT: I'm not advocating
- 15 at all. I'm telling you --
- 16 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Feels like
- 17 you're advocating.
- 18 MR. HULBERT: You guys have to
- 19 decide. It's a tough issue. It's not
- 20 clear at all.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Agreed.
- 22 Agreed. Thank you.
- 23 MR. WALCZAK: When did the 45 foot
- 24 become official?
- 25 MR. HULBERT: Official? It would



1 have been May --2 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: May 17th. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: May 17, 4 2016, second reading of the ordinance. 5 MR. WALCZAK: May 17th? 6 MR. HULBERT: Yes. And as you were told, he applied over a year 7 later, but the question is: What 8 9 vested on that date that that ordinance 10 passed, that's what's before you. 11 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Agreed. 12 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: All right. 13 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Do you have 14 another? 15 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I do. I'm 16 still torn by this. Mr. Hulbert, 17 you've told us that subsequent to the Reeves versus -- was it Sherman? 18 To 19 the 1979 Supreme Court case, the law 20 has changed and governing bodies i.e., 21 Town Councils, can delegate another 22 body to hold a public hearing and at Hilton Head Island, the Town has

delegated that responsibility to the

Planning Commission; correct?



23

24

25

- 1 MR. HULBERT: That's correct.
- 2 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay. So
- 3 the hearing of the Planning Commission
- 4 on the proposed ordinance was March 16,
- 5 2016, 12 days before the BZA heard the
- 6 variance request. So there was -- the
- 7 governing body, through its appointed
- 8 agent, the Planning Commission, had
- 9 resolved to consider a public -- a
- 10 particular scheme of rezoning. I think
- 11 that's a fact based on what you've told
- 12 us.
- 13 MR. HULBERT: Well, I can't say
- 14 that the governing body has resolved to
- 15 consider that. I can tell you that it
- 16 came through the process for a change.
- 17 Now where that originated at the
- 18 direction of Council or at staff, I
- 19 can't tell you, Staff will have to
- 20 answer that.
- 21 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Well, it
- 22 doesn't say that -- it says, as
- 23 advertised to the public it's intent to
- 24 hold public hearings, so through
- 25 delegation by the Town Council to the



- 1 Planning Commission, the Planning
- 2 Commission held, not only declared its
- 3 intent to, but, in fact, held a public
- 4 hearing on March 16, 2016.
- 5 The BZA, on March 28th, 12 days
- 6 later, grants this variance, which we
- 7 thought was about setbacks and buffers
- 8 and angles.
- 9 MR. HULBERT: That's all they
- 10 asked for, keep that in mind.
- 11 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: And that's
- 12 all they asked for. But as my
- 13 colleague has pointed out, they didn't
- 14 have to ask for a variance from 75 feet
- 15 because that was what was in place in
- 16 the LMO at the time. Now, on May 17, a
- 17 month later, two months later, the Town
- 18 Council has the second reading of the
- 19 ordinance to adopt these changes, and
- 20 so they, in fact, became law.
- 21 But because -- I just want to make
- 22 sure I'm clear. I'm -- you know,
- 23 you're our -- giving us legal advice
- 24 here. It's your contention -- let me
- 25 make sure I'm correct, that because the



- 1 Town Council did not invoke the pending
- 2 ordinance doctrine at its action on May
- 3 17, therefore, the fact that the
- 4 Planning Commission had held the public
- 5 hearing, that the pending ordinance
- 6 doctrine did not apply, and therefore,
- 7 there was no -- that's an invalid
- 8 argument against this case?
- 9 MR. HULBERT: I don't believe that
- 10 pending ordinance doctrine applies in
- 11 this case because there is no evidence
- 12 that the Town intended for pending
- 13 ordinance doctrine to be invoked and to
- 14 apply.
- 15 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Thank you.
- 16 MR. HULBERT: Okay.
- 17 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thanks,
- 18 Brian. In addition to the pending
- 19 ordinance doctrine, anybody have any
- 20 thoughts about whether a site specific
- 21 development plan was ever presented for
- 22 this variance?
- MR. WALCZAK: No. It probably was
- 24 (inaudible) it was over a year and a
- 25 half.



- 1 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Okav. So 2 without a -- your mic -- site specific 3 development plan, under State Law, 4 there's no vested right. 5 MR. WALCZAK: Right. 6 MS. LAUDERMILCH: Right. Yeah, I don't think we can infer that the 7 variance with the site plan was 8 9 relative to the height because height 10 was never addressed. 11 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: And the fact that the building permit was 12 13 applied for in August of 2017, like I 14 said, almost a year and a half later 15 than the 45 foot plan came into being. Supposed they waited till 2020 to do 16 17 I mean, where's the limitation on -- I mean, they can still get a 18 19 building permit five, six years later? 20 They waited it -- in other words, 21 they knew that this was going to be 22 changing so if height was an issue, why 23 didn't they make the building permit 24 application prior to the change. They
 - $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}}$

25

knew it was pending, but they waited a

- 1 year and a half later to make their
- 2 building permit application.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Right.
- 4 Right. Other comments? Does anybody
- 5 have a motion, keeping in mind that if
- 6 we're going to affirm, we have Findings
- 7 of Fact, Conclusions of Law of the LMO
- 8 Official. If we're going to modify or
- 9 reverse, we have to have our own
- 10 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of
- 11 Law.
- 12 So does anybody have any thoughts
- 13 about that?
- MR. JOHNSON: One of my thoughts
- 15 is that the Town Official came up and
- 16 said that they made an error and they
- 17 themselves said it probably should have
- 18 been 52 foot one inch and a half. I
- 19 think that should be taken into
- 20 consideration.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: How so, if
- 22 you don't mind flushing that out. How
- 23 so?
- 24 MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think there
- 25 was some assumptions made that were not



- 1 based on facts and those assumptions
- were not accurate. I mean, I can read
- 3 drawings and I can tell you that the
- 4 height of this is not, in fact, 75
- 5 feet. It is approximately 46 feet and
- 6 a half.
- 7 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: If you could
- 8 keep --
- 9 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Take the one
- 10 inch -- okay. 13.28 and you take a
- 11 three and a half inch, approximately,
- 12 and multiply it by 13.288, you come up
- 13 with 46.5 feet.
- 14 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: 13.288
- 15 being the height of the floor?
- 16 MR. JOHNSON: It being 1 inch
- 17 equals.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Scale.
- 19 MR. JOHNSON: The scale of the
- 20 drawing.
- 21 MR. WALCZAK: Somebody calculated
- 22 that.
- 23 MR. JOHNSON: And I don't know who
- 24 put that on there.
- MR. WALCZAK: 13 feet, somebody



- 1 calculated that based on the drawing
- 2 that they -- was submitted.
- 3 MR. JOHNSON: But if you take the
- 4 49 feet on the bottom there and take a
- 5 little piece of paper and put a hash
- 6 mark on each end and turn it upright,
- 7 it's 49 -- it's less than 49 feet.
- 8 It's evidenced by the three and a half
- 9 inch ruler and the previous -- do you
- 10 have your -- by the way, that -- that
- 11 five stories ends up being 9.3 feet
- 12 from floor to floor, which is
- 13 actually -- you can accomplish that.
- 14 You can do that.
- Now, I think we've gotten lost in
- 16 the weeds, so to speak, over these
- 17 dates and things, but I think the
- 18 Official made the wrong interpretation
- 19 and admittedly, to her credit, came
- 20 back today and say -- said it really
- 21 isn't 75 feet tall and I think that the
- 22 year and a half time that passed to
- 23 submit the drawings, there were new
- 24 codes and new regulations.
- 25 And you go by the current codes



- 1 and regulations. Whether it says it's
- 2 been vested or not, you go by the
- 3 current codes and regulations.
- 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Bob, your
- 5 argument would be that there was not a
- 6 site specific development plan at the
- 7 time of the BZA action?
- 8 MR. JOHNSON: It was not complete
- 9 enough to be considered complete enough
- 10 to be considered site specific.
- 11 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: All right.
- 12 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Mr. Cutrer?
- 13 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I guess I
- 14 do have one question before I make a
- 15 motion and that is, what appears to be
- 16 at dispute right now is whether the
- 17 maximum height is 52 feet 2 inches or
- 18 45 feet.
- 19 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Just my -- I
- 20 mean, if you're asking my own view is
- 21 that it is so indeterminate that it's
- 22 not for us to guess.
- 23 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: And I know.
- 24 Our LMO Official has told us that her
- 25 determination, based on her letter, is



- 1 that 52 feet one and a half inches is
- 2 the now applicable height. All right.
- 3 So 52 feet one and a half inches. The
- 4 LMO now requires 45 feet max.
- 5 So I guess the question is, can
- 6 this body, if it were to reverse the
- 7 determination by the LMO Official, set
- 8 a height limitation somewhere in
- 9 between?
- 10 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: We stand in
- 11 the shoes of the LMO Official and so we
- 12 know --
- 13 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Wait a
- 14 minute.
- 15 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: We stand in
- 16 the shoes of the LMO Official and my
- 17 understanding is that you can make that
- 18 determination here. I don't know that
- 19 we would want to, but we certainly can.
- 20 I believe we --
- 21 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: But what we
- 22 just heard, from our more professional
- 23 colleagues than me in this area, is
- 24 that this thing could get built at 49
- 25 feet.



- 1 MR. WALCZAK: We can change the
- 2 LMO, right?
- 3 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: No. We don't
- 4 change, we enforce the LMO.
- 5 MR. WALCZAK: That's what I'm
- 6 saying, so we -- how could we approve a
- 7 51 foot or 49 foot or whatever if it
- 8 says 45?
- 9 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I think
- 10 what's --
- 11 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: We would have
- 12 to find that it vested at the higher
- 13 level in order to do that.
- 14 MR. JOHNSON: I think the request
- 15 for appeal is what we're here for --
- 16 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Yes.
- 17 MR. JOHNSON: -- today and that is
- 18 saying that we do or we don't believe
- 19 that the 75 feet is vested, is that --
- 20 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Not exactly,
- 21 no.
- MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
- 23 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: No, we could
- 24 affirm.
- 25 MR. JOHNSON: Correct me.



- 1 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Okay. We
- 2 could affirm based on the Findings of
- 3 Fact Conclusions of Law, we could
- 4 modify the decision, or we can reverse
- 5 it and we stand in the shoes of the LMO
- 6 Official on that.
- 7 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: And I think
- 8 our Counsel was wanting to tell us
- 9 something. I'm sorry?
- 10 MR. HULBERT: I think the Chairman
- 11 just nailed it.
- 12 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you.
- 13 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Name it
- 14 again so everybody --
- 15 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: He says I got
- 16 it right.
- 17 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: And say
- 18 what you said again.
- 19 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Oh, Sorry.
- 20 We can -- we can affirm based on the
- 21 Findings of Fact, the Conclusions of
- 22 Law of Ms. Lewis. We can modify, in
- 23 essence, standing in her shoes and
- 24 change parts of the decision. We can
- 25 completely reverse it and say something



- 1 else.
- VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Okay. Mr.
- 3 Chairman, I am prepared to offer a
- 4 motion to modify the height restriction
- 5 to 49 feet. The appellants have listed
- 6 several items in their argument. The
- 7 first is that there was no detail site
- 8 plan. Second is that there was a
- 9 pending ordinance applicable. Third
- 10 was that there was a self-imposed
- 11 hardship by the original owners. The
- 12 fourth was there was no reference to
- 13 height, and then the fifth, actually,
- 14 it came up later, was that there was
- 15 this settlement agreement that had been
- 16 reached by the parties.
- 17 We've been advised by our Counsel
- 18 that the pending ordinance doctrine
- 19 does not apply here. We've been
- 20 advised by our Counsel that the
- 21 self-imposed hardship that was -- might
- 22 have been considered in the original
- 23 March 28, 2016 BZA deliberations is not
- 24 applicable here. The height was not
- 25 referenced in the application but --



- 1 for the variance, but as has been
- 2 pointed out, there was really no need
- 3 to because the building height was
- 4 going to be substantially less than
- 5 what was permitted under the then
- 6 zoning.
- 7 And that the settlement agreement,
- 8 the Town was not a party to, we've been
- 9 advised that that's not something for
- 10 us to consider.
- 11 So I move to modify the finding of
- 12 the LMO Official and set the maximum
- 13 height of these buildings at 49 feet.
- 14 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: May I ask a
- 15 question? Is that because -- first, is
- 16 that 49 feet above the flood elevation
- 17 of 49 feet total?
- 18 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I ask one
- 19 of my architectural colleagues here to
- 20 clarify that point for me.
- 21 MR. WALCZAK: I think -- I think
- 22 you were making the -- that goes above
- 23 flood; correct?
- 24 MS. LEWIS: Above base flood
- 25 elevation.



- 1 MR. WALCZAK: Base flood. Base
- 2 flood being at that location 15 or 14?
- 3 MS. LEWIS: 14 feet, I believe.
- 4 MR. WALCZAK: 14.
- 5 MR. JOHNSON: Does that work?
- 6 MR. WALCZAK: That works. That's
- 7 the original --
- 8 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: So 49 feet
- 9 above base flood elevation.
- 10 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Above base
- 11 flood elevation.
- 12 MR. WALCZAK: That's not what this
- 13 drawing shows.
- 14 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: No. This
- 15 drawing shows 49 feet.
- 16 MR. WALCZAK: Above ground.
- 17 MR. JOHNSON: Above slab.
- 18 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Well, what
- 19 do we need to get there?
- 20 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Just a
- 21 motion. It depends on where we want to
- 22 go. I just want to clarify what we're
- 23 saying.
- 24 MR. WALCZAK: Can I offer an
- 25 amendment?



- 1 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I think my
- 2 motion and I'm -- do we need a second
- 3 before we amend?
- 4 MR. HULBERT: Yes.
- 5 MR. WALCZAK: Yes.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Second.
- 7 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Just
- 8 because it's been made and seconded
- 9 doesn't mean we have to pass it.
- 10 MR. WALCZAK: No. Now we have
- 11 to -- we now can discuss it.
- 12 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Right.
- MR. WALCZAK: Which we've already
- 14 done. All right. I'd like to amend
- 15 the motion, if I may, by just changing
- 16 the number from 49 to 45, keeping it
- 17 consistent with the present LMO
- 18 ordinance.
- 19 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I second
- 20 that motion.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: So 45 above
- 22 base elevation.
- MR. WALCZAK: 45 above base flood
- 24 elevation.
- 25 MR. JOHNSON: Can I get a



- 1 clarification of that, is that the way
- 2 the LMO reads? It is base flood
- 3 elevation? Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Actually, I
- 5 think I have to offer -- I have to
- 6 offer an amendment then because I think
- 7 it's missing some things.
- 8 MR. WALCZAK: Well, whatever --
- 9 what did I miss?
- 10 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Okay.
- 11 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Why don't
- 12 we vote on that amendment and then you
- 13 amend it again?
- MR. WALCZAK: Yeah, why don't you
- 15 amend the amendment?
- 16 MR. HULBERT: You can discuss it
- 17 before you vote on it.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Let's --
- 19 yeah.
- 20 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: All right.
- 21 You've moved and seconded.
- MR. WALCZAK: Okay.
- 23 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: I've
- 24 seconded a motion to amend my motion to
- 25 45 feet above base flood elevation.



- 1 Let's vote on that.
- 2 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Let's further
- 3 discuss before we vote on that. Okay?
- 4 If we're going to do that, and I think
- 5 that's appropriate, actually, but if
- 6 we're going to do that, I think we need
- 7 to make a finding about it -- thank
- 8 you -- we need to make a finding about
- 9 vested rights and if -- what's vested,
- 10 if anything.
- 11 If there is no site specific
- 12 elevation, we need to make a Finding of
- 13 Fact that we're finding that there
- 14 wasn't one -- excuse me, no site
- 15 specific -- I don't have my glasses on,
- 16 pardon me -- development plan and we
- 17 need to then make a Finding of Fact to
- 18 that.
- 19 If we're going to apply the
- 20 current LMO, we've got to give reasons
- 21 why we're doing that, and I think that
- 22 it's -- we can't just reverse and leave
- 23 it to some future court, I suspect, to
- 24 look at what we've done and figure out
- 25 what we were thinking.



- 1 MR. WALCZAK: You're the lawyer so
- 2 come up with what parts.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: So for that,
- 4 I would propose that the motion be
- 5 further amended to add the Finding of
- 6 Fact that we find that there was no
- 7 site specific development plan pursuant
- 8 to South Carolina law submitted.
- 9 Conclusion of law as a result of no
- 10 site specific development plan, there
- 11 was no vested right to build as
- 12 requested.
- 13 MR. WALCZAK: Very good.
- 14 MR. HULBERT: The variance for the
- 15 setbacks would still be in place,
- 16 right?
- 17 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Pardon?
- 18 MR. HULBERT: The variance for the
- 19 setbacks --
- 20 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Yeah, we
- 21 couldn't affect that even if we wanted
- 22 to, but we're not trying to, no.
- MR. WALCZAK: No, we're not doing
- 24 that.
- 25 So does your amendment need a



- second?C
 - CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: It does.
- 3 MR. WALCZAK: Fine. Second his
- 4 amendment to the amendment or whatever
- 5 it is.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Okay. It's
- 7 messy, but I think we're there.
- 8 MR. WALCZAK: Yeah.
- 9 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: So we have a
- 10 motion, we have a second -- actually,
- 11 we have an amended motion, we have a
- 12 further amended motion, everything's
- 13 been seconded. We're going to -- any
- 14 further comment on the subsequent
- 15 amended motion?
- 16 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Second
- 17 amendment, which is no vested right.
- 18 Call the question.
- 19 MR. JOHNSON: Do we need to be
- 20 specific about that vested right being
- 21 the height versus the setbacks?
- 22 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Through --
- 23 no, I think it's the vested right we're
- 24 talking about and what's the subject of
- 25 the appeal.



- 1 MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
- 2 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: So now we're
- 3 talking about height. Setbacks are no
- 4 longer an issue so that's fine.
- 5 MR. JOHNSON: It's clear.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Relatively
- 7 speaking.
- 8 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Can I
- 9 restate where I think we are?
- 10 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Please.
- 11 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: This is
- 12 what you get when you have a finance
- 13 guy make motions. I believe that we
- 14 have a motion pending to modify the LMO
- 15 Official's finding to a height of 45 --
- 16 from 52 feet 2 inches to 45 feet above
- 17 the ground.
- Then we have the first amendment,
- 19 which was to modify that motion --
- 20 amend that motion to 45 feet above the
- 21 base flood elevation, and then we have
- 22 a second amendment to modify the motion
- 23 to have a determination that there is
- 24 no vested right in 75 feet or just no
- 25 vested right?



- 1 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: No vested
- 2 right because there was no site
- 3 specific development plan.
- 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: Got it.
- 5 MR. HULBERT: So I recommend you
- 6 vote on the second amendment first,
- 7 then the first amendment then the
- 8 motion as amended.
- 9 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Okay. All
- 10 right.
- 11 Teresa, could you please call the
- 12 roll on the -- this is on the second
- 13 amended motion.
- MR. WALCZAK: The second amended.
- 15 MS. HALEY: Mr. Walczak?
- 16 MR. WALCZAK: I am in favor of the
- 17 second amendment.
- 18 MS. HALEY: Mr. Johnson?
- 19 MR. JOHNSON: Favor of the second
- 20 amendment.
- 21 MS. HALEY: Mr. Fingerhut?
- 22 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: For the
- 23 second amendment.
- 24 MS. HALEY: Mr. Cutrer?
- 25 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: For the



- 1 second amendment.
- 2 MS. HALEY: Mr. White?
- 3 MR. WHITE: For the second
- 4 amendment.
- 5 MS. HALEY: Ms. Laudermilch?
- 6 MS. LAUDERMILCH: For the second
- 7 amendment.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Now we're
- 9 going to call the roll on the first
- 10 amendment to the motion.
- 11 MS. HALEY: Mr. Walczak?
- 12 MR. WALCZAK: I'm in favor of the
- 13 first amendment also, thank you.
- 14 MS. HALEY: Mr. Johnson?
- 15 MR. JOHNSON: For the first
- 16 amendment.
- 17 MS. HALEY: Mr. Fingerhut?
- 18 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: For the first
- 19 amendment.
- 20 MS. HALEY: Mr. Cutrer?
- 21 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: For the
- 22 first amendment.
- 23 MS. HALEY: Mr. White?
- 24 MR. WHITE: For the first
- 25 amendment.



- 1 MS. HALEY: Ms. Laudermilch?
- 2 MS. LAUDERMILCH: For the first
- 3 amendment.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Now on the
- 5 motion itself, please.
- 6 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: The amended
- 7 motion.
- 8 MS. HALEY: Mr. Walczak?
- 9 MR. WALCZAK: I'm in favor of the
- 10 primary motion with -- as amended. For
- 11 the motion as amended.
- 12 MS. HALEY: Mr. Fingerhut?
- 13 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: For the
- 14 motion as amended.
- 15 MS. HALEY: Mr. Cutrer?
- 16 VICE CHAIRMAN CUTRER: For the
- 17 motion as amended.
- 18 MS. HALEY: Mr. White?
- 19 MR. WHITE: For the motion.
- 20 MS. HALEY: Ms. Laudermilch?
- 21 MS. LAUDERMILCH: For the motion.
- 22 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you
- 23 very much. That concludes our hearing
- 24 of this appeal.
- I don't believe we have any Board



- 1 business. Any staff reports?
- 2 MS. LADD: Good afternoon, for the
- 3 record, I'm Taylor Ladd, Senior
- 4 Planner. Last week, you were provided
- 5 with a waiver report which had two
- 6 waiver items. I'm happy to answer any
- 7 questions or provide --
- 8 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Apparently no
- 9 questions, but thank you very much.
- 10 MS. LADD: Sure thing. To date
- 11 and the deadline for the variance --
- 12 for the BZA meeting in April was on
- 13 Friday. We did receive one
- 14 application. There's a possibility it
- 15 will be withdrawn and I will keep you
- 16 posted, at which point, April's meeting
- 17 will be cancelled if it's withdrawn.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you
- 19 very much.
- 20 MS. LADD: I'll let you know.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: Thank you.
- MS. LADD: Sure.
- 23 CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: May I have a
- 24 motion for adjournment?
- 25 MR. JOHNSON: So moved.



1	MS. LAUDERMILCH: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN FINGERHUT: We are
3	adjourned. Thank you.
4	(RECORDING ENDED.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	CERTIFICATE				
2					
3					
4					
5	SOUTH CAROLINA :				
6	BEAUFORT COUNTY:				
7					
8					
9					
LO	I, Charles T. Nussbaum, Jr., do hereby				
L1	certify that the foregoing transcription was				
L 2	transcribed by me, to the best of my ability,				
L3	from an audio file provided by Teresa Haley,				
L 4	with The Town of Hilton Head Island.				
L5	I further certify that I am not of counsel				
L6	to either party, nor interested in the event of this				
L7	cause.				
L8					
L9					
20					
21					
22					
23	Charles T. Nussbaum, Jr.				
24	Coastal Court Reporting, Inc.				
25	Hilton Head, South Carolina				



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 130Index: \$535,000-accomplish

\$ \$535,000 15:17 \$600,000 15:5,9 \$665,000 15:19 16:17, 19 0 00439-2018 6:11	17 15:3 50:9 103:3 105:16 106:3 17th 14:23 96:1,3 98:4 103:2,5 18 17:1 1972 99:22 1979 103:19 1998 16:15 17:8	28:1 37:6 45:9,12,13,25 47:17 50:6 51:14 63:15 64:6 66:2 81:1 97:14,24 115:23 28th 14:9 18:17 32:25 86:15 96:24 105:5 3 3 8:16 11:12 13:14 14:13 17:2 28:1 45:14 50:7 51:14 65:3	6 6 96:19 6-29-1540 62:21 6-29-1560 13:7 6th 14:22 7 7-0 97:19
1	2 8:18 12:23 17:2,25 18:22 111:17 123:16	30 25:12 26:21 27:21 64:23 102:9 316 27:22	7-0-0 34:14 75 9:23 20:16 22:5
1 8:18,20 16:23 17:2,3, 15,25 18:22 25:12 26:21 27:21,23 109:16 1-A 16:24 17:4	20 24:4 29:12 35:6 38:7 52:18 2000 52:10	350 65:20 3rd 68:17 94:7,22 95:9, 25 96:5	26:24 36:9 46:18 47:2 48:23 51:16 52:2,4,22, 23 53:3,7,13,15 57:8 68:3 74:1,11 75:17 76:1
10 35:15	2003 17:16 2014 10:7 15:3 21:14		78:20 79:1 86:11 89:8 97:1,15,16 98:2 102:4
102 23:1,10	47:8	4	105:14 109:4 110:21 113:19 123:24
102(1)(a) 23:3	2015 29:2 32:25	4 50:23,25 52:11	75-foot 9:12
10th 14:22 12 86:15 97:17 104:5 105:5 13 109:25 13.28 109:10	2016 8:25 14:9,22 18:17 33:5 34:8 38:7 45:25 47:3 50:13 51:4 52:9 55:7 56:1 61:22 62:4 63:15 64:6 66:2 67:3 68:18 69:1,2 71:22	45 9:24 32:8,14,17 34:4 45:18 47:2,11 50:12 51:1 52:13 68:4 79:3,16 86:13 88:24 97:11 102:9,23 107:15 111:18 112:4 113:8 118:16,21,	79 99:23,24 8 8 28:8 58:21 75:11
13.288 109:12,14 14 15:18 117:2,3,4 14th 15:6,7	79:12,15 81:1 86:9 92:15 93:22,25 94:7,20, 22 96:20 97:14,24 103:4 104:5 105:4 115:23	23 119:25 123:15,16,20 46 109:5 46.5 109:13	8th 95:9
15 28:9 32:13 117:2 15th 29:2 16 67:3 71:8 97:16	2017 12:21 14:19,21,24, 25 15:3,18 47:4 50:4,25 55:10 62:10,14 71:19 80:2 107:13	49 87:18,24 88:4,7,10 110:4,7 112:24 113:7 115:5 116:13,16,17 117:8,15 118:16	9 58:22 9.3 110:11
104:4 105:4 16-1 25:6	2018 33:14 75:11 2020 107:16	5	Aberman 83:7
16-10-101 25:6 16-105 22:22	21 14:24 22 28:25 40:22 52:18	51 52:24 113:7 52 51:5,12,25 52:24 53:11 75:19 76:2	ability 48:19 Absolutely 40:20
16-2-102 22:18 16-2-102(3)(b) 23:10 16-2-103 23:7	23rd 11:19 24 51:3,4 52:16,18 27 14:18	108:18 111:17 112:1,3 123:16	72:13 75:5 79:18 accept 11:5 100:24 accepted 37:1
16th 29:2 33:23 34:7,13 86:8	28 8:16,25 11:12 12:22		accomplish 110:13



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 131Index: accordance—background

accordance 62:22 **accurate** 15:14 58:13

109:2

accurately 64:24

acknowledges 48:2

act 13:7 14:5

acted 98:21

action 6:8 63:25 66:16, 17,23 67:8 69:2 81:11 106:2 111:7

actions 88:25

actual 7:21 28:8 88:3

add 121:5

addictions 10:23

addition 106:18

additional 51:18

address 11:11 40:18 80:23

addressed 107:10

adjacent 90:19,22

adjournment 6:4

admittedly 110:19

adopt 95:24 100:7,14 105:19

adopted 62:25 100:11, 16

adoption 100:9

advertised 83:3 98:13 104:23

advice 93:10 105:23

advised 98:19 115:17, 20 116:9

advocating 102:13,14, 17

affect 121:21

affecting 9:15

affirm 108:6 113:24 114:2,20

affirmatively 57:7 99:3

afternoon 45:1

agenda 6:10 93:18

agent 104:8

Agreed 102:21,22 103:11

agreement 11:22 12:10,12 89:11,15,21 90:18 115:15 116:7

ahead 33:16

AJ 83:7

allowable 45:8 46:15 48:25 78:25

allowed 20:19 53:16 68:12

alluded 46:20

altered 92:24

amend 118:3,14 119:13,15,24 123:20

amended 84:7 121:5 122:11,12,15 124:8,13, 14 126:6,10,11,14,17

amendment 9:7 33:7 34:3 84:7 117:25 119:6, 12,15 121:25 122:4,17 123:18,22 124:6,7,17, 20,23 125:1,4,7,10,13, 16,19,22,25 126:3

amendments 33:5 34:2 86:9

American 10:17

angle 49:11

angles 37:13,15 38:3 46:2,4 57:1 63:18,20 77:22 78:3,6 79:10 105:8

announcement 66:22

answers 44:17

apologize 11:3 35:17

apparently 63:22

appeal 6:11,12 7:23 21:5 45:7 81:21,22 92:10 113:15 122:25 126:24

appealed 81:25

appealing 7:15

Appeals 22:19 53:25 55:3 63:16 76:22

appearance 6:16

appears 111:15

appellant's 80:19

appellants 6:14 115:5

Appendix 22:15 64:14

applicable 82:3 90:10, 11,12,25 112:2 115:9, 24

applicant 16:12 18:16 43:8,20 45:15 76:18 77:9 88:2

applicants 20:3 43:4

application 18:10 19:7,8 22:7,11,12,17, 21,23 23:11 55:16 60:5, 23 61:11 64:13 65:10 79:25 80:25 84:17 86:6 107:24 108:2 115:25

applications 23:4

applied 52:19 69:4,7 78:23 102:2,8 103:7 107:13

applies 106:10

apply 23:3 59:7 72:9 77:19 106:6,14 115:19 120:19

applying 58:16

appointed 104:7

approval 51:13 54:2, 21,24 66:13 69:10 92:15 97:21

approvals 54:15

approve 55:1 57:19 84:22 100:24 113:6

approved 12:16 37:1 38:23,24 45:11 50:19, 24 51:4,9 52:12 54:25 55:1,2,23,25 57:8 61:4,

6,10 63:24 65:5

approving 64:5 70:14

approximately 109:5,

April 14:18,23 93:22 94:3

architects 48:17

architectural 116:19

architecture 77:3

area 19:4 24:22 47:4 48:2 51:11,24 52:21 53:9 112:23

areas 33:9

arque 81:4

arguing 30:8

argument 29:19 42:2 80:24 81:16 92:9 98:7 106:8 111:5 115:6

arguments 8:7 30:17 82:2

article 62:22

articulated 65:22

articulation 60:4

assessment 22:3

assumed 85:8

assumptions 108:25 109:1

attachment 8:24 21:22,25 22:1 50:17 58:6

August 11:19 50:4,8 51:4 55:9 80:2 107:13

Aye 6:1

В

back 6:4,8 12:20 13:13 16:3 24:12 40:7 50:11 58:20 75:2 81:23 83:15, 19 92:20 110:20

background 16:11 19:22



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 132Index: bag-challenge

bag 100:11

ball 57:21

ban 100:11

base 45:19 46:18 51:1, 6 75:17 116:24 117:1,9, 10 118:22,23 119:2,25 123:21

based 8:23 24:19 51:9 53:21 58:24 104:11 109:1 110:1 111:25 114:2,20

basing 53:5

basis 42:2 75:12

Beach 15:8

bear 20:10

Becker 6:12,18,19,21, 25 7:9,13,24 8:3,10 17:12 20:23 21:3,6,9, 19,22 24:7 29:7,11,14, 17,23 30:3,6,9,12,14, 16,20,24 31:2,5 34:23, 24 35:2,4,6,9,16,19 36:14,16,21 39:20,21, 22,25 40:9,14,20,25 41:4,11,14,17,19 42:4, 18 43:14,25 44:4,9,12, 19,20,24 45:10,21 46:20 64:1 80:12 82:7, 8,12 91:12,13,15,19 92:2,5 93:3 95:17 99:17

beginning 22:8,10 75:22

begins 66:22

behalf 8:22

behavior 10:23

believes 47:25 51:11 99:11

Bella 50:23 52:12

benefit 90:19

BFE 88:10

big 12:19 35:19

bit 9:25 15:23 16:1 28:20,22 34:19

Board 19:9,19 22:19 45:6 53:25 55:3 56:18 57:7,11 63:15 76:21 80:25 81:17 97:8,13 126:25

Board's 96:22

boards 57:18

Bob 111:4

bodies 100:13 103:20

body 54:9 59:18 66:16 82:25 87:6 98:11,15,17, 18 103:22 104:7,14 112:6

boils 102:1

bolster 13:25

book 16:5 24:9

books 26:11

bottom 42:25 110:4

bought 17:9 18:8 21:15

Bradley 8:16,17 9:11 10:4 11:12 12:16,20,22 15:8 17:1 28:1 29:1 31:20 33:9 37:6 40:22 42:20 45:9,12,13 47:17 50:6 51:3,14 52:16,18 88:12

Brian 95:22 102:12 106:18

bring 7:10 23:14,16

brings 15:21

broad 24:22

brought 19:9 33:5,14 47:1

buffer 65:2

buffers 37:13,18,19 38:3 46:1 58:17 63:19 79:9 105:7

build 9:5 27:18,19 31:16 46:12 60:17 78:8 121:11

building 9:13 12:5 21:16 26:19 28:18 47:15,17,20 49:17,18,

19 50:6 52:8 55:14,16 57:19 58:10 60:15,17 61:13,14 74:2,3 77:4 79:24,25 107:12,19,23 108:2 116:3

buildings 28:10 89:24, 25 116:13

built 31:14 52:24 90:1 91:2 112:24

bullet 41:13.15 42:1

bunch 28:11 82:12

burden 43:19

business 6:11 15:2

BZA 8:25 14:9,14 18:9 45:12 55:24,25 56:25 60:24 66:3 69:2 72:24 81:5,12,20 86:14 97:17, 24 104:5 105:5 111:7 115:23

BZA's 81:10

C

calculated 109:21 110:1

call 42:14 98:8 122:18 124:11 125:9

called 42:9

care 11:9

carefully 9:20

Carolina 11:10 13:5,7 24:20 53:22 83:12,14 84:4 90:13 91:6 99:10 121:8

Carper 6:13,20 7:14

carry 85:5

case 12:2 13:5 34:16, 17,18,19,20 35:19 36:7 67:12,14 82:21,22,23 84:11 85:7,16 90:13 93:6 98:10 99:14,16,24 100:21 101:21 103:19 106:8,11

cases 35:7 82:22 83:7, 18 84:2 100:4

caused 13:17

certainty 54:10 59:19 73:2 74:8,21 75:4

certification 65:4

cetera 22:25

Chairman 6:2,7,23 7:6, 12.22.25 8:4 17:11 20:21,25 21:4,7,18,21 24:4 29:5,8,12,15,18 30:1,4,7,10,13,15,18, 22,25 31:3 34:23,25 35:3,5,8,11,18 36:10, 15,18,23 39:19,24 40:1, 2,5,6,11,15,23 41:1,8, 12,15,18,21 42:12,16 43:11,22 44:2,5,10,18, 21,25 47:9 48:8 49:1 56:2,10,17 57:4,13,16, 25 58:5 63:13,21 64:2, 15,17,20 65:3,21,25 66:6,9 67:16,20,23 68:14,17,24 69:19 70:21,25 71:7 72:13 73:4 74:17,24 75:6,8,9, 14,25 76:5,9,11 78:11, 17 79:2,6,11,14,21 80:3,6,8,12,14,16,17 81:9 82:1,5,6,9 91:12, 14,16 92:1,8,12,21 93:8,24 94:5,6,11,21,25 95:4,8,20 96:2,19,23 97:3,5,12 98:9,25 99:4, 13,19,23 102:12,16,21 103:2,3,11,12,13,15 104:2,21 105:11 106:15,17 107:1,11 108:3,21 109:7,14,18 111:4,11,12,13,19,23 112:10,13,15,21 113:3, 9,11,16,20,23 114:1,7, 10,12,13,15,17,19 115:2,3 116:14,18 117:8,10,14,18,20 118:1,6,7,12,19,21 119:4,10,11,18,20,23 120:2 121:3,17,20 122:2,6,9,16,22 123:2, 6,8,10,11 124:1,4,9,22, 25 125:8,18,21 126:4,6, 13,16,22

challenge 14:14



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 133Index: change—Cutrer

change 10:8 17:10 34:7 36:5 46:21,22 47:22 50:11 66:17 68:2, 23 70:11 71:14.17.18. 22 78:6 86:10 95:24 96:10 98:3,4 104:16 107:24 113:1,4 114:24

changed 13:10 71:5,21 79:3,12 86:11 91:4 94:3 99:25 103:20

changing 34:4 107:22 118:15

chapter 63:1

Charleston 13:3 83:14

Chindris 11:18 14:21 15:1,16 33:19 34:11

chose 8:20 101:20

Circle 8:16,18 9:11 10:4 11:12 12:17,20,22 14:13 17:1,2 28:1 29:1 31:20 33:10 37:6 40:22 42:20 45:9,12,14 47:17 50:6 51:3 52:17,18 88:12

Circuit 14:11 89:12

Citizen 70:1

City 13:2,6 83:13

claim 13:25 14:3 67:10

clarification 93:14 119:1

clarified 69:20

clarifies 86:16

clarify 116:20 117:22

clarity 8:14 22:2

class 20:7

clear 71:15 82:13 83:16 102:20 105:22 123:5

client 9:3

clients 7:19,21 8:22 13:16,22

closed 93:4

closer 28:9

closes 92:9

code 25:15,21,23 26:4 27:4 53:22 54:5.6 62:17

codes 26:15 89:20 110:24,25 111:3

colleague 105:13

colleagues 112:23 116:19

college 10:24

comment 93:9,12 122:14

comments 108:4

commercial 61:5

commission 33:2 34:13 67:2,7 72:2 84:5 85:13 86:2,7 96:18 97:18 98:16 100:2,4 103:25 104:3,8 105:1,2 106:4

committee 33:1 66:23 67:6 72:1 86:3 98:15 100:23

common 19:4 26:7,8

communicated 76:6

Community 10:2

comparing 51:23 53:8

compel 84:17

complete 111:8,9

completed 22:23 50:25 51:5 53:10

completely 114:25

comprehensive 84:6

concede 66:11

concept 14:7 66:15

conceptual 23:16

concerned 15:23

concludes 126:23

conclusion 42:5 121:9

Conclusions 108:7,10

114:3,21

conditional 54:18

conditions 54:19 63:2 83:17 100:13

confer 63:7

conference 23:11

configuration 17:8

conjecture 15:11 85:17 86:23

consent 65:11

consideration 67:25 84:16 98:20 108:20

considered 66:3 74:21 81:1,17 93:21 96:11 97:6 111:9,10 115:22

consistent 83:11 88:23 118:17

constant 32:10 34:6

constructed 51:10,24

construction 90:7

contained 39:14

contemplated 13:1

contention 41:22 66:20 105:24

continue 63:14

contrary 100:25

controversy 91:8

conversations 34:10

conveyed 100:22

coordinate 43:1

copies 28:22

copy 65:19

correct 42:18 52:4 63:20 65:24 70:11 79:13 83:25 92:21 94:3 103:25 104:1 105:25 113:25 116:23

corrected 42:11 67:21

correctly 88:13,20

cost 13:16

council 10:2 19:18 34:15 67:5,8 68:5,8 70:2 72:3,7 85:14,23 93:16 94:2 97:21 98:17, 21 99:8 100:1,5,15 101:13 104:18,25 105:18 106:1

Councils 103:21

counsel 80:18 81:15 92:19 93:11 98:18 114:8 115:17.20

counseling 10:22

country 101:14

county 54:22

Couple 89:22

courses 10:25

court 11:21 14:12 36:7 82:20 83:12 84:1.3 89:12 98:10 103:19 120:23

covenant 12:1 83:12

covenants 12:11 89:16

Craig 16:12,13,14 17:14,19 18:8,16

Craig's 18:15

crayon 42:21

create 20:2 43:8 69:5,7

created 17:20 18:3,4, 24 19:5 20:2 43:5 56:20 69:9

credit 110:19

criminal 10:23

criteria 31:16 65:15,16 76:23

current 110:25 111:3 120:20

Cutrer 40:2,6,11,15,23 41:1,8,12,15,18,21 42:12,16 43:11,22 44:2, 5,10 47:9 57:13,16,25 58:5 63:13,21 64:2,15, 17,20 65:3,21,25 66:6,9 67:16,20,23 68:14,17, 24 69:19 75:8,14,25



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 134Index: D-19-elected

76:5,9 78:11,17 79:2,6,
11,14,21 80:3,6,14,17
81:9 82:1,5 94:6,21,25
95:8 96:2,19,23 97:5,12
98:9,25 103:3,12,15
104:2,21 105:11 106:15
107:11 109:14 111:4,
11,12,13,23 112:13,21
113:9 114:7,13,17
115:2 116:18 117:10,18
118:1,7,19 119:11,20,
23 122:16 123:8,11
124:4,24,25 125:20,21
126:6,15,16

D

D-19 22:16

D-24 64:19,20

Daniels 13:5

data 27:17 28:16

date 47:14 54:24 70:22, 25 71:6 93:15 94:9,15, 24 95:1 96:5,12 100:10, 16 103:9

dated 29:1 75:11 88:13

dates 94:14 95:12 110:17

daughter 10:16

day 18:7 26:7,8 44:15

days 86:15 97:17 102:9 104:5 105:5

DC 24:23

deal 86:21

dealing 65:13 88:15

decide 102:19

decision 7:15 8:12 11:20 12:3 14:14 20:14 26:24 55:20 81:4,12 83:10 87:7 93:23,24 97:25 114:4,24

declared 105:2

defendants 90:21

define 59:9

defined 25:19 26:3,16 48:12

defines 54:6

definition 25:14 49:22, 25 59:23 90:11

definitions 25:5 26:2, 15

degree 81:3

degrees 10:21 14:6

delays 13:15 15:14

delegate 103:21

delegated 103:24

delegation 104:25

deliberations 115:23

demoed 14:24

demonstrate 13:23 84:12

density 54:11 59:20 70:12 71:5 73:3 74:8 101:2

depend 56:20

depended 89:19

depends 117:21

describe 76:14,19

describes 73:1

describing 54:10 59:18

descriptive 77:2

design 18:18,20

designations 54:21

designing 23:19

detail 27:15 115:7

detailed 25:8 26:17,22 28:13 42:22 87:10

detailing 65:14

determination 11:21 45:4,7 51:16 101:12 111:25 112:7,18 123:23

determine 49:7 50:19 62:13 95:15 101:22

determined 53:20,21 100:15

determines 100:5 101:16

develop 79:15

developed 18:2

developer 9:3 11:19

development 10:3,6 12:18 15:4 27:6 29:3 33:9 38:22 46:16,25 47:6 52:21 53:17 54:2, 7,8,16,17 55:12 59:16 60:6,12,13,19,23 61:2,6 62:24 63:4,24 64:5 65:5 66:14 72:10,17,22 73:14,17 78:24 80:1 90:2 106:21 107:3 111:6 120:16 121:7,10 124:3

diagram 20:12

dialog 32:9

dictionary 26:13,14

difference 36:3 72:12

dimension 42:24 58:13 88:3,16

dimensions 56:6 73:6 78:7

dinner 7:10

directed 100:23

direction 104:18

discuss 75:2 118:11 119:16 120:3

discussed 21:23

discussing 49:16 99:14

33.11

discussion 31:23 37:21,24 38:16 43:16 45:3 92:10 102:11

discussions 19:1

disguised 9:14

dismissed 14:17 81:14 89:13,14

dispute 111:16

district 10:5,6 12:18 46:17 47:1 54:20 68:4, 13 83:12

Dixon 33:17 61:16

Dixon's 17:17

doctor 44:14

doctrine 68:9 69:24 72:4,8 82:17 100:21 101:5,8 106:2,6,10,13, 19 115:18

document 20:13 29:4 31:22 37:14 89:17 95:18

documentation 65:17 86:5

documents 22:25 33:17 41:6 57:24 72:23 89:20

domain 98:8

Dorsey 16:13 17:19 18:19

drafted 71:24

drawing 28:25 39:5 42:21 89:1 109:20 110:1 117:13,15

drawings 45:16 46:3 48:3,5 49:10 50:21 51:10 53:7 57:1,2,9 77:12,24 109:3 110:23

DST 14:12 89:12 90:19, 22

due 33:10 82:14

Ε

earlier 46:1 50:16 73:5

early 32:24 33:14

economic 13:18,21,24

effect 37:15,17 81:2 100:6,8,12,15 102:7

elaboration 41:16

elected 100:2



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 135Index: elements—forget

elements 39:9,14

elevation 42:9,20 45:16,19 46:2 48:3 49:10 50:21 51:7,9 53:7 56:25 57:2 75:18 77:12, 24 88:12 116:16,25 117:9,11 118:22,24 119:3,25 120:12 123:21

elevations 45:23 46:11 74:3

emphasis 10:22

emphasize 35:21

enacted 85:7

encouraged 23:13

encroachments 37:18

end 75:15 110:6

endeavoring 85:5

ends 110:11

enforce 113:4

engineer 28:13

engineered 59:5 87:10 88:4

engineering 25:8 26:17,22 27:15,16 28:13 39:4 42:23 59:11 87:11,13,16

ensure 61:19

entire 20:2 85:4 88:8

equals 27:23 64:23 109:17

erroneous 8:11,23

error 75:23 108:16

essence 56:21 73:12 92:18 97:8 114:23

essentially 84:20

establish 85:1

established 33:22 54:1 62:21 66:13 72:21

events 67:9,24

everything's 122:12

evidence 43:17 100:19 106:11

evidenced 110:8

exact 35:9

exception 47:5 63:6,9

excuse 6:13 64:1 120:14

executive 6:3,5,9

exempted 23:5

exemptions 23:6

Exhibit 40:7

exist 18:6 29:4

existing 18:7 90:12 101:18

exists 82:18

expenditure 12:25

explain 86:19

explaining 102:13

explains 22:22

expressly 23:4

extent 56:5

exterior 42:9

F

fact 9:8 14:8 21:14 27:21 28:2 31:7 33:12 38:18 40:17 43:4,7 66:2 83:6 85:11,15,21 89:2, 10 92:16 104:11 105:3, 20 106:3 107:12 108:7, 10 109:4 114:3,21 120:13,17 121:6

facts 25:1,3 109:1

familiar 20:10

family 9:13,15 10:20 13:9 33:8

favor 124:16,19 125:12 126:9

February 75:11

Feels 102:16

fees 22:25

feet 9:23,24 20:16 22:5 25:13 26:21,24 27:21 28:9 32:8,15,18 34:4 36:9 45:18 46:18 47:2, 11 48:23 50:12 51:1,5, 12,17,25 52:2,13,22,24 53:8 57:8 58:21,22 64:24 65:20 68:3,4 74:1,12 75:17,20 76:1 78:20 79:1,3 86:11,13 87:18,24 88:5,8,10,24 97:11,15,16 98:2 105:14 109:5,13,25 110:4,7,11,21 111:17, 18 112:1,3,4,25 113:19 115:5 116:13,16,17 117:3,8,15 119:25 123:16,20,24

felt 74:19

field 20:9

figure 120:24

figuring 56:11

file 84:8

filed 14:11 81:11

fill 56:5

filled 56:14

filling 84:17

finance 123:12

financial 12:24

find 13:23 22:13 23:24 25:11 26:14 31:25 87:2 113:12 121:6

finding 116:11 120:7,8, 12,13,17 121:5 123:15

Findings 108:6,10 114:2,21

fine 30:11 40:14 88:8,9 122:3 123:4

finger 15:20

Fingerhut 6:2,7,23 7:6, 12,22,25 8:4 17:11 20:21,25 21:4,7,18,21

24:4 29:5,8,12,15,18 30:1,4,7,10,13,15,18, 22,25 31:3 34:23,25 35:3,5,8,11,18 36:10, 15,18,23 39:19,24 40:1, 5 44:14,18,21,25 48:8 49:1 56:2,10,17 57:4 70:21,25 71:7 72:13 73:4 74:17,24 75:6 76:11 80:8,12,16 82:6,9 91:12,14,16 92:1,8,21 93:8,24 94:5,11 95:4,20 97:3 99:4,13,19,23 102:12,16,21 103:2,11, 13 106:17 107:1 108:3, 21 109:7,18 111:12,19 112:10,15 113:3,11,16, 20,23 114:1,12,15,19 116:14 117:8,14,20 118:6,12,21 119:4,10, 18 120:2 121:3,17,20 122:2,6,9,22 123:2,6,10 124:1,9,21,22 125:8,17, 18 126:4,12,13,22

finish 64:3 81:10

fit 31:16

flag 18:5 19:2

flat 38:18

flexible 48:19,20

floating 95:12

flood 45:19 46:19 51:1, 6 75:18 116:16,23,24 117:1,2,9,11 118:23 119:2,25 123:21

floor 109:15 110:12

Florida 24:24

flushing 108:22

focus 25:23

focused 16:7

folks 9:11 21:17

follow 39:22 79:16

foot 27:23 79:17 102:4, 23 107:15 108:18 113:7

forbidden 85:2

forget 48:11



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 136Index: forgiveness—inches

forgiveness 11:4 93:5

forgotten 89:8,14

form 54:14

format 39:3 76:16

forms 22:23

forward 33:5,14 38:8, 22

found 11:7 64:14

fourth 115:12

Francis 13:2

frankly 73:15

Frederick 16:12

front 7:2 17:16

full 86:15

future 100:10 120:23

G

game 57:21

garage 88:18

gate 19:21

general 9:18 10:11 54:17

give 24:2,11 71:6 88:1 101:23 120:20

giving 9:3 90:21 105:23

glasses 120:15

goal 23:18

good 20:4 21:19 45:1 86:19 121:13

Gooseneck 13:6

governing 54:9 59:17 66:16 82:25 87:6 98:11, 15,17,18 103:20 104:7,

government 10:12 14:5 69:25 101:16

grade 10:24

grandmother 10:16

grant 18:13 69:3,4 70:17 101:17

granted 45:24 55:6 63:16 72:18

granting 59:1 64:6 72:15,20 73:11,20 96:22

grants 105:6

great 25:17 44:15,16

ground 88:11 117:16 123:17

grounds 14:15

guess 74:25 75:3 111:13,22 112:5

guide 26:10 28:18

guy 123:13

guys 22:20 88:20 91:19 102:18

Н

HALEY 124:15,18,21, 24 125:2,5,11,14,17,20, 23 126:1,8,12,15,18,20

half 51:6,12 52:1 53:12 75:20 76:3 80:4 87:23 106:25 107:14 108:1,18 109:6,11 110:8,22 112:1,3

handled 61:17

happened 43:10 92:23 95:14

happening 33:15

happy 21:7 45:5

hardship 18:14 19:13 43:4,5,9 44:8 80:21 81:3 89:3 92:17 115:11, 21

harmony 32:11,17 88:21

hash 110:5

hate 48:10

Head 8:13 9:19 10:18

12:19 13:9 22:15 24:21 86:2 91:6 103:23

health 9:18

hear 7:1,7 18:25 32:2

heard 9:12 93:10 104:5 112:22

hearing 14:9,10 38:16 44:15 66:25 83:5 86:1, 3,9,14 92:19 97:19 103:22 104:3 105:4 106:5 126:23

hearings 33:25 84:10, 14 85:25 99:25 100:3 104:24

height 8:15 9:2,6,23 12:13 13:3,4,8 20:15 31:21 32:1 33:7,21 34:4,12 36:6 37:22,24 38:1 41:24 42:22 43:17, 23 44:8 45:9,17,22 46:8,15,23,24 47:21 48:1,4,15,22,25 49:7 50:20,25 51:5,20 52:13, 22 56:8 68:3 71:3,9,12, 14,17,18,20,21 73:7 74:13 75:17 77:4,6,10, 17,20 78:7,13,16,18,25 80:22 86:10 88:1 90:15 93:20 94:4 97:9,14,23 98:1 101:24 102:11 107:9,22 109:4,15 111:17 112:2,8 115:4, 13,24 116:3,13 122:21 123:3,15

held 84:10,15 97:18 105:2,3 106:4

hey 33:21 59:4

higher 113:12

highlighted 25:18

Hilton 8:13 9:19 10:18 12:19 13:9 22:15 24:20 86:1 91:6 103:23

historically 14:2

hold 83:4 84:5 103:22 104:24

home 9:13,15 10:19 18:21 31:20 32:14

homes 13:9 19:3 32:12, 13 33:8 51:24 52:17 88:22,24 89:25

hope 22:9 24:16 32:5

hoping 76:21

hotel 9:14

house 9:12 14:24 15:3 17:15 18:6 38:20 61:8

houses 38:20 51:11 52:8

Hulbert 69:22 70:24 71:1,10,13,18,23 81:7, 18 82:4 94:13,19 95:11, 22 96:13,17,21,25 97:7 98:6,23 99:2,11,21,24 101:10 102:14,18,25 103:6,16 104:1,13 105:9 106:9,16 114:10 118:4 119:16 121:14,18 124:5

hurting 48:18

husband 10:19 27:12

1

i.e. 103:20

identify 7:18

Illinois 84:2

illogical 84:1,4 85:12

illustrate 46:3

immaterial 19:11

immediately 81:23

impact 12:4 55:19

important 13:20,22 18:12 19:16,17 31:6 32:21 83:21 95:13

inappropriate 81:5

inaudible 42:19 106:24

inch 25:12 26:21 27:21 64:23 108:18 109:10, 11,16 110:9

inches 27:22 51:6,12 52:1 53:12 75:20 76:4



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 137Index: included—listen

87:23 111:17 112:1,3 123:16

included 10:7 37:14 53:23 57:23 60:5,22 64:8 69:12 72:23,24 97:22

including 86:10

incorrect 83:23

indeterminate 111:21

infer 107:7

information 16:5 22:13,14 25:24 28:17 39:11 53:24 56:13,24 77:8 81:6 83:22 93:15

Initially 61:21

inspection 84:9

instance 16:9

instances 67:14

intend 100:18

intended 100:20 106:12

intensity 54:11 59:20 73:3 74:9

intent 98:14 104:23 105:3

intention 83:4

intentionally 101:4

interest 18:1

interesting 10:9 17:18 90:3 92:13

Interestingly 16:15

interject 47:12

interpretation 11:25 12:4 73:8 74:13,19 87:21,22 96:7 101:11, 21 110:18

interpretations 25:21 26:1

interpreted 59:3 86:23

interrupt 48:10

invalid 106:7

invested 14:4 15:16

investment 13:24

invoke 68:5 70:1 82:18 99:3 100:18,20 101:4 106:1

invoked 68:8,22 69:25 70:6,15,20 71:13 72:4,7 101:9 106:13

invokes 70:2

Island 10:18 91:6 103:23

issue 62:9 71:2 81:8,20 84:18 93:20 101:3 102:19 107:22 123:4

issued 47:15,18,21 56:18

issues 8:7 11:12 80:20 81:21

item 6:10 65:3

items 60:22 115:6

J

January 50:25

Jerry's 99:6

job 86:19

Joe 69:25

Johnson 36:25 37:20 38:4,9,13 42:7,14 58:7, 12 60:2,20 71:16 76:13 77:1,15 78:9 108:14,24 109:9,16,19,23 110:3 111:8 113:14,17,22,25 117:5,17 118:25 122:19 123:1,5 124:18,19 125:14,15

July 14:20 15:3,6,18 29:1

jump 16:1 27:3

jumping 15:22

June 15:7

Κ

keeping 108:5 118:16

kind 24:1 48:18

knew 34:11 85:1 107:21,25

knowing 53:6

L

labeled 50:17 88:13

lacked 56:4

lacks 73:6

laid 81:21

land 24:22 26:12 49:25 54:21 62:24

landowner 54:9 59:18

language 54:6 62:16 91:9,10

late 13:1

Laudermilch 38:14 39:3,13,16 55:8,17 60:9 93:13 94:1,8,17,23 95:2,6 96:4,14 107:6 125:5,6 126:1,2,20,21

Laughlin 7:17 11:15,16 12:14 13:14 75:11

Laughlin's 7:21 8:21 15:11

law 11:10 12:7 13:5 24:9,10,19,20,21 25:6, 14 34:17,18,24 35:21, 22 59:4,8,11 69:24 82:19,20 85:7 86:21,24 89:20 90:12,14 91:1 93:6 99:10,25 100:5 101:12 103:19 105:20 107:3 108:7,11 114:3, 22 121:8,9

laws 16:6 24:12 25:2 59:4 101:18

lawsuit 14:11 81:12,14

lawyer 11:1,3 121:1

layout 37:9

leave 120:22

left 28:6 82:20

legal 8:7,14 49:4 57:5 80:18 82:15 105:23

legally 82:24 83:2 89:20 98:11 99:7,9,12

letter 8:12,21,22 11:16, 17 12:15 13:14 20:11, 13 75:10 111:25

level 10:25 113:13

Lewis 7:16 8:13,20 11:17 12:2 20:11,18,22, 23 21:18 22:1 26:23 31:18 33:3 37:3,23 38:6,11,25 39:6,15,18 44:22,25 45:1 47:11,16, 23 48:14 49:5,15,19,24 50:4,13,15 52:5,10,15 53:1,5,15 55:2,6,11,21 56:7,16,23 57:10,15,22 58:2,11,14 59:8,13,16, 25 60:2,7,24 61:23 62:2,5,11,15,20 63:19 64:10,16,19,21 65:7,24 66:4,8 67:11,18,22 68:2,16,21 69:6 71:9, 12,20 72:14,19 73:22 74:23 75:5,13,21 76:3, 8,12,17 77:5,18 78:10, 15,22 79:4,9,13,18 80:2,5,9,10,11 86:18 95:20,21 96:3 114:22 116:24 117:3

lifting 15:20

limit 15:25

limitation 107:17 112:8

limitations 63:2

limited 54:14 89:23 91:3

lines 58:13

list 28:11 39:7 82:2

listed 45:23 48:15 51:20 115:5

listen 41:5



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 138Index: listened-number

listened 9:20

lists 60:21

litigated 92:24

LLC 15:8

LMO 10:7 22:16 23:23 33:1,5 37:3 39:7 46:21 47:7 48:13,14 50:1 53:13,15,23 60:3,21 64:14 66:19,23 67:4,6 71:25 78:14 87:9 90:10 95:24 97:22 98:14 105:16 108:7 111:24 112:4,7,11,16 113:2,4 114:5 116:12 118:17 119:2 120:20 123:14

local 54:9 59:17

location 61:9 117:2

longer 123:4

looked 9:16

losing 15:13,15

loss 13:18,21 15:12

lost 110:15

lot 8:20 13:12 14:23 16:23,24 17:2,3,4,15, 22,25 18:22 19:23 40:22 83:24 91:20

lots 8:18 15:5 17:6,21 18:4,5,6,21 19:3 28:22 37:9 38:21

lotto 16:16

love 36:21

lower 33:7

lowering 97:22

М

made 8:11 10:18 11:21 12:2 15:19 17:20 24:14 38:17 40:16 45:8 72:16 73:12,21 79:25 97:19, 25 108:16,25 110:18 118:8

mailed 65:19

main 44:6

Maine 24:24

make 20:1,14 23:22 26:23 27:8 36:3 67:19 69:23 71:14 74:12 87:6 97:9 98:3 101:20 105:21,25 107:23 108:1 111:14 112:17 120:7,8, 12,17 123:13

makes 12:13 73:16

making 18:14 19:15 116:22

Management 50:1

manipulation 27:23

map 16:24

March 8:25 14:9 18:17 33:23 34:7,12 45:25 55:7,25 56:1 63:15 64:6 66:2 67:2 69:2 81:1 86:8,15 92:14 93:25 96:19,24 97:14,16,24 104:4 105:4,5 115:23

mark 110:6

marsh 17:4,6

masters 10:25

material 19:10 29:25 50:10

matter 18:1 33:12 85:12 92:20

max 112:4

maximum 9:24 13:8 45:8 47:10 48:24 49:7 52:22 75:17 78:25 111:17 116:12

Mayor 10:2

mean-- 56:6

meaning 49:4 57:5

means 49:3 54:8 90:12, 17 91:3

meant 57:9 72:8

measure 27:24 87:19, 20

measured 28:2

Media 83:13

meet 23:18,20 39:8 65:15,16 68:11 76:25

meeting 34:8 93:16,18, 19 94:2,10,24,25 96:6 97:11

meetings 33:24

mention 12:13 77:10

mentioned 8:15 12:13 25:12 58:9

messy 122:7

met 61:20 64:9 74:6 96:23 97:13,17,25

mic 107:2

microphone 7:7

mind 89:7 99:21 105:10 108:5,22

mindful 24:5 29:9 82:10

mini 9:14

minute 58:4 82:11 112:14

minutes 24:4 29:13 35:15 91:17

Miriam 26:14

misrepresentations 35:24

missing 73:19 119:7

mistakenly 10:7

modify 46:23 108:8 114:4,22 115:4 116:11 123:14,19,22

moment 36:11,12 39:24 47:13 80:16 93:5

money 14:5 15:13,15

Monte 15:4

month 20:8 105:17

months 33:15 100:12 105:17

mother 10:16

motion 70:13 108:5 111:15 115:4 117:21 118:2,15,20 119:24 121:4 122:10,11,12,15 123:14,19,20,22 124:8, 13 125:10 126:5,7,10, 11,14,17,19,21

motions 123:13

move 7:5,6,11 38:21 116:11

moved 119:21

multifamily 61:4

multiple 16:6

multiply 109:12

municipality 54:22 84:18 85:5

Ν

nail 95:13

nailed 114:11

narrative 31:8,9,24 65:7,13 76:18,19 88:19

necessarily 49:5 85:22

needed 11:20 58:22 78:4 89:9

neighbor 14:12

neighborhood 9:16 12:20 32:11,13 88:9,22 101:3

Nicole 61:15

North 83:13

note 6:16 42:7

noted 17:16

notice 65:8,19 96:10,15 101:23 102:2,10

notified 65:4

nullify 85:4

number 14:15 26:9,10 29:24 32:4 33:4,24 83:6 87:2 89:22 90:6,9,14,25



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 139Index: obtain-plastic

118:16

0

obtain 76:21

obtained 63:9,10 79:5,

obvious 99:15

occur 70:7 100:1,3

occurred 13:16 71:19 93:22 96:18

ocean 17:5,7

October 12:21 32:24

oddly 16:16

offer 115:3 117:24 119:5,6

Office 10:3

official 7:15 8:11,12 25:19 26:5 37:4 66:20 95:17 102:24,25 108:8, 15 110:18 111:24 112:7,11,16 114:6 116:12

Official's 123:15

officials 43:18

omission 19:10,11

omissions 35:25

omitted 18:11

open 35:13 84:8

opinion 68:1

opportunity 19:18,19 25:20 26:5 64:3

ord 34:2

order 10:13 13:25 49:13 113:13

ordinance 9:7,8,9,21 13:10 32:22 33:13 34:22 35:22,23 36:4 41:25 44:1,7 50:1 67:4, 15,17,25 68:6,9,19,22, 25 69:4,6,14,17,24 70:1,3,9,14,16,18,23 71:11,25 72:4,5,7,9 80:21 82:16,17,24 84:7, 15 85:3,9 86:13 89:1 98:5,10,20 99:3 100:7, 14,17,21 101:1,5,8 102:6 103:4,9 104:4 105:19 106:2,5,10,13, 19 115:9,18 118:18

ordinances 62:24

original 51:16 80:24 115:11,22 117:7

originally 50:5

originated 104:17

outcry 9:10 33:10

outlay 77:2

overturned 81:13

owned 15:2

owner 65:6,12

owner's 65:11

owners 9:4 14:13 115:11

Oxford 26:13

Ρ

package 8:24 32:19 34:3 55:24 62:6 69:12 72:25 87:5

packet 19:2 20:14 41:7 53:24 58:2

paid 16:17,18

panel 8:2 35:13 36:24

paper 21:1 110:5

papers 7:4

parcels 47:5

pardon 120:16 121:17

parking 46:10,13 48:7, 11 49:2 51:2,8,22 57:3, 11 74:4,5,15,16 77:14

part 12:6 17:24 31:6 34:4 37:4 38:11 45:14 51:13 55:3,11,13,15,23 56:23 57:12 58:2 60:16 61:10 62:5 66:14 72:24, 25 73:8 74:6 75:24 83:10

parties 12:9 76:7 81:11 115:16

Partners 15:8

parts 114:24 121:2

party 116:8

pass 118:9

passed 100:17 103:10 110:22

past 91:17

pending 9:8 32:22
33:13 34:22 36:4 41:25
44:1,7 67:15,17,24
68:6,9,19,21,25 69:3,6,
14,17,24 70:1,3,9,16,
19,22 71:11 72:3,5,7
80:20 82:15,17,24 83:3
85:15 86:13 89:1 98:11,
20 100:20 101:4,8
106:1,5,10,12,18
107:25 115:9,18 123:14

people 21:20 33:4 65:20

percent 89:9

Perfect 21:6

period 9:9 66:21 67:9 72:10 81:24

permanent 10:19 84:21

permit 12:5,25 14:25 47:15 49:13,15,17,18, 19 54:19 55:14,16 58:10 60:15,17 61:14, 19 62:10 79:24,25 84:18,24,25 101:17 107:12,19,23 108:2

permits 47:17,18,21 50:6 57:19 70:17 72:9 100:24 101:14

permitted 52:3,5 78:14,18 116:5

person 84:16

perspective 38:22

pertinent 77:25

phased 63:4

photo 32:7 88:22

photos 32:3,5

picture 26:23 31:12,17, 19 42:19

piece 31:11 110:5

pieces 20:25 21:1

piling 47:18

pin 73:15

place 46:6 66:2 67:2 89:16 97:1 101:22 105:15 121:15

places 25:10 46:7 87:2

plaintiff 90:22

plan 23:16 25:4,5,8 26:16,20 27:6,9,15,16, 25 28:14 29:3,20 31:17 33:20 36:1 37:2,7,8,16 38:10 39:23 41:5,24 42:10,15,23 43:15 44:6 49:14,20,22,25 50:3,9, 10 54:3,7,8,13,19,20,24 55:9,13,18,21 56:4,14 57:14 59:1,2,5,11,14,17 60:6,12,13,14,19,23 61:2,6,14,15,18,22 62:4 63:4,5,24 64:6,9,21,23 65:11 66:14 72:17,22 73:14,17 74:22 75:15 80:20 87:8,9,11,13,16 88:4 106:21 107:3,8,15 111:6 115:8 120:16 121:7,10 124:3

planned 26:17 54:15

planner's 26:10

Planning 33:2 34:13 67:1,7 72:1 85:13 86:7 96:18 97:18 98:16 100:1,4 103:25 104:3,8 105:1 106:4

plans 54:15 57:19 61:24 68:10

plastic 100:10



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 140Index: plat-reduced

plat 38:23 39:6 54:16, 18 60:9

plats 39:8

play 16:16 69:14

plenty 86:17,24 89:18

point 9:5 13:19 14:15 15:21 18:2 27:1 35:12 36:4 40:18 43:12 85:23 92:14 97:10 116:20

pointed 87:17 105:13 116:2

points 41:13,16 42:1 44:6

population 10:11

portion 17:23

pose 8:1 80:14,18

position 56:12 57:7 66:1 69:8,16 70:4 72:15,19

positioning 38:20

pre 23:10

pre-application 23:17

preconference 23:9, 14 33:18

preliminary 54:17

premise 62:8 92:14

premises 85:10

prepare 20:9

prepared 84:6 115:3

present 8:7 27:12 32:18 118:17

presentation 47:25 75:23

presented 8:25 45:22 106:21

presenting 27:9

presume 55:1

presumed 102:4

previous 110:9

primary 126:10

prior 47:7 52:15 93:17, 22 96:13,21 107:24

problem 34:19

problems 23:21 28:11

procedures 22:18 23:2,3,8 62:23

process 14:19 23:17 52:20 61:2 72:6 104:16

professional 112:22

prohibited 85:9

proof 43:19

prop 31:11

proper 8:14 16:14

properties 8:16 13:2, 24 20:16 45:13 54:13 59:21 68:7 74:10 90:20, 23

property 14:22 15:17, 19 16:18,19,20,23 17:14,24 18:15 19:22 21:15 27:11,25 28:3,4, 7,8 41:23 54:12 55:4,12 59:21 61:9 65:12 69:11 74:9 78:24 90:24

propose 121:4

proposed 31:20 46:12 50:20 65:8 68:11 85:3 88:11,12 97:4 104:4

proposing 66:17 78:8

proud 10:17

prove 43:21 101:14

proves 32:19

provided 32:4,5,7 41:6

psychology 10:21

public 9:17,18 33:25 66:25 83:4 84:9,10,14 85:25 86:2,3,8 89:17,19 93:20 96:9,15 97:19 98:8,14 99:25 100:3 102:10 103:22 104:9, 23,24 105:3 106:4

publication 93:19 94:9,24 95:4 96:5,12

published 93:17

pull 24:12 28:23

pulled 28:20

pulling 25:2

purchased 14:21 15:16 16:13,15 17:13,

purpose 59:1 85:6

purposes 59:9

pursuant 62:25 121:7

push 43:24

put 9:21 50:16 89:16 96:9,15 109:24 110:5

putting 18:21 93:19

Q

qualified 73:24

qualify 73:13,18

question 29:6,13,16 30:5 40:3 41:9 42:13 43:2 48:9 49:13 62:1 64:4 66:10 72:14 75:9 80:15,18 81:15 92:15 96:16 103:8 111:14 112:5 116:15 122:18

question's 38:14

questioning 8:5 63:14

questions 8:1 16:4 19:25 20:19,24 35:13, 14 36:20,23 39:19,20 40:3 44:15,19 45:5 76:10,12 80:9 91:20,22, 25 92:2,4

quick 48:9

quickly 30:23 40:13

R

Radu 11:18 33:19 raised 80:19 92:13

re-platted 17:20

reached 115:16

read 10:10 16:6 19:2 24:23 40:12 41:2 66:11 67:14 70:5 82:19 83:21 87:1 94:22 95:9,19 109:2

reading 8:23 67:3 68:18 70:13,15 95:22, 25 96:1 98:5 101:6 103:4 105:18

readings 94:14 95:23

reads 62:17 119:2

ready 6:21

real 10:13 31:6

realize 51:15

realizing 51:19,21 63:23

reason 33:11 83:23

reasonable 54:10 59:19 73:2 74:7,20 75:4

reasons 9:17 120:20

rebuttal 44:23

receive 38:5 87:5

received 38:10,24 39:1 56:25 57:23 61:22 62:3,

recently 10:9 50:18 51:10,23 53:10

recess 6:5

recommend 85:14 97:20 124:5

recommendation 67:1

recommended 23:12 34:14

reconfiguration 38:18

record 6:15 37:4 93:20

recorded 89:17

records 17:18

reduce 9:23

reduced 9:6



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 141Index: Reeves—showed

Reeves 82:14 96:8 103:18

refer 25:25 26:9 60:20 77:3,4,5 83:15,19

reference 31:25 32:10 38:17 40:16 42:23 43:23 44:8 46:8 77:13 80:22 115:12

referenced 115:25

references 40:17 86:17

referred 55:9 85:18,21, 23

referring 55:22 57:17 60:8

refers 27:22 84:1

reflect 27:25

regard 43:3,16

registered 39:12

regulation 63:5,8

regulations 16:7 62:25 110:24 111:1,3

related 38:15 45:8 63:17

relative 41:23 107:9

release 12:9

relevant 102:3

reliance 14:5,7

relief 19:6,14

rely 43:18 88:2

remember 60:25 102:8

reminding 89:6

rent 89:24 90:1

repeated 25:9 43:7

repeatedly 25:12 33:16

rephrase 67:21

report 16:10 17:17 24:1 31:8,10 46:11 74:5 77:7,13

request 76:14 104:6 113:14

requested 61:20 64:25 121:12

requesting 37:25 38:2 76:15

require 82:16,18

required 23:11 38:19 39:14 64:12 65:8,10 73:7 85:22 87:3,4,8 94:13 95:23 99:7,9,12

requirement 46:23,24 48:16,21,25 56:8

requirements 12:7 39:8 64:8,13 101:24

requires 25:7 74:7 87:9 112:4

requisite 56:4

rescind 19:20

research 51:18

resident 12:17

residential 46:24

residents 9:10

resolved 36:5 82:25 98:12 104:9,14

resort 10:5 12:17 33:8 46:16,25 47:6 52:21 53:16 78:24 90:2

respect 82:14

response 8:21

responsibility 103:24

restate 123:9

restated 36:8

restrict 90:23

restricted 90:15,16,17

restriction 8:15 97:15 98:1 115:4

restrictions 90:7

restrictive 12:1,11 89:15 90:6 91:1,3,8,10 rests 43:19

result 121:9

return 10:4

reverse 93:7 108:9 112:6 114:4,25 120:22

review 23:2 60:19 61:2,

reviewed 61:12 77:6,7,

reviews 23:1

Revolution 10:17

rewrite 47:8

rezoned 12:19 47:5 68:7

rezoning 83:2,5 98:13 104:10

ridiculous 34:21

rights 14:1,3 24:22 41:23 42:3 59:10 60:1 62:16 66:21,24 67:13 69:18 72:21 120:9

RM-8 10:4 12:21 47:6,9 68:8.13

roll 124:12 125:9

Ronda 6:12,20 7:1,14

room 7:8 33:4

rule 24:10 79:17

ruler 110:9

rules 87:9

ruling 50:11

run 15:24 22:9 35:15

S

safety 9:17

saga 11:14

sale 27:20

satisfaction 69:21

scale 25:8,9,11 26:17, 21,25 27:21 28:19

39:10 64:22,23 87:11, 13 109:18,19

schematic 58:25 59:14

scheme 83:1 98:13 104:10

scratch 76:1

screen 40:8

sealed 39:12

seconded 118:8 119:21,24 122:13

section 60:1,21 92:9

self-imposed 44:7 80:21 81:2 115:10,21

self-inflicted 89:3 92:17

send 65:20

session 6:3,6,8,9

set 33:6 112:7 116:12

setback 37:13,15 38:2 46:2,4 49:11 57:1 63:17,20 77:22 78:3,5 79:10

setbacks 37:13,17,19 38:3 46:1 58:17 63:19 79:8,9 101:25 105:7 121:15,19 122:21 123:3

settled 92:25

settlement 11:22 12:10,12 14:16,17,18 89:10,11,15,21 90:18 115:15 116:7

sheet 6:17,18

Sherman 34:15 82:14 96:8 103:18

Shirley 16:13 18:19

shoes 75:3 112:11,16 114:5,23

short 19:24

show 31:21 37:14,17 47:24 49:6 50:22 51:21 57:2 78:4

showed 31:18 37:9,10



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 142Index: showing-talking

46:9 52:11 74:14 88:22

showing 50:16 64:24 74:1,2

shown 31:13

shows 26:18 88:3 117:13,15

side 17:4,5,6,7 40:21 79:7

sight 44:6

sign 6:16

signed 14:20

similar 82:22,23 83:17

simple 26:13

simply 61:7

single 9:13,15 13:9 24:18 33:8

sir 29:14,17 30:3,6,9,12, 14 39:25 40:25 41:14 43:25 44:4,9

site 23:16 25:4 26:16,20 27:5,7 29:2,20 31:17 33:19 36:1 37:1,6,8,16 38:10 39:23 41:5,24 42:10,15 43:15 49:14, 20,22,25 50:3,9,10 54:2,7,24 55:9,13,17, 18,21 56:3,14 57:14,19 59:1,2,5,10 60:5,12,13, 14,18,23 61:6,15,17,22, 24 62:3 63:3,24 64:5, 21,22 65:6,11 66:13 72:17,21 73:13,16 74:21 75:15 80:20 87:8, 9 106:20 107:2,8 111:6, 10 115:7 120:11,14 121:7,10 124:2

sits 33:3

sitting 75:3

situation 17:19 18:24 20:2 36:2 82:23

skip 13:11 25:22

slab 117:17

sold 15:4,7

solitary 24:18

sort 13:23

sought 45:11 46:22

sounds 96:7

south 11:9,10 13:5,6 24:20 53:22 83:11,14 84:3 90:13 91:6 99:9 121:8

speak 41:13 96:9 110:16

speaking 33:18 123:7

special 54:19,20 63:6,8

specific 12:8 25:18 26:11 27:5,7 29:3,20 38:17 39:7 46:8 54:2,7, 12 58:15,18 59:21 63:3 72:17,22 73:13,16 74:9, 22 101:23 106:20 107:2 111:6,10 120:11,15 121:7,10 122:20 124:3

specifically 70:6,15

specifications 28:15

specificity 56:4

specifics 25:25 27:16

spot 27:13

staff 16:10 23:18,20,21, 25 31:8,10 34:9 46:11 47:25 48:2 51:11 61:12 69:13,15 71:24 74:5,12 77:7,13 89:18 100:22 104:18,19

Staff's 69:8 72:19

stamp 58:8

stamped 39:1,11

stamping 60:10

stand 7:2 11:5 67:20 112:10,15 114:5

standard 23:2

standards 62:23 65:2

standing 86:22 114:23

stands 93:1

start 22:8,10 62:18

started 6:22 21:15 62:13 85:15

starts 67:9

state 6:15 13:7 27:4 53:22 54:5,6 56:8 59:3, 4,8,10,24 62:16 73:25 76:24 83:12 90:13 107:3

stated 8:12 45:25 46:12 51:16 68:9

statement 56:3 66:12 83:15,20

states 20:12

statutes 86:25

stay 36:11,12 91:5,7

step 6:2

stop 30:4 91:24

stories 46:10,13 48:6, 11,23 49:2 51:2,7,22 57:3,11 74:15,16 77:14 88:17 110:11

story 48:15,20 74:3,5

straddling 18:22

straight 48:21 54:4

street 8:17 11:13 12:23 17:2,4 28:1,7 45:14 50:7

stricken 19:12

structure 45:17 48:6 60:18 78:7 91:2

structures 45:18 46:25 50:19

Studying 58:24

stuff 13:11 25:17 83:9, 24

subcommittee 33:2

subdivision 17:21 18:4 54:16

subject 18:23 63:1 96:7 122:24

submission 35:1

submit 49:20 55:13 65:9,10,18 76:17,18 81:7,19 110:23

submittal 38:12

submitted 37:5,7,10, 16 45:15 46:3,15 49:10 50:5 54:8 55:15,24 56:24 59:17 60:12,14, 15,16 61:7,10,18 64:11 65:23 77:9,23 86:6 110:2 121:8

subsequent 68:15 81:10,13 103:17 122:14

substantial 11:8,9 13:18 15:12

substantially 116:4

suffered 13:17

sufficient 11:8,9

suggesting 53:19,20

suggestions 23:23

sum 30:23 91:17

summary 24:11

supporting 22:25

Supposed 107:16

Supreme 36:6 82:20 84:1,3 98:10 103:19

surveyed 14:23

surveyor 39:12 58:8

surveyor's 39:10

suspect 120:23

Т

takes 100:6,7,11

taking 59:6 66:16

talk 17:23 34:17 85:11

talked 19:2 43:3

talking 16:25 60:8 67:13,15,19 74:4 85:19 91:24 122:24 123:3



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 143Index: talks-violation

talks 63:3 64:25 90:10

tall 7:3 10:13 110:21

Tamara 6:12,19

taught 10:24 20:7

teaching 20:7

telling 11:19 33:20 101:13 102:15

tells 27:17 90:8

Teresa 124:11

Teri 36:25 37:3,20 49:12 70:10 75:10

terms 41:5 42:24 82:15 88:25

Terra 50:23 52:11

Terri's 101:22

testimony 75:19

Texan 10:17

thereabouts 87:24

thereto 84:8

thing 15:6,9 24:18 25:13 32:16 35:10 57:18 77:11 88:5 90:17 112:24

things 7:17 10:14 21:1 23:13 25:16 26:12 27:1 29:24 33:21 35:20 60:4, 11 64:22 65:22 73:19 79:8 82:13 87:4,7 88:19 89:18,22 110:17 119:7

thinking 49:9 61:25 120:25

thought 92:13 105:7

thoughts 106:20 108:12,14

throw 27:6

till 107:16

time 13:13 15:18,24,25 19:24 21:14 22:9 24:6 29:9 32:23 33:16 34:9 35:15 44:23 46:14,18 52:4,6,7 56:18,22 64:9, 11 68:6 70:7,19 71:4,24

72:18 78:21,22 81:22, 24,25 82:10 83:8,23 84:12 86:12 97:10 98:21 100:25 105:16 110:22 111:7

timeframe 47:14 55:10 88:14

times 36:8 77:7

today 7:14 75:19 110:20 113:17

told 25:10 103:7,17 104:11 111:24

torn 30:13 103:16

total 116:17

tough 102:19

towering 9:14

town 8:13 9:19 12:18 22:15 32:24 34:14 36:5 38:24 46:22 67:4,7 68:5,8 70:17 72:2,6 82:18 93:16 95:18 97:20 98:2,17,21 99:8, 11 100:2,5,15 101:9,12, 14,20 103:21,23 104:25 105:17 106:1,12 108:15 116:8

Town's 70:4

Trace 50:24 52:12

Transcon 15:1,2

transcript 31:25

transcripts 31:10

tray 7:10

trigger 66:24

triggered 68:20

triggers 67:24

true 70:10 84:11

turn 40:13 110:6

turned 92:15

TV 7:10

type 43:1 49:15

types 54:11 59:19 73:2 74:8

typically 11:3

U

ultimately 12:6 14:20

unanimous 34:6 unanimously 34:1

underneath 91:5

understand 20:1 72:11 78:19 98:6

understanding 92:22 101:11 112:17

understands 69:23

unit 54:16

upheld 36:7,8

upright 110:6

upside 17:9

Urchin 14:13

utterly 34:21 83:25 84:4

٧

variance 8:24 18:13 19:7.12 20:13 22:6.11. 12,16,17,21,22 27:10 32:19 35:25 37:5,10,12 38:1,2,8,12,16 41:7 43:9 45:11,15,24 46:5, 14 49:16 51:13 54:18 55:4,23 56:19 57:12,15, 24 58:16 61:11,13,17, 20 62:6 63:5,8,10,16,17 64:7,12 65:1,9,14,17 66:3 69:3,10,12 72:16, 18,20 73:10,11,20 75:16 76:13 77:2,16,17, 20,21 78:1,3,5,13,16,23 79:5,7 80:25 81:23 84:19,20 87:3,5 92:18 93:1 96:22 98:1 102:5,9 104:6 105:6,14 106:22 107:8 116:1 121:14,18

variances 9:1 12:16 18:23 19:20 22:20 25:11 59:2 64:24 76:20 varying 14:6 verbally 76:15

versus 13:2,6 34:16 74:15 82:14 83:7,13 103:18 122:21

vertical 41:2

verify 61:8

vertically 40:24

vest 97:9

vested 9:5 13:25 14:3 20:16 22:4 24:21 29:21 41:22 42:3 48:1 51:13 52:1 53:3,4 54:1 56:20, 21 57:11 59:10 60:1 62:16,21 63:7,11 66:12, 15,21,24 67:10,13 69:5, 7,9,18 72:21 75:16 79:20 84:23 88:1,7 89:5 103:9 107:4 111:2 113:12,19 120:9 121:11 122:17,20,23 123:24,25 124:1

vesting 56:22 59:25 62:9,13,17

VICE 40:2,6,11,15,23 41:1,8,12,15,18,21 42:12,16 43:11,22 44:2, 5,10 47:9 57:13,16,25 58:5 63:13,21 64:2,15, 17,20 65:3,21,25 66:6,9 67:16,20,23 68:14,17, 24 69:19 75:8,14,25 76:5,9 78:11,17 79:2,6, 11,14,21 80:3,6,14,17 81:9 82:1,5 94:6,21,25 95:8 96:2,19,23 97:5,12 98:9,25 103:3,12,15 104:2,21 105:11 106:15 107:11 109:14 111:4, 11,13,23 112:13,21 113:9 114:7,13,17 115:2 116:18 117:10,18 118:1,7,19 119:11,20, 23 122:16 123:8,11 124:4,25 125:21 126:6, 16

view 111:20

violation 70:18



HILTON HEAD ISLAND TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND BZA MEETING Case No. 144Index: vote–zoning

vote 34:7,14 97:20 98:24 119:12,17 120:1, 3 124:6

voted 85:13 97:20

votes 34:1 85:20,24

voting 34:2

W

Wait 112:13

waited 107:16,20,25

waiting 21:12

Walczak 28:14 47:12, 20 52:3,7,14,23 53:2,13 54:23 55:5 58:3,23 59:12,14,22 101:7 102:23 103:5 106:23 107:5 109:21,25 113:1, 5 116:21 117:1,4,6,12, 16,24 118:5,10,13,23 119:8,14,22 121:1,13, 23 122:3,8 124:14,15, 16 125:11,12 126:8,9

wanted 37:11 79:15 88:21,23 121:21

wanting 114:8

Washington 24:23

waste 83:8

ways 14:3

wayside 97:2

website 22:14,15

Webster 26:14

weeds 110:16

week 47:19

weeks 95:5,6 96:13

welfare 9:18

wetland 65:2

whatsoever 12:14

Whelk 8:17 11:13 12:23 17:2 28:1,7 45:9,14 50:7 51:14

White 49:12,18,21 50:2,

8,14 61:21 62:1,3,7,12, 19 92:11,12 93:2 125:2, 3,23,24 126:18,19

wife 10:15

wind 24:6

wit 69:15

withdrawn 81:14

witnesses 8:6

word 90:8

worded 11:2

words 25:18 26:3,6 56:13 88:25 107:20

work 23:24 42:5 85:4 117:5

worked 21:16

works 117:6

write 8:20 24:1 75:15 76:2 82:3

writes 20:11

writing 76:6 86:4 95:10

written 16:10 40:24 65:4 76:16 89:20

wrong 58:19 110:18

wrote 11:16,18 94:19

Υ

yard 79:7

year 71:4 80:3 103:7 106:24 107:14 108:1 110:22

years 21:14 48:15 107:19

Ζ

Zone 46:16 90:2

zoned 46:17 52:21 78:24

zoning 10:4 22:19 46:16 47:1 53:25 54:20 55:3 57:18 63:15 68:4,

13 76:22 82:15 84:5,6 85:6 97:15 116:6





TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND

Community Development Department

TO: Board of Zoning Appeals

VIA: Taylor Ladd, Board Coordinator and Senior Planner

FROM: Teri B. Lewis, AICP, LMO Official

DATE: June 13, 2018

SUBJECT: APL-942-2018 – Determination related to Animal Services at 2, 3, 7, 11, 15

and 17 Trail Beach Manor

Staff has received an appeal from Claudia Kennedy. Ms. Kennedy is appealing the determination of the LMO Official dated March 27, 2018 which states that the use being conducted on the subject properties is Animal Services and Animal Services uses are not allowed in the MV (Mitchelville) zoning district. The appellant believes I issued the determination in error and seeks to reverse the determination.

The decision that the use being conducted on the subject properties is Animal Services is based on the information provided in detail in the determination letter I sent to Ms. Kennedy on March 27, 2018 (Attachment B).

The record as attached consists of the following documents:

Attachment A - Appellant Submittal

Attachment B – LMO Official Determination Letter

Attachment C – Photos – February 26, 2018

Attachment D – Email Inquiry re cats at Trail Beach Manor

Attachment E – Email from Anne Cyran to Claudia Kennedy re temp tents

Attachment F – Email from Anne Cyran to Claudia Kennedy re Animal Services

Attachment G – Photo – March 16, 2018

Staff reserves the right to submit additional documents.

Please contact me at (843) 341-4698 or at teril@hiltonheadislandsc.gov if you have any questions.



Town of Hilton Head Island

Community Development Department One Town Center Court Hilton Head Island, SC 29928

Phone: 843-341-4757 Fax: 843-842-8908

www.hiltonheadislandsc.gov

EOD OFFICIAL LICE ONLY	1
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	1
Date Received: 4-18	
Accepted by Sarah W.	
App. #: APL - 000942 - 201	8
Meeting Date:	

Date: 9 April 2018

Applicant Agent Name: Claudia Kennedy	Company:	
Mailing Address: + Magazine Place	City: <u>HH1</u> State: <u>SC</u> Zip: <u>Z9928</u>	
Telephone: 843-422- Fax:	E-mail: cken213242@aol.com	
Cell 5819		
APPEAL (APL) SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS		
If you are interested in submitting your appeal electron	nically please call 843-341-4757 for more	
information. The following items must be attached in order for this approximation.	oplication to be complete:	
A detailed narrative stating the Town Official or decision being appealed, the decision being appeal the appeal, cite any LMO Section numbers relied requested of the review body. Any other documentation used to support the fact	aled, the basis for the right to appeal, the grounds of upon; and a statement of the specific decision	
Filing Fee - \$100.00 cash or check made payable to the Town of Hilton Head Island.		
To the best of my knowledge, the information on this factual, and complete. I hereby agree to abide by all conditions shall apobligation transferable by sale.	itions of any approvals granted by the Town of Hilton	
I further understand that in the event of a State of Emergeset forth in the Land Management Ordinance may be suspensed.	·	

Applicant/Agent Signature: Claudia Councedy

Index for Appeal

Appeal Submittal Requirements

Letter from LMO with attachments

Appeal

Check for \$100. in envelop

Letters of community support

TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND

One Town Center Court, Hilton Head Island, S.C. 29928 (843) 341-4600 Fax (843) 842-7728 www.hiltonheadislandsc.gov

David Bennett Mayor

Via US Mail and E-mail

Kim W. Likins Mayor ProTem

March 27, 2018

Ms. Claudia J. Kennedy

4 Magazine Place

Hilton Head Island, SC 29928

David Ames Marc A. Grant William D. Harkins Thomas W. Lennox John J. McCann

Stephen G. Riley Town Manager

Council Members

Dear Ms. Kennedy:

Upon receipt of complaints about the five properties located at 2, 3, 7, 11, 15 and 17 Trail Beach Manor and further identified as parcels R510 005 000 0330 0000, R510 005 000 0331 0000, R510 005 000 0332 0000, R510 005 000 010D 0000 and R510 005 000 0333 0000 staff conducted a site visit. Based on the cat cages, cat related structures and animal related care and feeding supplies, I have determined that the use that is currently being conducted on the subject properties is Animal Services. This use is defined in the Town's Land Management Ordinance (LMO), specifically in Section 16-10-103.G.2:

7

Animal Services

An establishment that provides for the care and treatment of animals, primarily household pets and other domestic animals. This use includes veterinary facilities that provide medical care and treatment of animals, and may provide boarding and grooming services. It includes kennels that provide boarding, as well as establishments providing grooming services. Also included are retail pet stores that sell household pets and related goods.

The subject properties are located within the MV (Mitchelville) zoning district. Animal Services is not an allowed use in the MV zoning district. This information was previously communicated to you via an e-mail (copy attached) from Anne Cyran, a Senior Planner on the Town's staff.

At this time, based on the fact that you are conducting an Animal Services use on the subject properties, I am making the determination that your site is not in conformance with the LMO. You have thirty days to cease this use and bring the site into conformance with the LMO.

Should you wish to appeal this determination to the Town's Board of Zoning Appeals (BZA), please submit a complete appeal application (application enclosed) within 14 calendar days of receipt of this letter.

If you wish to discuss this issue further, please contact me at (843) 341-4698 or teril@hiltonheadislandsc.gov.

Sincerely,

Teri B. Lewis LMO Official From: To:

Cyran Anne

Subject: Date:

RE: Town Regulations re: Temporary Tents Tuesday, May 10, 2016 3:48:00 PM

Claudia,

This is a follow-up to your question regarding building sheds or similar structures on your property at Trail Beach Manor.

The Town's Land Management Ordinance (LMO) specifies the allowable the land uses for each zoning district. As you stated, this property is located in the Mitchelville (MV) Zoning District.

The best definition for the use of the sheds or shelters you're proposing would be Animal Services. Animal Services is not listed as an allowed use in the MV Zoning District, which means it is a prohibited use. See the attached Principal Use Table.

Unfortunately, Town staff cannot permit the use of land or the construction of permanent structures for any use that is not allowed in that zoning district.

I would suggest relocating, if possible, to a site in a zoning district that permits Animal Services as a use.

Sincerely,

Anne Cyran, AICP Senior Planner Town of Hilton Head Island One Town Center Court Hilton Head Island, SC 29928 (843) 341-4697 annec@hiltonheadislandsc.gov

From: Cyran Anne

Sent: Monday, March 07, 2016 4:41 PM

To:

Subject: Town Regulations re: Temporary Tents

Good afternoon Ms. Kennedy,

This is a follow up to our conversation on Friday afternoon. The Town of Hilton Head Island Land Management Ordinance (LMO) regulates the use and development of land. The LMO is available on the Town's website at:

http://www.hiltonheadislandsc.gov/publications/codeoflaws.cfm

LMO Section 16-4-104, Temporary Uses and Structures, states temporary tents may be utilized on any property for no more than four days per week.

Please ensure the tent at Trail Beach Manor is removed by this Friday, March 11th to bring the site into compliance with this standard.

REQUEST FOR APPEAL PROCEDURES

Appeal of Administrative Decisions Request

This is a request to appeal to the Board or Commission by any person aggrieved by a decision, interpretation or determination of the Official. An aggrieved person is defined as any property owner within 350 feet of the property for which a decision or determination has been rendered, and may include persons owning property beyond 350 feet if it is determined by the Board or Commission that such property owners may be affected by a decision or determination of the Official or the Board or Commission. An application for appeal shall be filed (received by the Official or postmarked) not later than 14 calendar days after receipt of the decision being appealed in order to be considered by the Board or Commission.

PROCEDURES

A. Submission of Application

- 1. Submit the application by the deadline indicated for each meeting on the appropriate Public Hearing Schedule.
- 2. For an appeal of administrative decisions request, please submit the Appeal Application Form, along with the items listed as submittal requirements on that form.
- 3. An application check-in conference is required for all applications to determine whether the application meets the minimum requirements for acceptance. The application check-in conference must be scheduled by appointment with the Community Development Department staff.

B. Public Notice Requirements

- 1. Public notice to be published is required for an appeal request.
- 2. **Published Notice** A Public Notice shall be placed by the Official in a local newspaper of general circulation within the Town for not less than 30 calendar days prior to the meeting for the purpose of notifying the public.

C. Staff Review and Report

- 1. In an appeal, the Official will prepare a staff report which provides in detail staff's decision/interpretation of the Land Management Ordinance or Town Design Guide.
- 2. The Official shall provide a copy of the report to the Board or Commission and the appellant (applicant) before the scheduled meeting.

D. Meeting Conduct

- 1. The Board of Zoning Appeals is comprised of seven members, appointed by Town Council. The Planning Commission is comprised of nine members, appointed by Town Council. The Design Review Board is comprised of seven members, appointed by Town Council.
- 2. The Chairman of the Board or Commission opens the meeting and reads the procedures to be followed during the meeting.
- 3. In an appeal, staff will present the Official's interpretation of the LMO or the Design Guide. The applicant will then have an opportunity to present why they are appealing staff's decision.
- 4. The Board or Commission may have questions for Town staff or the applicant.
- 5. The Board or Commission will then deliberate until a decision is reached. During the deliberations, members may address questions to staff or the applicant, but no person shall participate in these discussions unless addressed by the Chairman or a Board or Commission member.
- 6. The Chairman will then ask for a motion.
- 7. In an appeal, at the conclusion of the proceeding on the appeal, the Board or Commission will either: affirm the action of the Official, modify the action of the Official, or reverse the action of the Official.

E. Written Notification of Decision

- 1. Within 10 calendar days after a decision has been made by the Board or Commission, a copy of the written decision shall be sent to the applicant or appellant and the property owner.
- 2. A copy of the notice shall be filed in the office of the Official, where it shall be available for public inspection during regular office hours.

F. Appeals from the Decision of the Board or Commission

- A person who may have a substantial interest in any decision of the Board or Commission, or an officer
 or agent of the appropriate governing authority may appeal from a decision of the Board or Commission
 to the Circuit Court of Beaufort County. The appeal must be filed within 30 days after the decision of
 the Board or Commission is mailed.
- 2. A property owner whose land is the subject of a decision of the Board or Commission may appeal to the Circuit Court of Beaufort County or by filing a notice with the circuit court accompanied by a request for pre-litigation in mediation. The notice of appeal and request for pre-litigation in mediation must be filed within 30 days after the decision of the board is mailed.

Appeal of LMO Determination/ Claudia Kennedy In response to letter from Town of HHI received March 27, 2018

Detailed Narrative:

A copy of the Town of HHI letter received by Claudia Kennedy is attached to this appeal. The following information is provided as required for the appeal:

- * Town Official who made the decision: Teri Lewis
- * Body making the decision: Land Management Ordinance
- * Date of the decision : March 27, 2018
- * The decision being appealed : That the property is being used to provide Animal Services.
- * Basis for the right to appeal: I dispute the finding of non-compliance with the Town's LMO relevant to the location of my property on Beach City Road. i am not providing Animal Services as defined in Town's LMO. And cats were on this property before new zoning was put in place.
- * LMO Section relied upon : Section 16-10-103.G.2
- * Statement of the specific decision requested of the review body: To determine that the property is Not engaged in Animal Services per the definition of LMO Section 16-10-103.G.2.

The Appeal:

The LMO definition of Animal Services is:

- * "An establishment" - there is no establishment. This is private property where stray, unwanted cats are fed, watered and sheltered.
- * "provide for the care and treatment of animals " (in this case, cats).... This use includes veterinary facilities" . There are no veterinary facilities or treatments provided on the property.
- * "provide boarding or grooming services". No boarding or grooming is provided. "Boarding implies temporary holding of an owned cat in an indoor setting in exchange for payment. No animals are boarded. This is a place where cats who are rejected by a business or a resident's home/yard or are at large without being tested for disease, being spayed or neutered or vaccinated and for whom there is no chance of being adopted. Their presence is permanent and there is no payment for putting them on the property. The cats who end up on this property have no other reasonable option. Owners have requested help trapping the cats and have said they will kill the cat if it is returned.

Some cats have been shot or neglected and could not be put back in a hostile location. Some cats were reproducing multiple litters and male cats were in a constant state of defending territory.

* "Included are retail pet stores that sell household pets and related goods" - - These cats are not sold and generally are not adoptable because they are wary of people and prefer to live outside.

Current Mitchelville Zoning was created in October 2014. Cats began living on this

property well before October 2014. Thus this property should be grandfathered in accordance with the zoning rules for Use of Land established previously.

Understanding the nature of free roaming cats is important.

- * When one cat is spayed or neutered, 200 future kittens are avoided. Among the cat rescuers, some have trapped over 500 cats over the years (resulting in over 100,000 kittens avoided), one has trapped over 900 over the years (resulting in over 180,000 kittens avoided). There are about eight active trappers in HHI and three in Bluffton. There are about 20 others who trap cats episodically. If these 11 volunteers trap a total of 515 cats per year, this avoids 103,000 kittens per year. And the cats who return to their original locations are healthy and non-reproducing.
- * A feral cat only lives about 7 years. (Pet cats live longer). Colonies which originally had 35 cats are reduced to less than 10 after five years.
- * And here is the big payoff: The cats who have been spayed and neutered hold their territory. They keep other reproducing cats out, thus avoiding the "vacuum effect". Even if all cats were removed from a location, the backfill would be almost immediate by cats who had not been spayed or neutered or vaccinated. And then there would be many, many more cats.

Claudia has been told by a resident of The Spa named Ed that he does not like the sight of the sanctuary. He lives in a unit over looking the ocean as do the other several people he has recruited to this "cause". Recently they became the new board of the Spa HOA. Their attitudes are vastly different from the opinions expressed by other Spa residents and renters. Over the years, we have received many appreciative comments about the cats.

In the spirit of being a good neighbor, I would be willing to move some of the fencing to the other end of the property where it is less visible from the balconies of the top two floors of Building C. But this will cost money.

I have planted jasmine to provide a visual barrier between the cats and people walking by. It will take about a year for this to grow completely up the fence.

AP To the Town of Hilton Head Island

It is very helpful to the people that live here, the service that is provided to help with the cats. Thank you for all you do!

Shank you Bouton Britany Knighton April 8, 2018

Ms. Teri B. Lewis
Land Management Ordinances Official
Town of Hilton Head Island

Dear Ms. Teri Lewis,

Feral cat management has been a significant problem in the lowcountry for decades. Due to the volunteer efforts of many individuals, who follow the Beaufort County Ordinance for the management of feral cat colonies, there are signs that sterilization efforts are having a positive effect county wide. One of many challenges is the relocation of feral cats from areas of business development where their presence is misunderstood and often not welcome. Property owners are usually relieved that a humane option for relocation exists. An enclosure with shelter and supervision provides an immediate solution. The cat sanctuary on Beach City Road, managed by Ms. Claudia Kennedy and her organization All About Cats, is one such option.

I have worked with Ms. Kennedy in the past. Her attention to detailed record keeping, community education and colony management are excellent. She is always willing to listen and find solutions while working within any setting. The role of feral cat management in communities is often misunderstood. Creating greater awareness of feral cat programs that are properly managed by local volunteers will improve understanding among residents, property owners and business owners.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Laura Winholt, Founder Daufuskie Island Cat Sanctuary

April 7,2018 To whom it may concern Cats stay on Beach city Read they have no place to stay human secien is over Sull. Mrs C'andia Kondery has really work with the Catstake them to be sprayed and Newton she feeds them please don't take them away also goto Dr Lots of People help her wis the cats they don't hurt any one go by some time and look at them Tet them they are good stagive the a chance please please Fopulative now spice Mrs. Ka is trying to help them they would be dead is she there to help Blessing for her help she help a Freddie Mar, Was

To: The Town of Hilten Head

I appreciate the work in my yourd and those near by being done by All About Cats.

Without the cost sanctuary and the spay/newter program, we would be overnun with costs.

Amelia White Josia White

TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND

One Town Center Court, Hilton Head Island, S.C. 29928 (843) 341-4600 Fax (843) 842-7728 www.hiltonheadislandsc.gov

David Bennett Mayor Via US Mail and E-mail

Kim W. Likins Mayor ProTem March 27, 2018

Ms. Claudia J. Kennedy

Council Members

4 Magazine Place Hilton Head Island, SC 29928

David Ames Marc A. Grant William D. Harkins Thomas W. Lennox John J. McCann

Dear Ms. Kennedy:

Stephen G. Riley Town Manager Upon receipt of complaints about the five properties located at 2, 3, 7, 11, 15 and 17 Trail Beach Manor and further identified as parcels R510 005 000 0330 0000, R510 005 000 0331 0000, R510 005 000 0332 0000, R510 005 000 010D 0000 and R510 005 000 0333 0000 staff conducted a site visit. Based on the cat cages, cat related structures and animal related care and feeding supplies, I have determined that the use that is currently being conducted on the subject properties is Animal Services. This use is defined in the Town's Land Management Ordinance (LMO), specifically in Section 16-10-103.G.2:

Animal Services

An establishment that provides for the care and treatment of animals, primarily household pets and other domestic animals. This use includes veterinary facilities that provide medical care and treatment of animals, and may provide boarding and grooming services. It includes kennels that provide boarding, as well as establishments providing grooming services. Also included are retail pet stores that sell household pets and related goods.

The subject properties are located within the MV (Mitchelville) zoning district. Animal Services is not an allowed use in the MV zoning district. This information was previously communicated to you via an e-mail (copy attached) from Anne Cyran, a Senior Planner on the Town's staff.

At this time, based on the fact that you are conducting an Animal Services use on the subject properties, I am making the determination that your site is not in conformance with the LMO. You have thirty days to cease this use and bring the site into conformance with the LMO.

Should you wish to appeal this determination to the Town's Board of Zoning Appeals (BZA), please submit a complete appeal application (application enclosed) within 14 calendar days of receipt of this letter.

If you wish to discuss this issue further, please contact me at (843) 341-4698 or teril@hiltonheadislandsc.gov.

Sincerely,

Teri B. Lewis LMO Official

















From: <u>Colin Heather</u>

To: <u>Cyran Anne</u>; <u>Dixon Nicole</u>

Subject: FW: Cat Sanctuary - Beach City Rd

Date: Wednesday, February 24, 2016 2:41:28 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Do either of you know anything about this one?

Heather

From: Horsman Donna

Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2016 7:33 AM

To: Lewis Teri; Colin Heather

Subject: FW: Cat Sanctuary - Beach City Rd

I received complaints from the Spa regarding this tent like structure, people dropping off cats daily, and odors. It is zoned MV which does not allow animal services.

Donna

From: Spellerberg Beth

Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2016 11:40 AM

To: Horsman Donna **Cc:** Seeley Bruce

Subject: Cat Sanctuary - Beach City Rd

Hi, Donna. Attached please find pictures I took of the cat sanctuary on Beach City Rd while out on a field visit last week. Harold Hanley and Claudia Kennedy own the property. They use it as a hospital sanctuary for the feral cats that are brought there after being spayed and neutered. Mr. Hanley comes at 8:00 a.m. every morning to run his dogs and feed the cats. Claudia Kennedy's phone number is 843-422-5819.

I reviewed the information with my supervisor, Bruce Seeley. He determined that it did not violate the business license code. We are referring this on to you for your review.

Thank you,

Beth Spellerberg

Town of Hilton Head Island Revenue and Collections Division One Town Center Court Hilton Head Island, SC 29928 c: (843) 384-0402

C: (643) 364-0402 f: (843) 241 0440

f: (843) 341-9440

beths@hiltonheadislandsc.gov

From: Cyran Anne

To:

Subject: Town Regulations re: Temporary Tents

Date: Monday, March 07, 2016 4:41:00 PM

Attachments: Site 2.JPG

Good afternoon Ms. Kennedy,

This is a follow up to our conversation on Friday afternoon. The Town of Hilton Head Island Land Management Ordinance (LMO) regulates the use and development of land. The LMO is available on the Town's website at:

http://www.hiltonheadislandsc.gov/publications/codeoflaws.cfm

LMO Section 16-4-104, Temporary Uses and Structures, states temporary tents may be utilized on any property for no more than four days per week.

Please ensure the tent at Trail Beach Manor is removed by this Friday, March 11th to bring the site into compliance with this standard.

Please call me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Anne Cyran, AICP Senior Planner Town of Hilton Head Island One Town Center Court Hilton Head Island, SC 29928 (843) 341-4697 annec@hiltonheadislandsc.gov



From: Cyran Anne

To:

Subject: RE: Town Regulations re: Temporary Tents
Date: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 3:48:00 PM

Claudia,

This is a follow-up to your question regarding building sheds or similar structures on your property at Trail Beach Manor.

The Town's Land Management Ordinance (LMO) specifies the allowable the land uses for each zoning district. As you stated, this property is located in the Mitchelville (MV) Zoning District.

The best definition for the use of the sheds or shelters you're proposing would be Animal Services. Animal Services is not listed as an allowed use in the MV Zoning District, which means it is a prohibited use. See the attached Principal Use Table.

Unfortunately, Town staff cannot permit the use of land or the construction of permanent structures for any use that is not allowed in that zoning district.

I would suggest relocating, if possible, to a site in a zoning district that permits Animal Services as a use.

Sincerely,

Anne Cyran, AICP Senior Planner Town of Hilton Head Island One Town Center Court Hilton Head Island, SC 29928 (843) 341-4697 annec@hiltonheadislandsc.gov

From: Cyran Anne

Sent: Monday. March 07. 2016 4:41 PM

Subject: Town Regulations re: Temporary Tents

Good afternoon Ms. Kennedy,

This is a follow up to our conversation on Friday afternoon. The Town of Hilton Head Island Land Management Ordinance (LMO) regulates the use and development of land. The LMO is available on the Town's website at:

http://www.hiltonheadislandsc.gov/publications/codeoflaws.cfm

LMO Section 16-4-104, Temporary Uses and Structures, states temporary tents may be utilized on any property for no more than four days per week.

Please ensure the tent at Trail Beach Manor is removed by this Friday, March 11th to bring the site into compliance with this standard.





TOWN OF HILTON HEAD ISLAND

Community Development Department

TO: Board of Zoning Appeals **FROM:** Taylor Ladd, *Senior Planner*

DATE: June 13, 2018

SUBJECT: Substitutions of Nonconformities for Redevelopment

The BZA requested that staff keep them informed of substitutions of nonconformities for redevelopment that are granted by staff. A memo is distributed every month at the regular BZA meetings and is discussed under staff reports on the agenda.

The following language is contained in Section 16-7-101.F, Substitutions of Nonconformities for Redevelopment, which gives the Administrator the power to grant such substitutions for existing nonconforming structures and site features.

LMO Section 16-7-101.F:

"To provide flexibility and encourage redevelopment of sites with nonconforming features or structures, the Official is authorized to approve a Development Plan for such sites if the proposed development:

- 1. Will not include any new development that increases the amount of encroachment into any required buffer or setback;
- 2. Will not increase the impervious cover on the site over the maximum allowed for the district or the existing impervious cover, whichever is greater;
- 3. Will not result in a density in excess of what is allowed under this Ordinance, or the existing density, whichever is greater;
- 4. Will lessen the extent of existing nonconforming site features to the greatest extent possible;
- 5. Will not have an adverse impact on the public health, safety or welfare; and
- 6. Will lessen the extent of nonconformities related to any existing nonconforming structure on the site to the greatest extent possible."

There has been one Substitution of Nonconformity for Redevelopment that has been granted by staff since the March 26, 2018 BZA meeting.

1. **25 Pembroke Drive, Walmart Online Grocery Pick-up** – As part of the Minor DPR-000768-2018 submittal to renovate the Walmart store interior and exterior to establish Online Grocery Pick-up capabilities, the applicant requested an administrative waiver from LMO Section 16-7-105, Nonconforming Site Features. Given the existing drive aisle widths are less than the required by the LMO, the applicant was granted a waiver for this location with the condition they would bring another site feature into compliance. The applicant agreed to restripe and add wheel stops to existing parking spaces that are being designated for the Online Grocery Pick-up. Since the request met the criteria for a waiver per LMO Section 16-7-101.F, Substitution of Nonconformities for Redevelopment, the waiver was approved.